# THE POTENTIAL OF GHG EMISSION SAVINGS FOR PROGRAMMATIC CDM BY MUNICIPAL SOLID WASTE COMPOSTING IN THE WESTERN PROVINCE

### V. K. D. H. KARIYAKARAWANA

This dissertation is submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements of the Degree of

Bachelor of Science in Forestry and Environmental Science,

Department of Forestry and Environmental Science,

Faculty of Applied Sciences,

University of Sri Jayewardenepura,

Nugegoda, Sri Lanka.

November, 2011

Affectionately dedicated to

my loving parents

for their endless support and encouragement......

## CONTENTS

| List of plate | s   | i  |  |
|---------------|---|--|--|
| List of table | ablesii   |  |  |
| List of figur | es  | iv   |  |
| List of abbr  | eviation  | s and acronymsv  |  |
| Acknowledg    | ment  | vii  |  |
| Abstract      |   | ix   |  |
|               |   |  |  |
| CHAPTER       | ONE:  | INTRODUCTION1  |  |
| 1.1           | Munic   | ipal solid waste in the Western Province of Sri Lanka1           |  |
| 1.2           | Munic   | ipal solid waste composting1                                     |  |
| 1.3           | Process of municipal solid waste composting2                  |  |  |
| 1.4           | Environmental implications of municipal solid waste disposal5 |  |  |
| 1.5           | Clean   | Development Mechanism and programmatic Clean Development         |  |
|               | Mecha   | nism7  |  |
| 1.6           | Aim o   | f the study7   |  |
| 1.7           | Object  | tives of the study   |  |
|               |   |  |  |
| CHAPTER       | TWO:  | LITERATURE REVIEW9   |  |
| 2.1           | Climat  | te change problem9   |  |
|               | 2.1.1   | History of climate change9                                       |  |
|               | 2.1.2   | The green house effect10   |  |
|               | 2.1.3   | Greenhouse gases   |  |
|               | 2.1.4   | Global warming potential of greenhouse gases14                   |  |
| 2.2           | Globa   | l actions for global warming15                                   |  |
|               | 2.2.1   | United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change (UNFCCC)16 |  |
|               | 2.2.2   | Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC)19               |  |
|               | 2.2.3   | Kyoto protocol   |  |
|               | 2.2.4   | Clean Development Mechanism                                      |  |
|               | 2.2.5   | Programmatic Clean Development Mechanism                         |  |
|               |   |  |  |

| 2.3    | Solid    | waste management  | 30      |
|--------|----------|---|---------|
|        | 2.3.1    | Issues in municipal solid waste management in Asian region            | 31      |
|        | 2.3.2    | Municipal solid waste management in Sri Lanka                         | 32      |
|        | 2.3.3    | Municipal solid waste composting                                      | 33      |
|        | 2.3.4    | Green house gas emissions in municipal solid waste composting         | 34      |
| 2.4    | Munic    | ripal solid waste management in the Western Province of Sri Lanka.    | 35      |
|        | 2.4.1    | Waste statistics  | 35      |
|        |          |   |         |
| CHAPTE | R THRE   | CE: METHODOLOGY   | 38      |
| 3.1    | Study    | area  | 38      |
| 3.2    | Select   | ion of Local Authorities for the study                                | 38      |
|        | 3.2.1    | Selected Local Authorities for the study                              | 39      |
|        | 3.2.2    | Sampling period and sampling frequency                                | 40      |
| 3.3    | Waste    | composition analysis  | 43      |
|        | 3.3.1    | Site preparation  | 43      |
|        | 3.3.2    | Quarter method of waste sampling                                      | 43      |
|        | 3.3.3    | Waste sorting and measuring   | 43      |
| 3.4    | Data c   | collection on transportation, onsite fuel and electricity consumption | 44      |
| 3.5    | Waste    | collection rates and the compost product estimation                   | 45      |
| 3.6    | Deterr   | nination of green house gas emissions                                 | 45      |
|        | 3.6.1    | Selection of applicable green house gases to be quantified            | 46      |
|        | 3.6.2    | Quantification of methane emissions from the baseline scenario        | 47      |
|        | 3.6.3    | Quantification of avoided methane emission from the municipal         | l solid |
|        |          | waste composting  | 49      |
| 3.7    | Study    | of the current status of methane and carbon dioxide emission from the | he      |
|        | existin  | ng compost piles  | 52      |
| 3.8    | Extrap   | polation of the findings to the entire Western Province               | 54      |
|        |          |   |         |
| CHAPTE | R FOUR   | RESULTS   | 56      |
| 4.1    | Field of | observations  | 56      |
| 4.2    | Result   | s of the waste composition analysis                                   | 59      |
| 4.3    | Waste    | collection and waste transportation data                              | 60      |

