

## References

- Alaiad, A., Alnsour, Y., & Alsharo, M. (2019). Virtual teams: Thematic taxonomy, constructs model, and future research directions. *IEEE Transactions on Professional Communication*, 62(3), 211–238.
- Alfaro, I., & Chandrasekaran, R. (2015). Software quality and development speed in global software development teams. *Business & Information Systems Engineering*, 57(2), 91–102.
- Amar, H. (2017). Exploring the critical success factors of virtual-agile it projects: A grounded theory study. *International Journal of Innovation, Management and Technology*, 8(6), 427–434.
- Badrinarayanan, V., & Arnett, D. (2008). Effective virtual new product development teams: An integrated framework. *Journal of Business & Industrial Marketing*, 23(4), 242–248.
- Barbalho, S., Carvalho, V., Silva, G., & Toledo, J. (2016). Analysing the impact of the functions of project management offices on triple constraints performance of new product projects. *Product Management & Development*, 14(2), 85–94.
- Barki, H., Rivard, S., & Talbot, J. (2001). An integrative contingency model of software project risk management. *Journal of Management Information Systems*, 17(4), 37–69.
- Bourgault, M., Drouin, N., & Hamel, E. (2008). Decision making within distributed project teams: An exploration of formalization and autonomy as determinants of success. *Project Management Journal*, 39(1), S97–S110.
- Chang, W., & Taylor, S. A. (2016). The effectiveness of customer participation in new product development: A meta-analysis. *Journal of Marketing*, 80(1), 47–64.
- Chase, R. B., & Garvin, D. A. (1989, n.d.). *The service factory*. Harvard Business Review. <https://hbr.org/1989/07/the-service-factory>
- Cheng, X., Yin, G., Azadegan, A., & Kolfschoten, G. (2015). Trust evolvement in hybrid team collaboration: A longitudinal case study. *Group Decision and Negotiation*, 25(2), 267–288.

**The Factors Influencing Virtual Team Project Development Success in  
Software Product Companies: A Qualitative Inquiry**

- Clarke, V., & Braun, V. (2016). Thematic analysis. *The Journal of Positive Psychology, 12*(3), 297–298.
- Colomo-Palacios, R., Casado-Lumbreras, C., Soto-Acosta, P., García-Peñalvo, F. J., & Tovar, E. (2013). Project managers in global software development teams: A study of the effects on productivity and performance. *Software Quality Journal, 22*(1), 3–19.
- Coviello, N. E., & Joseph, R. M. (2012). Creating major innovations with customers: Insights from small and young technology firms. *Journal of Marketing, 76*(6), 87–104.
- Croucher, S. M., & Kramer, E. (2016). Cultural fusion theory: An alternative to acculturation. *Journal of International and Intercultural Communication, 10*(2), 97–114.
- Davidaviciene, V., Majzoub, K. A., & Meidute-Kavaliauskiene, I. (2020). Factors affecting decision-making processes in virtual teams in the UAE. *Information, 11*(10), 490. <https://doi.org/10.3390/info11100490>.
- Day, K., Humphrey, G., & Cockcroft, S. (2017). How do the design features of health hackathons contribute to participatory medicine? *Australasian Journal of Information Systems, 21*, 1–15.
- De Silva, D., Burstein, F., & Vogel, D. (2017). Participatory health information systems: Theory and applications. *Australasian Journal of Information Systems, 21*, 1–8.
- Dhawan, E. (2020, December 2). *Do your remote employees feel included in meetings?* Harvard Business Review. <https://hbr.org/2020/12/do-your-remote-employees-feel-included-in-meetings>
- Dulebohn, J. H., & Hoch, J. E. (2017). Virtual teams in organisations. *Human Resource Management Review, 27*(4), 569–574.
- Elo, S., Kääriäinen, M., Kanste, O., Pölkki, T., Utriainen, K., & Kyngäs, H. (2014). Qualitative content analysis. *SAGE Open, 4*(1), 215824401452263.
- Fang, E. E. (2008). Customer participation and the trade-off between new product innovativeness and speed to market. *Journal of Marketing, 72*(4), 90–104.
- Ford, R. C., Piccolo, R. F., & Ford, L. R. (2017). Strategies for building effective virtual teams: Trust is key. *Business Horizons, 60*(1), 25–34.
- Garro-Abarca, V., Palos-Sanchez, P., & Aguayo-Camacho, M. (2021). Virtual teams in times of pandemic: Factors that influence performance. *Frontiers in Psychology, 12*. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2021.624637>
- Ghiringhelli, C., & Lazazzara, A. (2016). Blended learning for developing effective virtual teams: A proposed intervention format. *EAI Endorsed Transactions on E-Learning, 3*(12), 1-11.
- Gibbs, J. L., Sivunen, A., & Boyraz, M. (2017). Investigating the impacts of team type and design on virtual team processes. *Human Resource Management Review, 27*(4), 590–603.

