

**A Geographical Analysis of Positioning
and Functionality of the Tank Cascade
Systems of the North Central Province
Sri Lanka**

by

Ganthihe Mudiyansele Bandaranayake

Thesis submitted to the University of Sri Jayewardenepura for the
award of the Degree of Doctorate of Philosophy in Geography

2009

Declaration

The work described in this thesis was carried out by me under the supervision of Marcus M. Karunanayake, Emeritus Professor of Geography University of Sri Jayewardenepura and Professor Lennart Strömquist, Department of Social and Economic Geography, University of Uppsala, Sweden and a report on this has not been submitted in whole or in part to any university or any other institution for another Degree/ Diploma.



.....
Date ..15/01/2009.....

Ganthihe Mudiyanse Bandaranayake



Certification

We certify that the above statement made by the candidate is true and that this thesis is suitable for submission to the University for the purpose of evaluation.



..... Date. 23/12 2008

Professor Lennart Strömquist
Department of Social and Economic Geography
University of Uppsala
Sweden



..... Date. 17-12-2008

Marcus M. Karunanayake
Emeritus Professor of Geography
University of Sri Jayewardenepura
Sri Lanka



Acknowledgements

First I am extremely grateful to my supervisors, Marcus M. Karunanayake, Emeritus Professor of Geography, University of Sri Jayewardenepura, who encouraged me to focus my interest on the field of hydrology and water resources and gave me invaluable guidance with progressive comments in supervising this thesis and Professor Lennart Strömquist, Department of Social and Economic Geography, University Uppsala, who gave me technical advice and provided materials and relevant computer programs for GIS application for this study.

I would like to express my gratitude to Dr.Clas Lindberg, the Coordinator of the Sida/SAREC Research Program who was responsible for coordinating the programs on behalf of the Department of Social and Economic Geography Uppsala University to pursue my studies in Sweden.

I would like to appreciate the help given by the Director and staff of the Agricultural Research Station, Maha Iluppallama who provided me climatic data and practical guidelines in the use of instruments.

The kind cooperation received from the International Water Management Institute (IWMI) Colombo and the Department of Irrigation in permitting me the use of library facilities and assisting in making personal contacts with scientists who are engaged in research in the same field is much appreciated.

I also wish to acknowledge Dr.T. Turpin, the Director and the Landscape Ecologists Nicholas Pearson Associates in the United Kingdom who helped me by reading the initial manuscript and giving technical advice.

I highly appreciate the help received from Ms. E. A. Thirupathy in reading the final manuscript and in setting up of the total thesis very neatly.

Finally, I appreciate the cooperation received from my wife and sons, who were a constant source of encouragement for me in this attempt.

Acronyms

AWA	-Actual Water Available area
DSWY	-Dry Season Water yield
DST	-Down Stream Tank
IWMI	-International Water Management Institute
LHG	-Low Humid Gley Soil
NCP	-North Central Province
RBE	-Reddish Brown Earth soil
TCS	-Tank Cascade Systems
TTC	-Total Tank Capacity
UST	-Upper Stream Tanks
WSA	-Water Spread Area
WSWY	-Wet season Water Yield
CWY	-Cascade Water Yield
SVI	-Spectral Vegetation Indices
WSA	-Water Spread Area
TWSA	-Total Water Spread Areas
AWAA	-Actual Water Available Area
TWI	-Tank Water Intake

Glossary of terms

Dryness of catchments.	The dry status of the tank catchments shown by the dried-up vegetation
Cascade water yield.	The water amount gained by the catchment of the cascade after the balance from rainfall input and evaporation loss
Tank density.	The number of tanks per unit of area or the ratio of tanks to the area of cascade
Dried-up tank beds.	Tank beds without water and with dried –up vegetation
Dried –up vegetation.	The vegetation characterized by permanently or temporally wilted, dead or with removed leaves
Water spread area.	The tank’s denudation area
Actual water available area.	The tank’s water area exists at the moment of investigation
Water sufficiency.	The availability water in any quantity in the driest month
Effective cascade.	Cascades which have a surplus water after filling all the tanks with the cascade water yield or showing any water quantity of water in the majority of tanks
Efficiency of cascade.	The availability of water exists in the majority of tanks in the cascade