|          | 4.3.1  | Waste collection rates   | 61        |
|----------|--------|--|-----------|
|          | 4.3.2  | Fuel usage and waste transportation distances                    | 61        |
| 4.4      | Onsite | e electricity and fuel consumption                               | 63        |
|          | 4.4.1  | Onsite electricity and fuel consumption of existing composting s | ites63    |
|          | 4.4.2  | Estimated onsite electricity and fuel consumption for the samp   | ple Local |
|          |        | Authorities  | 64        |
| 4.5      | Green  | n house gas emissions  | 65        |
|          | 4.5.1  | Baseline emissions   | 65        |
|          | 4.5.2  | Emissions due to waste transportation                            | 66        |
|          | 4.5.3  | Project activity emissions                                       | 67        |
|          | 4.5.4  | Emissions from compost piles during the composting process       | 68        |
| 4.6      | Quant  | tification of avoidable green house gas emissions                | 70        |
| 4.7      | Total  | GHG emission reduction for the Western Province of Sri Lanka     | 71        |
|          |        |  |           |
| СНАРТЕН  | R FIVE | : DISCUSSION   | 75        |
| 5.1      | Muni   | cipal solid waste composition                                    | 76        |
| 5.2      | Basel  | ine GHG emissions  | 77        |
| 5.3      | Waste  | e collection and transportation emissions                        | 78        |
| 5.4      | Onsite | e electricity consumption and associated emissions               | 79        |
| 5.5      | Onsite | e fuel combustion and associated emissions                       | 80        |
| 5.6      | Direc  | t emissions from compost piles                                   | 81        |
| 5.7      | Quan   | tification of avoidable GHG emissions                            | 82        |
|          |        |  |           |
| СНАРТЕН  | R SIX: | CONCLUSIONS  | 84        |
|          |        |  |           |
| СНАРТЕН  | R SEVE | EN: RECOMMENDATIONS  |           |
|          |        |  |           |
| REFEREN  | ICES   |  |           |
|          |        |  |           |
| Appendix | 1      |  |           |
| Appendix | 2      |  |           |
| Appendix | 3      |  |           |

- Appendix 4
- Appendix 5
- Appendix 6
- Appendix 7
- Appendix 8
- Appendix 9

## LIST OF PLATES

| Plate 4.1 | Waste dumping from LA 01   | . 57 |
|-----------|--|------|
| Plate 4.2 | Waste dumping from LA 05   | . 57 |
| Plate 4.3 | Recyclable material collection at the Karadiyana waste dump site | . 58 |
| Plate 4.4 | Recyclable material collection at the Manelgama waste dump site  | 58   |

## LIST OF TABLES

| Table 2.1 | Annual growth rates and sources of greenhouse gases   |
|-----------|---|
| Table 2.2 | Global warming potentials of greenhouse gases   |
| Table 2.4 | Quantified economy-wide emission reduction targets for year 2020 communicated by Annex I Parties  |
| Table 2.5 | The projections carried out for the year 2001 to 2010 which are based on current waste collection rates and a population growth rate of 1.2 percent |
| Table 3.1 | Sampling locations of MSW composition analysis  |
| Table 3.2 | Compost plants used for the data collection of on-site electricity and fuel consumption   |
| Table 3.3 | Emissions sources included or excluded from project boundary and baseline 46  |
| Table 3.4 | Local Authorities in the Western Province with no MSW composting facility 54  |
| Table 4.1 | Municipal Solid Waste composition by weight in the selected Local Authorities   |
| Table 4.2 | Quantity of municipal solid waste collection in the sample Local Authorities and the biodegradable portion that can be used for composting          |
| Table 4.3 | Average distance records, fuel consumption and load capacity of waste careers used for MSW transportation in the selected Local Authorities         |
| Table 4.4 | Average onsite electricity and fuel consumption for the compost production in the Compost Plants of the Western province                            |

