

**HABITAT USE OF DIURNAL WATER FOWL IN
ANNAIWILUNDAWA RAMSAR WETLAND OF
NORTHWESTERN OF SRI LANKA**

by

Aththidiya Vidanalage Dona Jeewani Indika



Thesis submitted to the University of Sri Jayewardenepura for the
award of the Degree of Master of Philosophy in Zoology on June
2014

DECLARATION

"The work described in this thesis was carried out by me under the supervision of Dr (Mrs) W.A.D. Mahaulpatha, Senior Lecture Department of Zoology, Faculty of Applied Sciences, University of Sri Jayewardenepura, Nugegoda and a report on this has not been submitted in whole or in part to any university or any other institution for another Degree/Diploma".

05-05-2015

Date



Signature

DECLARATION

I certify that the candidate has incorporated all corrections, amendments and additional recommended by the examiners.

W.A.D. Mahaulpatha

28.04.2015

Signature

Date

Supervisor

(Dr (Mrs) W.A.D. Mahaulpatha)

Dept. of Zoology

University of Sri Jayewardenepura

CONTENTS

	Page
LIST OF TABLES	vii
LIST OF FIGURES	ix
LIST OF PLATES	xi
ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	xii
ABSTRACT	xiii
1.0 INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 Importance of wet lands	1
1.2 Wet lands and water birds	1
1.3 Factors affecting to habitat utilization of waterfowl	2
1.4 Brief introduction of Wet lands in Sri Lanka and Annaiwilundawa Ramsar wetland	4
1.5 Habitat utilization of waterfowl in Annaiwilundawa Ramsar wetland	5
1.6 Objectives	6
2.0 LITERATURE SURVEY	7
2.1 Geography of Sri Lanka	7
2.2 Physiographic of Sri Lanka	7
2.3 Climatic pattern of Sri Lanka	8
2.4 Climatic Zones of Sri Lanka	8
2.5 Bio diversity of Sri Lanka	9
2.6 Avifauna and Aquatic Avifauna of Sri Lanka	10

2.7 About Order Anseriformes in Sri Lanka	11
2.8 Anatidae in Annaiwilundawa Ramsar Wetland	12
2.9 Research and waterfowls in the world	12
2.10 Waterfowl research in Sri Lanka	17
3.0 METHODOLOGY	18
3.1 Study Site	18
3.2 Water Birds Observation	21
3.3 Observation of Habitat variables	22
3.3.1 Chemical and physical parameters	23
3.4 Diurnal activity budgets	23
3.4.1 Feeding technique of the waterfowls	24
3.4.2 Food availability	25
3.5 Interspecific Association in habitat use between waterfowls and other water birds	25
3.6 Disturbances to the waterfowl	26
3.8 Data Analysis	26
3.8.1 Analysis of water bird population data	26
3.8.2 Analysis of Habitat variables	27
3.8.3 Analysis of relationship between physical and chemical characteristics of the reservoirs with the waterfowl density	28

3.8.4 Analysis of diurnal activity budgets and habitat utility of waterfowls	28
3.8.4.1 Calculate and compare bidimensional foraging niche breadth (<i>FNB</i>) for waterfowl	28
3.8.4.2 Analysis of micro invertebrates fauna densities	29
3.8.5 Analysis of interspecific association in habitat use between waterfowls and other water birds	29
3.8.6 Analysis of disturbances for waterfowl	30
4.0 RESULTS	31
4.1 Water bird Population	31
4.1.1 Density of the waterfowls in the three reservoirs	38
4.1.2 Density variation of the waterfowls among the seasons	40
4.2 Habitat variables	43
4.2.1 Characteristic features of the three reservoirs of study	47
4.2.2 Composition of available habitat types within the three Reservoirs	47
4.2.3 Variation of available habitat types and water depth in the wetland among seasons	48
4.2.4 Variation of chemical parameters of water during study period	49
4.2.5 Variation of temperature and rainfall during study period	50