CONTENTS

Abbreviations
Glossary of terms
Abstract

Chapters 1-8

1. Introduction.....	1-37
1.1. Dams and reservoirs	
1.2. Tank cascades	
1.3. Hydrology of cascades	
1.4. Systems theory system approach and tank cascades	
1.5. Physical background	
1.6.1. Conceptualization and theoretical framework	
1.6.2. Approach to the study	
1.7. Literature review	
1.8. Objectives	
2. Methodology.....	38-56
2.1.1. Data collection and measurements	
2.1.2. Use of climatic data	
2.1.3. Social information and oral data	
2.2.1. Definitions and parameters	
2.2.2. Water yield	
2.2.3. Tank water intake	
2.2.4. Cascade water surplus and deficit	
2.2.3. Dryness of the catchments	
2.2.4. Water efficiency	
2.2.5. Dryness of the catchments	
2.2.6. Water efficiency	
2.2.7. Dried-up vegetation.	
2.3. Study Area	
3. Spatial dimension of tank cascade systems	57-79
3.1. Distribution of cascades	
3.2. Geology, landform, topography and cascade distribution	
3.3. Drainage systems and cascades	
3.4. Tank density	
3.5. Spatial dimension of cascades in the local landscape	
3.6. Topology of cascades and classification	
3.7. Functional characteristics of cascades	

4. Formation and physical setting of sample cascades..... 80-95

- 4.1. Sample cascades
- 4.2. Cascade A
 - 4.2.1. Positioning of cascade A in relation to the *Nachchaduwa* reservoir
- 4.3. Cascade B
 - 4.3.1. Positioning of cascade A in relation to the *Nachchaduwa* reservoir
- 4.4. Cascade C

5. The status of tanks in the dry and wet seasons..... 96-162

- 5.1. Status of tanks in cascade A at the end of the dry season in 1994
- 5.2. Status of water availability in and around the tanks of the cascade A in 1994
- 5.3. Status of tanks in cascade A at the end of the 2001 dry season
- 5.4. Status of water availability in and around the tanks of the cascade A in 2001
- 5.5. Status of tanks in cascade A at the end of the wet season in 2006
- 5.6. Status of tanks in cascade B at the end of the 1994 dry season
- 5.7. Status of water availability in and around the tanks of cascade B in 1994
- 5.8. Status of tanks in cascade B at the end of the 2001 dry season in 2001
- 5.9. Status of water availability in and around the tanks of the cascade B in 2001
- 5.10. Status of tanks in cascade C at the end of the 1994 dry season
- 5.11. Status of water availability in and around the tanks of the cascade C in 1994
- 5.12. Status of tanks in cascade C at the end of dry season in 2001
- 5.13. Status of water availability in and around the tanks of cascade C in 2001
- 5.14. Status of tanks in cascade C at the end of the wet season in 2006
- 5.15. Dryness of the catchment environments.
- 5.16. The Dryness of tank catchments of the cascade A in 1994
- 5.17. The Dryness of tank catchments of the cascade A in 2001
- 5.18. The Dryness of tank catchments of the cascade B in 1994
- 5.19. The Dryness of tank catchments of the cascade B in 2001
- 5.20. The Dryness of tank catchments of the cascade C in 1994
- 5.21. The Dryness of tank catchments of the cascade C in 2001

6. Cascade water yield, tank water availability and water efficiency163-192

- 6.1. Water movements and the water yield of tanks cascades
- 6.2. Water yield of the cascade A at the end of the dry season in 1994
- 6.3. Water yields of the cascade A in 2001
- 6.4. Water yield of the cascade A in 2006
- 6.5. Water yield of the cascade B at the end of the dry season in 1994

- 6.6. Water yields of the cascade B in 2001
- 6.7. Water yield of the cascade B in 2006
- 6.8. Water yield of the cascade C at the end of the dry season in 1994