- Gilson, L. L., Maynard, M. T., Jones Young, N. C., Vartiainen, M., & Hakonen, M. (2014). Virtual teams research. *Journal of Management*, 41(5), 1313–1337.
- Großer, B., & Baumöl, U. (2017). Why virtual teams work – State of the art. *Procedia Computer Science*, 121, 297–305.
- Gupta, S., & Pathak, G. S. (2018). Virtual team experiences in an emerging economy: A qualitative study. *Journal of Organizational Change Management*, 31(4), 778–794.
- Heersmink, R., & Knight, S. (2018). Distributed learning: Educating and assessing extended cognitive systems. *Philosophical Psychology*, 31(6), 969–990.
- Homans, G. C. (1983). Steps to a theory of social behavior. *Theory and Society*, 12(1), 1–45.
- Huang, W. W., Wei, K.-K., Watson, R. T., & Tan, B. C. Y. (2003). Supporting virtual team-building with a GSS: An empirical investigation. *Decision Support Systems*, 34(4), 359–367.
- Humphrey, W. S. (1989). *Managing the software process*. Addison-Wesley Professional.
- Hutchins, E. (2000). The cognitive consequences of patterns of information flow. *Intellectica. Revue de l'Association Pour La Recherche Cognitive*, 30(1), 53–74.
- Iriarte, C., & Bayona, S. (2020). IT projects success factors: A literature review. *International Journal of Information Systems and Project Management*, 8(2), 49–78.
- Iyawa, G. E., Herselman, M. E., & Coleman, A. (2016). Customer interaction in software development: A comparison of software methodologies deployed in Namibian software firms. *The Electronic Journal of Information Systems in Developing Countries*, 77(1), 1–13.
- Jarman, R. (2005). When Success isn't everything – Case studies of two virtual teams. *Group Decision and Negotiation*, 14(4), 333–354.
- Kallio, H., Pietilä, A.-M., Johnson, M., & Kangasniemi, M. (2016). Systematic methodological review: Developing a framework for a qualitative semi-structured interview guide. *Journal of Advanced Nursing*, 72(12), 2954–2965.
- Karniel, A., & Reich, Y. (2011). Formalising a workflow-net implementation of design-structure-matrix-based process planning for new product development. *IEEE Transactions on Systems, Man, and Cybernetics - Part A: Systems and Humans*, 41(3), 476–491.
- Kiely, G., Butler, T., & Finnegan, P. (2021). Global virtual teams' coordination mechanisms: Building theory from research in software development. *Behaviour & Information Technology*, 1–21.
- Kirkman, B. L., Rosen, B., Gibson, C. B., Tesluk, P. E., & McPherson, S. O. (2002). Five challenges to virtual team success: Lessons from Sabre, Inc. *Academy of Management Perspectives*, 16(3), 67–79.
- Kirkman, B. L., Rosen, B., Tesluk, P. E., & Gibson, C. B. (2004). The impact of team empowerment on virtual team performance: The moderating role of face-to-face interaction. *Academy of Management Journal*, 47(2), 175–192.

**The Factors Influencing Virtual Team Project Development Success in  
Software Product Companies: A Qualitative Inquiry**

- Kukytė, A., & Jasinskas, E. (2021). The management of a virtual project team in an international company: The perspective of virtual project team leaders. *Tiltai*, 86(1), 168–185.
- Lau, D. C., & Murnighan, J. K. (2005). Interactions within groups and subgroups: The effects of demographic faultlines. *Academy of Management Journal*, 48(4), 645–659.
- Ley, T., & Seitlinger, P. (2015). Dynamics of human categorisation in a collaborative tagging system: How social processes of semantic stabilisation shape individual sensemaking. *Computers in Human Behavior*, 51(A), 140–151.
- Licorish, S. A., & MacDonell, S. G. (2018). Exploring the links between software development task type, team attitudes and task completion performance: Insights from the Jazz repository. *Information and Software Technology*, 97(1), 10–25.
- Lin, C., Standing, C., & Liu, Y.-C. (2008). A model to develop effective virtual teams. *Decision Support Systems*, 45(4), 1031–1045.
- Lin, T.-C., & Huang, C.-C. (2010). Withholding effort in knowledge contribution: The role of social exchange and social cognitive on project teams. *Information & Management*, 47(3), 188–196.
- Lipnack, J., & Stamps, J. (1999). Virtual teams: The new way to work. *Strategy & Leadership*, 27(1), 14–19.
- Lippert, H., & Dulewicz, V. (2018). A profile of high-performing global virtual teams. *Team Performance Management: An International Journal*, 24(3/4), 169–185.
- Markus, M. L., & Mao, J.-Y. (2004). Participation in development and implementation - Updating an old, tired concept for today's IS contexts. *Journal of the Association for Information Systems*, 5(11), 514–544.
- Mason, J. (2018). *Qualitative Researching* (3<sup>rd</sup> ed.). SAGE Publications Ltd.
- Mogulof, M., French, D., Bloksberg, L., & Stern, W. (1964). Homans' theory of the human group: Applications to problems of administration, policy, and staff training in group service agencies. *Journal of Jewish Communal Service*, 40(4), 379–395.
- Morrison-Smith, S., & Ruiz, J. (2020). Challenges and barriers in virtual teams: A literature review. *SN Applied Sciences*, 2(6), 1096–1110.
- Muldoon, J., Liguori, E. W., Bendickson, J., & Bauman, A. (2018). Revisiting perspectives on George Homans: Correcting misconceptions. *Journal of Management History*, 24(1), 57–75.
- Nambisan, S. (2002). Designing Virtual customer environments for new product development: Toward a theory. *Academy of Management Review*, 27(3), 392–413.
- Ocker, R., Hiltz, S. R., Turoff, M., & Fjermestad, J. (1995). The effects of distributed group support and process structuring on software requirements development teams: Results on creativity and quality. *Journal of Management Information Systems*, 12(3), 127–153.