4.3 Relationship between physical and chemical characteristics	
of the reservoirs with the waterfowl density	52
4.3.1 Relationship between waterfowl population with a	
vailable habitat type	52
4.3.2 Relationship between Waterfowl population with	
water level Fluctuation	53
4.3.2.1 Relationship between Garganey populations	
with water depth	54
4.3.3 Relationship between Waterfowl population with	
Temperature and Rainfall	55
4.4 Diurnal activity budgets of Waterfowls	56
4.4.1 Diurnal activity budgets of Cotton Pygmy Goose	56
4.4.2 Diurnal activity budgets of Garganey	57
4.4.3 Diurnal activity budgets of Lesser Whistling- Ducks	58
4.4.4 Seasonal variation of diurnal activity of waterfowl	59
4.4.4.1 Seasonal variation of diurnal activity of	
Cotton Pygmy Goose	59
4.4.4.2 Seasonal variation of diurnal activity of Garganey	60
4.4.4.3 Seasonal variation of diurnal activity of	
Lesser Whistling-ducks	61
4.5 Habitat utilization of waterfowls	62
4.5.1 Habitat utilization of Cotton Pygmy Goose	62
4.5.2 Habitat utilization of Garganey	64

4.5.2.1 Seasonal variation in Diurnal Habitat Usage of Garganey	65
4.5.3 Habitat utilization of Lesser Whistling-ducks	66
4.6 Comparison of diurnal feeding niche breadth of waterfowls	67
4.7 Density variations in of benthic micro invertebrate fauna	71
4.8 Interspecific association in habitat use between waterfowl and other water birds	73
4.9 Disturbances for waterfowl	75
5.0 Discussion	77
5.1 Water bird Population	77
5.1.1 Density of the waterfowls in the three reservoirs	78
5.1.2 Density variation of the waterfowls among the seasons	81
5.2 Variation of available habitat types and water depth in the Ramsar wetland among seasons	82
5.2.1 Relationship between waterfowl population with available habitat type	83
5.2.2 Relationship between waterfowl and water level	84
5.3 Habitat characteristics, Chemical and Physical parameters of three reservoirs	85
5.4 Diurnal activity budgets of Waterfowls	85
5.5 Comparison of bidimensional diurnal feeding niche breadth of Waterfowls	90
5.6 Density variations of Benthic Micro invertebrate fauna	92

5.7 Inter specific association in habitat use between waterfowl and other water birds	93
5.8 Disturbances for waterfowl	93
5.9 Recommendation	94
6.0 CONCLUSIONS	95
7.0 REFERENCES	96
8.0 APPENDICES	110

LIST OF TABLES

Table No	Title	Page
1.	Diurnal water bird species composition recorded at the Annaiwilundawa Ramsar site of Sri Lanka during the period of October 2009 – March 2010	32
2.	Mean \pm SD number of birds recorded in each month at the Annaiwilundawa Ramsar site, during October 2009 - March 2010.	35
3.	Species Richness, diversity index and evenness of the water birds at the three reservoirs during the period of October 2009 - March 2010	37
4.	χ^2 value, df and p value form Kruskal-Wallis test to compare density variation of the three waterfowl species recorded from the three reservoirs	39
5.	Habitat variables & number of water birds and waterfowl recorded at the Annaiwilundawa Ramsar Site during the period of October 2009 - March 2010	44
6.	Mean and SD of Conductivity, pH and Dissolved oxygen with ANOVA results during study period	50
7.	Correlation between available habitat type and densities of waterfowl	53
8.	Mean percentages and SD of diurnal habitat utilization of Cotton Pygmy Goose inhabiting Annaiwilundawa Ramsar Wetland during study period	63
9.	Correlation between available habitat type and densities of waterfowl	65