- 6.9. Water yields of cascade C in 2001
- 6.10. Water yield of cascade C in 2006
- 6.11. Cascade tank intake index
- 6.12. Tank water intake of cascade A in 1994
- 6.13. Tank water intake of cascade A in 2001
- 6.14. Tank water intake of cascade A in 2006
- 6.15. Tank water intake of cascade B in 1994
- 6.16. Tank water intake of cascade B in 2001
- 6.17. Tank water intake of cascade B in 2006
- 6.18. Tank water intake of cascade C in 1994
- 6.19. Tank water intake of cascade C in 2001
- 6.20. Tank water intake of cascade C in 2006

7. System functionality of cascades and water efficiency.....193-210

- 7.1. Position of tanks and the functionality of the cascade A
- 7.2. System functionality of the cascade A and water efficiency
- 7.3. Position of tanks and the functionality of the cascade B
- 7.4. System functionality of cascade B and water efficiency
- 7.5. Position of tanks and the functionality of the cascade C
- 7.6. System functionality of cascade C and water efficiency

8. Summary and conclusions.....211-218

- List of Tables
- List of Figures and Plates
- References
- Appendices

List of Tables

	Page
2.1 General rainfall regimes and climatic conditions of the Dry Zone according to Sirinanda (1983)	42
3.1 Distribution of cascades per Province	58
3.2 Cascade distribution within the North Central Province	59
3.3 The distribution of cascades according to river basins.	60
3.4 The distribution of tanks according river basins	62
3.5 Location of cascades in the NCP	65
3.6 Cascade tank density of NCP	68
3.7.1 Size class categories of cascades according to Panabokk	70
3.7.2 Cascade classification based on cropping intensity, after Panabokke	71
3.8. Classification of cascades using multi criteria made by Witharana (2004)	72
5.1 Stream-wise distribution of tanks in Cascade A	97
5.2. Water status in and around the tanks of cascade A in 1994	101
5.3 Water availability of the tanks in the cascade A in 1994.	104
5.4 Status of each tank of the cascade A in September 2001	109
5.5. Status of water in and around the tanks of cascade A in 200	109
5.6. Water areas of tanks of the cascade A in 1994 and 200	110
5.7. Water level deviation from mean level of each tank in the cascade A in 2006	112
5.8. Functional status of tanks in cascade A in the rainy season (2006)	115
5.9 Stream-wise distribution of tanks in the cascade B in 1994	116
5.10 Status of tanks in cascade B at the end of the dry season in 1994	118
5.11 Status of water availability of tanks in cascade B in 1994	120
5.12 Physical characteristic of the cascades of studied (Panabokke, C.R.)	121
5.13 Status of water availability of tanks in cascade B in 2001	125
5.14 Location of tanks in the stream valleys	126
5.15 Status of tanks in the cascade C in 1994	131
5.16 Water status of tanks in the cascade C in 1994	133
5.17 Status of tanks in the cascade C at the end of the dry season in 2001	136
5.18 Water condition in each tank of the cascade C	138
5.19 Changes occurred in the water spread areas of tanks cascade C	139
5.20 Working and abandoned tanks in the cascade C in different year	140
5.21 Dryness of vegetation of tank catchments in the cascade A in 1994	145
5.22 Actual water available areas of tanks and the dryness of vegetation in tank catchments of the cascade A in 1994	146
5.23 Status of vegetation in tank's catchment of the cascade A in 2001	149
5.24 Dried-up vegetation covers of tank's catchments of the cascade B in 1994	152
5.25 Dried-up vegetation covers of tank's catchments of the cascade C in 2001	153
5.26 Dried-up vegetation covers of tank's catchments of the cascade C in 1994	155
5.27 Dried-up vegetation of tank's catchments of the cascade C in 2001	159

