A STUDY OF SUITABILITY OF DIFFERENT

PLASTIC FILMS IN PACKAGING OF FOODS.

LIGHT SU SEAR BRANCHING MEALURING FLUIT DE SAGARDES ETÀ THRÈS. "A L'UNDOF DE BRANCHING DE

separation to any University for an ther degree

BY

R. K. SURESH

M.Sc.

1999

DECLARATION

We certify that the above statement made by the candidate is true and that this thesis is suitable for submission to the University for the purpose of evaluation.

Supervisor

Date 17/11/99

(Prof. Arthur Bamunuararchchi)

Supervisor (Dr. Sudantha Liyanage)

Date 12/11/99.

A study of suitability of different plastic films in

packaging of foods.

R. K. Suresh

Thesis submitted to the University of Sri Jayewardenepura for the award of the degree of Master of Science in Polymer Science and Technology on November, 1999

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | Page |
|------------------|------|
| List of Contents | Ι |
| List of Tables | v |
| List of Figures | VI |
| Acknowledgement | VII |
| Abstract | IX |
| Abbreviations | XI |

LIST OF CONTENTS

CHAPTER 1

| 1.0 In | troduction | | 1 |
|--------|-----------------|---------------------------------|----|
| | 1.1 Function | of food package. | 2 |
| | 1.2 Factor det | ermining the food package. | 2 |
| | 1.2.1 | Environmental factor. | 3 |
| | 1.2.2 | Mechanical and Physical factor. | 4 |
| | 1.2.3 | Biological and Other factors. | 5 |
| | 1.3 Shelf life. | | 7 |
| | 1.4 Barrier pro | operties of package. | 10 |
| | 1.4.1 | Diffusion and Permeability. | 12 |
| | 1.5 Manufactu | uring of Plastic films | 16 |
| | 1.5.1 | Cellophane film. | 16 |

| 1.5.2 | Polyethylene film. | 18 |
|---------------|-------------------------------------|----|
| 1.5.3 | Polypropylene film. | 21 |
| | 1.5.3.1 Oriented Polypropylene. | 21 |
| | 1.5.3.2 Non Oriented Polypropylene. | 23 |
| 1.5.4 | Shrink film. | 24 |
| 1.6 Packaging | g of spices. | 27 |
| 1.6.1 | Moisture content. | 27 |
| 1.6.2 | Loss of aroma / flavour. | 27 |
| 1.6.3 | Discolouration. | 27 |
| 1.6.4 | Insect infestation. | 28 |
| 1.6.5 | Microbial spoilage. | 28 |
| 1.7 Water act | ivity. | 29 |
| 1.8 Scope of | project. | 31 |

CHAPTER 2

| 2.0 Methods | 32 |
|--|----|
| 2.1 Determining the shelf life of food item with selected films. | 32 |
| 2.1.1 Actual storage test. | 32 |
| 2.1.2 Accelerated storage test. | 32 |
| 2.2 Determination of moisture transfer rate. | 32 |
| 2.3 Determination of gas permeability. | 33 |
| 2.4 Determination of moisture content. | 34 |

| 2.5 Determination of water activity of foods. | 34 |
|---|----|
| 2.6 Determination of physical strength of package by drop test. | 35 |
| 2.7 Determination of film thickness. | 35 |
| CHAPTER 3 | |
| 3.0 RESULTS | 36 |
| 3.1 Film thickness measurement. | 36 |
| 3.2.1 Water vapour transfer rate - single layer. | 36 |
| 3.2.2 Water vapour transfer rate – multi layer. | 37 |
| 3.3 Shelf life of curry powder. | 41 |
| 3.3.1 Observation of curry powder shelf life. | 42 |
| 3.4 Shelf life of chillie powder. | 43 |
| 3.4.1 Stored in normal condition. | 43 |
| 3.4.2 Stored in desiccator with water :100% RH. | 45 |
| 3.4.3 Stored in desiccator with H_2SO_4 : 0 % RH. | 47 |
| 3.5 Shelf life of bun. | 50 |
| 3.6 Water activity of selected foods. | 51 |
| 3.7 Moisture content of species. | 52 |
| 3.8 Results of drop test. | 53 |
| 3.9 Discussion. | 54 |
| 4.0 REFERENCES | 57 |

5.0 APPENDICES

| Appendix 1 : Samples of selected plastic films. | 58 |
|---|-------|
| Appendix 2 : Flow sheet for identification of unknown plastic fil | m. 64 |
| Appendix 3 : Properties of plastic films. | 65 |
| Appendix 4 : Total cost diagram. | 70 |
| Appendix 5 : Details of apparatus. | 71 |

LIST OF TABLE

| Table 1.0 : Minimum Aw for growth of micro organism. | 30 |
|--|----|
| Table 3.1 : Film thickness measurements. | 36 |
| Table 3.2.1 : Water vapour transfer rate – single layer. | 37 |
| Table 3.2.2 : Water vapour transfer rate – multi layer. | 39 |
| Table 3.3 : Shelf life of curry powder. | 41 |
| Table 3.3.1 : Observation of curry powder shelf life. | 42 |
| Table 3.4.1 : Chillie powder stored in normal condition. | 43 |
| Table 3.4.2 : Chillie powder stored in desiccator with water. | 45 |
| Table $3.4.3$: Chillie powder stored in desiccator with H_2SO_4 . | 47 |
| Table 3.5 : Shelf life of bun. | 50 |
| Table 3.6 : Water activity of selected food. | 51 |
| Table 3.7 : Moisture content of spices. | 52 |
| Table 3.8 : Drop test. | 53 |
| Table A.1 : Properties of cellophane. | 65 |
| Table A.2 : Properties of nylon. | 66 |
| Table A.3 : Properties of polyester. | 67 |
| Table A.4 : Properties of polypropylene. | 68 |
| Table A.5 : Properties of shrink Films. | 69 |