10. Feeding habitats and techniques showed by waterfowl species during study period	69
11. Foraging niche breadth for foraging habitat, feeding technique and bidimensional of three Waterfowl species of study	70
12. Pair wise Foraging Niche overlap (O) between bidimensional foraging niches (for Foraging Habitat and feeding technique)	70
13. Calculated feeding depths for various feeding techniques showed by Garganey and Cotton Pygmy Goose	71
14. Seasonal variations in the average density and standard deviation of benthic micro invertebrate fauna (individuals/ m ³) of the Annaiwilundawa Ramsar wetland	72
15. Comparison between observed (obs%) and expected (exp%) proportions of other waterbird species recorded as nearest neighbours to Garganey	74
16. ANOVA results for actual and possible disturbances	76

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure No	Title	Page
1.	Map of Study site	20
2.	Distribution of water birds within the three reservoirs	38
3.	Density Variation of Waterfowls within three reservoirs.	40
4.	Seasonal Variation of the three waterfowl densities in Ramsar Wetland	41
5.	Density variation of Garganey during study period. Winter 1 (Oct 2009 to March 2010), Winter 2 (Oct 2010 – March 2011) and Winter 3 (Oct 2011- March 2012)	42
6.	Seasonal variation of available habitat types in the Ramsar wetland	49
7.	Seasonal changes of mean temperatures (° c) during three years of study	51
8.	Seasonal changes in rainfall (mm) during three years of study	52
9.	Variation of waterfowl densities with water depth in study site	54
10.	Variation of average density of Garganey with mean water depths	54
11.	Variation of average density of Garganey with mean water depths up to 40cm	55
12.	Diurnal activity budget of Cotton Pygmy Goose	57
13.	Diurnal activity budget of Garganey	58
14.	Diurnal activity budget of Lesser Whistling- Ducks	59
15.	Seasonal variation in the activity budget of Cotton Pygmy Goose	60

16. Seasonal variation of Garganey's diurnal activity budget during three winter period of study.	61
17. Seasonal variation of Lesser Whistling-ducks diurnal activity budget	62
18. Diurnal Habitat Usage of Cotton Pygmy Goose	63
19. Diurnal Habitat Utilization of Garganey	64
20. Seasonal variation of habitat used by Garganey in three winter periods of study	66
21. Diurnal Habitat Usage of Lesser Whistling duck	67
22. Monthly variation of number of individuals in five dominant water birds families wintering in Annaiwilundawa wetland in October 2009 to March 2010.	73
23. Actual and possible average percentage disturbances recorded form three reservoirs	75

LIST OF PLATES

Plate No.	Title	Page
1.	Depth marked pole coloured by black and white	114
2.	Lesser Whistling Ducks (<i>Dendrocygna javanica</i>)	114
3.	Cotton Pygmy Goose (<i>Nettapus coromandelianus</i>)	114
4.	Flock of Garganey	114
5.	Mix flock of Garganey and Lesser Whistling Ducks	114
6.	Water Hyacinth (<i>Eichhornia crassipes</i>)	114
7.	Salvinia (<i>Salvinia molesta</i>)	115
8.	Nelum (<i>Nelumbo nucifera</i>)	115
9.	Olu (<i>Nymphaea pubescens</i>)	115
10.	Seasonally flooded grasses	115
11.	Toothbrush tree (<i>Streblus asper</i>)	115
12.	Cows, natural possible disturber for waterfowl	115
13.	A actual disturber, fisherman	116
14.	Brahmin kite a natural actual disturber for waterfowl	116
15.	Crested serpent eagle a natural actual disturber for waterfowl	116
16.	Water monitors, a natural actual disturber for waterfowl	116