5.28	Changes in the catchments areas of rehabilitated tanks by 200	160
5.29	Functional status, water availability, dried-up vegetation and the efficiency of cascades	161
6.1	Rainfall data and water yield of cascade A in 1994	165
6.2	Water yield of cascade A in the dry and wet seasons in 2001	166
6.3	Water yields of cascade A in 2006	167
6.4	Water yield of cascade B in the dry and wet seasons in 1994	168
6.5	Water yield of Cascade B 2001	169
6.6	Water yield of cascade B in 2006	170
6.7	Water yield of cascade C in 1994	171
6.8	Water yield of cascade C in 2001	172
6.9	Water yield of cascade C in 2006	173
6.10	Water yields of sub-cascades of the cascade C in 1994	175
6.11	Tank Water intake of the cascade A in 1994	177
6.12	Tank Water holding capacities of the cascade A in 1994.	177
6.13	Tank Water intake of the cascade A in 2001	179
6.14	Tank water intake of cascade A in 2006	181
6.15	Changes of tank water holding capacities of the cascade A in 2006	181
6.16	Tank water intake of cascade B in 1994	182
6.17	Tank Water holding capacity of cascade B in 1994	182
6.18	Tank water intake of cascade B in 2001 and 1994	183
6.19	Tank water capacity of cascade B in 2001	184
6.20	Tank water intake of the cascade B in 2006	185
6.21	Tank water capacities of the cascade B in 2006	185
6.22	Tank water capacity of the cascade C in 1994	186
6.23	Total tank water capacities of the cascade C in 1994	187
6.24	Tank water capacity of cascade C in 2001	188
6.25	Total tank water holding capacity of the cascade C in 2001	188
6.26	Tank water intake of cascade C in 2006	190
6.27	Total tank water holding capacities of cascade C	190
6.28	Tank water intake and water efficiency	191
7.1	Position of tank in the cascade A	195
7.2	System functionality of the cascade A in 2006 in major (<i>Maha</i>) season	198
7.3	Position of tank in the cascade B	204
7.4	System functionality of the cascade A in 2006 in major (<i>Maha</i>) season	206
7.5	System functionality and the cascade efficiency	209

List of Figures and Plates

		Page
1.1	A tank cascades as a system	13
1.2	Drainage systems of Sri Lanka	15
1.3	Schematic representation of a small cascade	17
1.4	A model of a cascade showing destination points of water flow	19
2.1	Rainfall pattern of the Dry Zone according to the Hydrological year	44
2.2	Drainage and watersheds of the Anuradhapura district	55
2.3	Study area	56
3.1	Aerial view of the distributional pattern of tank cascades	58
3.2	Cascade distribution according to river basins	61
3.3	Geology and cascade distribution as shown in Landsat Image	63
3.4	Thematic map showing landforms and cascade distribution	65
3.5	Tanks distribution according Cook	67
3.6	Different shapes of cascades	75
3.7	Typical single and interconnected cascades	76
3.8.1	A model showing cumulative increase of tank capacity of a cascade	77
3.8.2	Cumulative storage cascades	78
4.1	Nachchaduwa drainage and sample cascades of the study	81
4.2	Cascade A and its destination tanks	83
4.3	Drainage and tanks in the cascade A	84
4.4.1	Pahalawewa sub cascade	86
4.4.2	Location of tanks and the shape the <i>Pahalawewa</i> sub cascade	86
4.5.1	<i>Mahakanumulla</i> sub cascade	87
4.5.2.	Location of tanks and the shape the <i>Mahakanumulla</i> sub cascade	87
4.6.1.	<i>Kunchikulama</i> sub cascade .Location of tanks	88
4.6.2.	Location of tanks and the shape the <i>Kunchikulama</i> sub cascade	89
4.7.1	Cascade B, its shape and location of tanks	91
4.7.2.	Cascade C and its tank's position	92
4.7.3.	Shape of the <i>Ulankulama</i> sub cascade	93
4.7.4.	Shape of the Wannamaduwa sub cascade	94
5.1	Functional and abandoned tanks of the cascade A	98
5.2	Abandoned and working tanks of cascade A in 2001	106
5.3	Rainfall trends from 2001 to 2006	110