| Table 4.5 | Estimated onsite electricity and fuel consumption for the compost production in |
|-----------|---|
|           | the sample Local Authorities  |
| Table 4.6 | Summary of Methane emissions from the compost piles in the tested compost       |
|           | plants 69   |
| Table 4.7 | Average achievable emission reduction for the selected Local Authorities 71     |
| Table 4.8 | Average GHG emission reductions quantified for Local Authorities in the entire  |
|           | Western Province  |
| Table 4.9 | Required emission levels in pCDM application and the obtained emission levels   |
|           | by the study for the Western Province74   |
|           |   |

## LIST OF FIGURES

| Figure 1.1 | Flow chart of a typical MSW composting process   |
|------------|--|
| Figure 1.2 | Basic GHG emissions and energy inputs in MSW disposal and MSW composting   |
| Figure 2.1 | Contribution of greenhouse gases to global warming during 1980s11  |
| Figure 2.2 | CDM project cycle  |
| Figure 2.3 | The flow of materials in a technological society and the resulting waste generation  |
| Figure 3.1 | Compost methane analyzing equipment structure  |
| Figure 3.2 | The nine insertion points of the windrow thermometer through a compost windrow   |
| Figure 4.1 | Composition of biodegradables in the municipal solid waste   |
| Figure 4.2 | Average baseline emissions   |
| Figure 4.3 | Average emissions due to transportations   |
| Figure 4.4 | Average emissions by onsite electricity consumption  |
| Figure 4.5 | Average emissions by onsite fuel consumption   |
| Figure 4.6 | Average methane emissions from compost piles   |
| Figure 4.7 | Total baseline emission and total avoidable emission projection for the first crediting period within the Western Province |
|            | IV   |

## LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS AND ACRONYMS

| AAU                | Assigned Amount Unit                           |
|--------------------|--|
| CDM                | Clean Development Mechanism                    |
| CER                | Certified Emission Reduction                   |
| CFCs               | Chlorofluoro Carbons                           |
| CH <sub>4</sub>    | Methane  |
| $CO_2$             | Carbon dioxide                                 |
| СОР                | Conference of the parties                      |
| CPA                | CDM Program Activity                           |
| DNA                | Designated National Authority                  |
| DOE                | Designated Operational Entity                  |
| DTIE               | Division of Technology, Industry and Economics |
| EB                 | Executive Board                                |
| ERU                | Emission Reduction Units                       |
| GHG                | Green House Gases                              |
| GWP                | Global warming potential                       |
| HFCs               | Hydrofluoro carbons                            |
| IPCC               | Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change      |
| JI                 | Joint Implementation                           |
| kt CO <sub>2</sub> | Kilo ton of CO <sub>2</sub>                    |
| LA                 | Local Authority                                |
| MC                 | Municipal Council                              |
| MOP                | Meeting of the Parties                         |
| MSW                | Municipal Solid Waste                          |
| MSWM               | Municipal Solid Waste Management               |
| Mt                 | Metric Ton                                     |
| NO <sub>2</sub>    | Nitrous oxide                                  |
| pCDM               | Programmatic Cleaner Development Mechanism     |
| PDD                | Project Design Document                        |
| PFCs               | Perfluoro carbons                              |

| PoA              | Program of Activities                                   |
|------------------|---|
| PP               | Project proponent                                       |
| PS               | Pradeshiya Sabha  |
| SBI              | Subsidiary Body for Implementation                      |
| SBSTA            | Subsidiary Body for Scientific and Technological Advice |
| SF <sub>6</sub>  | Sulfur hexafluoride                                     |
| SWDS             | Solid waste disposal site                               |
| tCO <sub>2</sub> | Tons of Carbon Dioxide equivalents                      |
| UC               | Urban Council   |
| UNEP             | United Nations Environment Program                      |
| UNFCCC           | United Nation's Framework Convention on Climate Change  |
| WMA-WP           | Waste Management Authority of Western Province          |
| WMO              | World Meteorological Organization                       |

### ACKNOWLEDGMENT

I would like to express my deepest gratitude to my supervisor Prof. Nilanthi Bandara, Department of Forestry and Environmental Science, the University of Sri Jayewardenepura for her valuable advices and guidance throughout the period and for granting me the opportunity to carry out an interesting study for my final year research.