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I am indebted to the University of Sri Jayewardenepura, Nugegoda and to the academic and non academic staff of the department of Zoology, University of Sri Jayewardenepura providing the necessary facilities to conduct this study. I wish to extend my sincere gratitude to my supervisor Dr. (Mrs) W.A.D.Mahaulpatha, Senior Lecturer, Department of Zoology Faculty of Applied Sciences, University of Sri Jayewardenepura. This thesis would not have been possible without the help and support of her. I convey to my gratitude to Dr. W.M.T. Mahaulpath, for his invaluable assistance throughout the study. I also wish to extend my sincere thanks to the Director, Department of Wildlife and Conservation for granting me permission to carry out this research at the Annaiwilundawa Ramsar Wetland. I greatly appreciate the support given by my colleagues Mrs.Y.A.G.S. Priyangali, Miss. G.D.N.S. Karunarathne and my cousins A.V.D.H.A.Bundula, A.V.D.C.Chandimal and K.H.C.Udayanga in field works. Also I wish to thank Miss. D.M.T. Dassanayake Mrs M.G.T.H. Aberathna and U. Kaurnarathna for their invaluable support throughout the study. I also thank my friends (too many to list here but you know who you are!) for providing support and friendship that I needed. I want to express my deepest love and thanks to my loving mother S. Liyanage, Husband C.S.C. Dissanayake and My sister A.V.D.D. Janaki for encourage and help me through the research. Finally I thanks to my loving sons Chamiru and Ramiru for making me so happy with their cute smiles and engaging me lot at home.

**HABITAT USE OF DIURNAL WATERFOWL IN
ANNAIWILUNDAWA RAMSAR WETLAND OF NORTHWESTERN
OF SRI LANKA**

Aththidiya Vidanalage Dona Jeewani Indika

ABSTRACT

Waterfowl constitute an integral part of wetland ecosystems and they are bio indicators of wetland ecosystems, because they quickly respond to any changes in vegetation composition and water level fluctuation as compared to other animals. Hence diurnal habitat use of waterfowl at three reservoirs of the Annaiwilundawa Ramsar site of Northwestern Sri Lanka were studied from October 2009 to September 2012 to better understand habitat requirements of waterfowl in wetlands and provide information for conservation and management of crucial site. The day was divided in to three time periods as morning (dawn hrs - 10:30 hrs); midday (10:31 hrs – 14.30 hrs) and evening (14:31 hrs - dusk) and the diurnal activities and habitat use of waterfowl were recorded using “Focal animal sampling method” and the “Scan sampling method”. Waterfowl were observed through a 15~60 x 25 spotting scope and 25 x 45 binocular. Variation of waterfowl densities with available habitat type and seasonal variation of waterfowl densities were analyzed. Any event causing the waterfowls to alter their behaviour was recorded as a disturbance. Only three waterfowl species, namely Lesser Whistling Duck, Cotton Pygmy Goose and Garganey were recorded during the study period. Densities of Lesser Whistling

Duck and Garganey did not vary significantly within the three reservoirs (respectively $\chi^2 = 3.672$, $df = 2$, $p = 1.59$ and $\chi^2 = 0.241$, $df = 1$, $p = 0.594$). But density of Cotton Pygmy Goose varied significantly among the three reservoirs ($\chi^2 = 10.460$, $df = 2$, $p = 0.005$). The densities of waterfowls (three species together) showed clear seasonal variation ($\chi^2 = 7.939$, $df = 3$, $p = 0.047$) with highest density in Northeast Monsoon Season and lowest in South West Monsoons. When the reservoirs were completely dry waterfowls completely abandoned the wetland. Density of Cotton Pygmy Goose varied significantly with available open water percentage ($r = 0.382$, $p = 0.028$, $df = 33$), micro invertebrates densities ($r = 0.615$, $p = 0.044$, $df = 11$) as well as water depth ($r = 0.500$, $df = 33$, $p = 0.03$). But densities of Garganey and Lesser whistling duck did not show significant correlation with these parameters. Garganey numbers showed a significant decrease during the three year study period ($\chi^2 = 8.372$, $df = 2$, $p = 0.015$). Resident waterfowl as well as Garganey used this wetland as resting ground and it was observed that the greatest threat faced by this very important Ramsar site is the irregular fluctuation of the water level. This had a huge effect specifically on the migratory waterfowl Garganey. Therefore, if the Annaiwilundawa Ramsar wetland is to be preserved as an important habitat for the migrating waterfowl the management should take every precaution to maintain water level of at least 40-80 cm though out the year.

Key words: Diurnal waterfowl, Annaiwilundawa Ramsar wetland, Cotton Pygmy Goose, Garganey, Lesser whistling duck, Habitat use