5.4	Tank distribution of cascade B in 1956 and 1994	117
5.5	Functional status of tanks in cascade B in 1994 and 2001	123
5.6	Distribution of working and abandoned tanks in the cascade C.	129
5.7	Topography and drainage systems of the lower part of the cascade C	130
5.8	Distribution of tanks in the cascade C in 1956 and 2001	135
5.9.1	<i>Kunchikulama</i> subcascade- dryness of catchment vegetation	147
5.9.2	<i>Pahalawewa</i> subcascade- dryness of catchment vegetation	147
5.10	<i>Wamaduwa</i> tank catchment- change of vegetation cover in 2001	150
5.11	Change in the water spread area of the <i>Meegaswewa</i> tank	154
5.12	Tanks and dieback and live vegetation of the entire cascade C in 1994	156
5.13	Topography of the upper parts of the cascade C and B	157
6.1	A model showing the water movements of an individual cascade system	164
6.2	Dry (September) and wet (February) season water yields of the cascade A	168
6.3	Dry and wet season water yields of the cascade B	170
6.4	Dry and wet season water yields of the cascade .C	173
7.1	Position of tanks in the cascade A	196
7.2	Position of tanks in the cascade B	203
7.3	System functionality of cascade C	207

Plates

		Page
5.1	Full water spread area of <i>Ulankulama sub- cascade</i> in the wet season 141
5.2	Water spread boundary demarcated by vegetation cover 141
5.3	Paddy cultivation in the <i>Maha</i> season below the <i>Ulankulama</i> tank 142
5.4	Water available under vegetation cover in the upper boundary 142
5.5	Live vegetation around the dried up tank bed 143

Appendices

	Page
(i) Basic Landsat Image (1994) used in the study	226
(ii) Basic Landsat Image (2001) used in the study	227
(iii) <i>Nachchaduwa</i> upper basin and the study area	228
(iv) Sample cascades – digital overlying of Canvas GIS on topographical map.....	229
(v) Basic information on location of tanks of the study area	230
(vi) Water condition of each tank-CANVAS + MF WORKS-GIS programs	232
(vii) Tank cascade classification	234
(viii) Water yields of sub-cascades of the cascade C in 1994.....	235
(ix) Multispec land classification (one program output).....	237
(x) Rainfall data pertaining to the study area	238
(xi) Rock outcrops in the catchments of tanks that cause rapid runoff <i>Maradankadawala</i> tank in the cascade C.....	239
(xii) System functionality of the cascade C	240
(xiii) Long term September and February rainfall pattern of the study area.....	241

A Geographical Analysis of Positioning and Functionality of the Tank Cascade Systems of the North Central Province

Sri Lanka

by

Ganthihe Mudiyansele Bandaranayake

ABSTRACT

Key words: Cascades, Water efficiency, Catchment dryness, Water yield, Water surplus, Tank water intake

The cascade tank systems which have been structurally organized within river and stream basins are unique and widely spread phenomena of the Dry Zone landscape of Sri Lanka, where irrigation and water resources management have roots in the early history.

Most studies on tanks and tank cascade systems in Sri Lanka have dealt with the irrigation, water management and environmental aspects, based on individual locations.

Morphologically their formation, shape and size and their relation to the water availability from a spatial perspective have not been adequately studied.

Thus, the present study attempts to comparatively analyze the 'water efficiency of tank cascade systems' using remote sensing, and topographical maps interpretation together with field verifications. To achieve this objective the study observes the distribution and positioning of the tank cascades with emphasise on the physical setup to enable an analysis of water efficiency.

Placing greater emphasis on the spatial aspects of cascades, the study also attempts to differentiate the cascades on the basis of size, shape and destination points of water flow. This classification thus provides an appropriate framework to discuss the location and positioning of the cascades to designate the most efficiencant cascades in the sense of water availability

With the help of the Landsat image interpretation, the study attempts to view the environmental status, in particular the 'dryness' of the tank catchments in order to identify the water and moisture availability of cascades with different shapes to determine which type of cascade is more 'efficient' in maintaining a wet environmental condition.

Quantitatively, the 'cascade water yields' calculated by rainfall and evaporation, and the total tank water capacities are used to determine the most effective cascade types in the sense of water 'deficit' or 'surplus'.

In terms of 'systems theory' the present study also attempts to view how the tank cascades are presently functioning as 'real systems' with an inter-tank water flow system. In addition, from a social perspective, the people's perception or awareness of the cascading water flow system is used to further confirm the system functionality.

In sum, with the use of visual information together with quantitative data, the present study provides a basic guidance to identify the most important cascade types on which attention should be focused in the planning of small tank development in the Dry Zone of Sri Lanka.