- Ortu, M., Destefanis, G., Kassab, M., & Marchesi, M. (2015, May). Measuring and understanding the effectiveness of JIRA developers communities. *2015 IEEE/ACM 6th International Workshop on Emerging Trends in Software Metrics*.
- PMI. (2017). *A guide to the project management body of knowledge (PMBOK Guide)* (6<sup>th</sup> ed.). Project Management Institute.
- Poetz, M. K., & Schreier, M. (2012). The value of crowdsourcing: Can users really compete with professionals in generating new product ideas? *Journal of Product Innovation Management*, 29(2), 245–256.
- Pridmore, J., & Phillips-Wren, G. (2011c). Assessing decision making quality in face-to-face teams versus virtual teams in a virtual world. *Journal of Decision Systems*, 20(3), 283–308.
- Richardson, I., Casey, V., McCaffery, F., Burton, J., & Beecham, S. (2012). A process framework for global software engineering teams. *Information and Software Technology*, 54(11), 1175–1191.
- Ruano-Mayoral, M., Casado-Lumbreras, C., Garbarino-Alberti, H., & Misra, S. (2013). Methodological framework for the allocation of work packages in global software development. *Journal of Software: Evolution and Process*, 26(5), 476–487.
- Saunders, M. N. K., Lewis, P., & Thornhill, A. (2015). *Research methods for business students* (7<sup>th</sup> ed.). Pearson.
- Schmidt, J. B., Montoya-Weiss, M. M., & Massey, A. P. (2001). New Product development decision-making effectiveness: Comparing Individuals, face-to-face teams, and virtual teams. *Decision Sciences*, 32(4), 575–600.
- Schulz-Hardt, S., & Mojzisch, A. (2012). How to achieve synergy in group decision making: Lessons to be learned from the hidden profile paradigm. *European Review of Social Psychology*, 23(1), 305–343.
- Sekaran, U., & Bougie, R. (2016). *Research methods for business: A skill building approach* (7<sup>th</sup> ed.). Wiley.
- Shachaf, P. (2008). Cultural diversity and information and communication technology impacts on global virtual teams: An exploratory study. *Information & Management*, 45(2), 131–142
- Shenton, A. K. (2004). Strategies for ensuring trustworthiness in qualitative research projects. *Education for Information*, 22(2), 63–75.
- Simão Filho, M., Pinheiro, P. R., Albuquerque, A. B., & Rodrigues, J. J. P. C. (2018). Task allocation in distributed software development: A systematic literature review. *Complexity*, 2018, 1–13.
- Simon, H. A. (1952). A Formal Theory of interaction in social groups. *American Sociological Review*, 17(2), 202-211.
- Slasscom. (2020, June 11). *Sri Lanka's IT-BPM industry: A beacon of resilience in the face of COVID-19* – Slasscom. <https://slasscom.lk/sri-lankas-it-bpm-industry-a-beacon-of-resilience-in-the-face-of-covid-19>

**The Factors Influencing Virtual Team Project Development Success in  
Software Product Companies: A Qualitative Inquiry**

- Spiers, J., Morse, J. M., Olson, K., Mayan, M., & Barrett, M. (2018). Reflection/commentary on a past article: Verification strategies for establishing reliability and validity in qualitative research. *International Journal of Qualitative Methods*, 17(1), 1-16.
- Townsend, A. M., DeMarie, S. M., & Hendrickson, A. R. (1998). Virtual teams: Technology and the workplace of the future. *Academy of Management Perspectives*, 12(3), 17-29.
- Trischler, J., Pervan, S. J., Kelly, S. J., & Scott, D. R. (2017). The value of codesign: The effect of customer involvement in service design teams. *Journal of Service Research*, 21(1), 75-100.
- Wallace, L., & Keil, M. (2004). Software project risks and their effect on outcomes. *Communications of the ACM*, 47(4), 68-73.
- Wasson, C. S. (2015). *System engineering analysis, design, and development: Concepts, principles, and practices (Wiley Series in Systems Engineering and Management)* (2<sup>nd</sup> ed.). Wiley.
- Watson, W. E., & Michaelsen, L. K. (1988). Group interaction behaviors that affect group performance on an intellectual task. *Group & Organization Studies*, 13(4), 495-516.
- Wynn, D. C., & Clarkson, P. J. (2017). Process models in design and development. *Research in Engineering Design*, 29(2), 161-202.