I am indebted to my external supervisor Mr. Saman Leelarathna, Assistant Director and Mr. Yasantha Gunarathna, Technical Assistant, Western Province Waste Management Authority for their guidance and support extend to me during the research.

I extend my sincere gratitude to Prof. Hiran Amarasekara, Head, Department of Forestry and Environmental Science, Prof. Hemanthi Ranasinghe, Prof. B.M.P Singhakumara, Dr. Prasanthi Gunawardena, Dr. Upul Subasingha and Mr. G.G.T Chandrathilake for the immense knowledge given to me to succeed in my research work.

I am grateful to Mr. Priyantha Samarakkodi, Director, Mr. Nalin Mannapperuma, Deputy Director and all the staff members of Western Province Waste Management Authority for their kind assistance in providing essential equipments and data for my research as well as the institutional arrangements given to me for carrying out my research work.

My special thanks for Mr. Shiro Chikamatsu, CDM Project Development Appraiser (JICA team), Climate Change Secretariat, Ministry of Environment, Sri Lanka for his kind assistance in the emission calculations.

I must highly appreciate the corporation given to me by my special batch mates, Dinesh Kumara, Sadeepa Yapa, Udya Abeysingha, Sarath Ranaweera, Niranjan Kannangara and Supun Nigamuni. I extend my heartfelt appreciation to Praneeth Rajapakse for the support given in many ways during my research work.

I must make a special acknowledgment to Prabani Jayasekara and Chammi Gunathilaka for their kind corporation and help extended throughout the study. My thanks are due to Ms. Ramanika Boteju for helping me in preparation of official letters.

It is with great honor I thank my father and mother for their encouragement and support which led me throughout the research study.

#### The Potential of GHG Emission Savings for Programmatic CDM by Municipal Solid

Waste Composting in the Western Province,

#### B.Sc Dissertation, Kariyakarawana VKDH (2011)

#### Abstract

The higher level of municipal solid waste (MSW) generation in Sri Lanka is due to increased consumption patterns as well as the movement of the people from the rural areas to urban centers. The Western Province (WP) is the most populated province in the country with 5.4 million people and a daily floating population of more than 1.5 million. It was found in the study that the daily collection rate of MSW in the entire WP is around 2000 tons per day. According to the composition analyses held in five Local Authorities (Dehiwala-Mt. Lavinia MC, Horana UC, Kesbewa PS, Kaluthara PS and Kelaniya PS) during the study, it can be concluded that the biodegradable portion dominates the bulk of MSW in WP as about 76.30%. Average composition found was: paper 5.77%; food waste 55.49%; garden waste 15.04%; metals 1.07%; plastics 2.14%; polythene 7.90%; glass 1.95% and other remaining 11.43%. Generally the biodegradable portion is mainly due to food and yard waste, typical of developing countries.

Out of the 48 administrative Local Authorities within the WP, only 16 Local Authorities are practicing MSW composting. All the other Local Authorities are practicing the most common method of MSW disposal; open dumping which contributes to a continuous emission of methane gas to the atmosphere in high quantities.

Global warming due to GHG emission is a major concerned environmental issue all over the world. Programmatic Cleaner Development Mechanism (pCDM) which is one of the flexibility mechanisms of Kyoto protocol affiliated with United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change (UNFCCC) facilitates industrialized countries to reduce their GHG emission through developing countries. Among the 15 categories of CDM project types, avoidance of methane emission of open dumps by adopting composting is an opportunity for Sri Lanka to claim carbon credits from industrialized countries. Application of pCDM for MSW composting is suitable for the Sri Lankan scenario because it can be applied for several clustered small scale composting activities as a single group.

The study aimed at finding the potential of GHG emission savings by MSW composting in 32 Local Authorities of the WP which are not currently practicing MSW composting in order to quantify the CER which can be claimed for that. It can be conclude that the required emission saving level can be fulfilled with regard to the Western Province where, annually 231 kt of GHG emission can be avoided by MSW composting.

#### Key words: CDM, pCDM, Climate change, Global warming, Green house gasses, Municipal solid waste, Compost