V

LIST OF FIGURES

| Fig 1.1 : Factor determining the food package. | 6 |
|---|----|
| Fig 1.2 : The basic shelf Life simulation model. | 9 |
| Fig 1.3 : Method of producing polyethylene film. | 20 |
| Fig 1.4 : Chemical structure of common food packaging plastics. | 26 |
| Fig 3.1 : Moisture transfer rate through plastic films. | 38 |
| Fig 3.2 : Moisture transfer rate through multi layer plastic films. | 40 |
| Fig 3.3 : Shelf life of chillie powder stored in normal condition. | 44 |
| Fig 3.4 : Shelf life of chillie powder placed in 100 % RH. | 46 |
| Fig 3.5 : Shelf life of chillie powder stored in 0 % RH. | 48 |
| Fig 3.6 : Comparison of chillie powder placed in different condition. | 49 |
| Fig A.1: Flow sheet for identification of unknown plastic film. | 64 |
| Fig A.2: Total packaging cost diagram. | 70 |
| Fig A.3: Diagram of gas transmission rate apparatus. | 71 |
| Fig A.4: Photograph of gas transmission rate measuring apparatus. | 72 |
| Fig A 5. Photograph of water activity measuring apparatus. | 73 |

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I wish to express my sincere gratitude particularly to my supervisor, Prof. Arthur Bamunuarachchi, the Professor of Applied science, University of Sri Jaywardenapura for his guidance and advice given to me throughout this study.

I am grateful to my supervisor Dr.Sudantha Liyanage, Co-ordinator of Polymer science and technology for the support given to me.

I wish to express my sincere thank to my superior, Mr. Janaka Goonerwardena, Chief Executive Officer and staff of Phoenix Aluminium Ltd, Welisara for the support & encouragement given to me to pursue this postgraduate study.

I also thank Selmo (Pvt) Ltd, Wattala for suppling the plastic films.

Finally the assistance given by Mr. Sisira Weerasinghe, Technical Officer of food science laboratory, University of Sri Jaywardenapura, is highly appreciated.

R.K.Suresh

DEDICATION

TO MY DEAREST PARENTS,

As a mark of respect to their sacrifice and life long encouragement for the

education of their children.

ABSTRACT

A STUDY OF THE SUITABILITY OF DIFFERENT PLASTIC FILMS IN PACKAGING OF FOODS

Suresh R..Kanagasabapathy, 'Sudantha S. Liyanage, Arthur Bamunuarachchi

Department of Chemistry,

University of Sri Jayewardenepura,

Gangoduwilla, Nugegoda.

Sri Lanka.

Food packaging has been a rapidly growing technology in recent decades. The greatest technological break through has been in the arena of plastic packaging. In Sri Lanka, a variety & quantity of plastic films are produced and used for packaging of food. In this research study, six plastic films were selected and analysised for suitability in packaging of spices (powdered) and bun. The plastic films selected were Cellophane, Polypropylene(PP), shrink Polypropylene, shrink Poly vinly chloride(PVC), High density polyethylene(HDPE), Low density polyethylene(LDPE).

According to this study, it was found that Cellophane (35 micron) and Polypropylene (35 micron) had a low moisture transfer rate (0.0159 &0.0131g/24h/100cm2 respectively). Even double layer shrink PP(2 x20 micron) and HDPE (2 x 20 micron) had higher moisture transfer rate than Cellophane and Polypropylene. Among the double layered, Cellophane/shrink PP and PP / shrink PVC had low moisture transfer rate. Curry powder packets placed in air tight containers had less weight gain than packets placed in normal condition. Curry powder packed with PP film had low weight gain and curry powder packed with shrink PVC film had more weight gain comparatively. Chillie powder packets placed in accelerated conditions (100 % Rh) had more weight gain than chillie powder packets placed in normal conditions(85 % Rh). It was observed that chillie powder packet placed in 0 % Rh had weight loss. In all three condition(i.e., 85%, 100%, 0% rh), Polypropylene and Cellophane packets had very low weight gain or weight loss. In accelerated storage condition (100 % Rh), formation of fungus was observed in shrink PVC, HDPE & shrink PP film after 4 weeks of storage.

In determination of the shelf life of bun(wheat floor), it was observed that buns packed in shrink PVC film were in good condition after two weeks time of storage and PP, Cellophane packet were in good condition upto a week of storage only. There were no failures reported in drop test. So all 6 material were physically strong in package of 20g powder.

Based on the above data, it is recommend to use Polypropylene (35 micron) and cellophane (35 micron) for packaging of spices with water activity in the range of 0.60 - 0.72.

(I.e., chillie powder, curry powder, turmeric, corriander, cardamon, mustard powder) and it is recommend to use shrink PVC to pack buns.

ABBREVIATION

| Common Name | Abbreviation |
|-------------------------------|----------------|
| Modified atmosphere packaging | МАР |
| Equilibrium relative humidity | ERH |
| Relative humidity | RH |
| Water vapour transfer | WVT |
| Melt index | MI |
| Water activity | A _w |
| High density polyethylene | HDPE |
| Low density polyethylene | LDPE |
| Polypropylene | РР |
| Polyvinyl chloride | PVC |
| Ethylene vinyl acetate | EVA |

CHAPTER 1

1.0 INTRODUCTION

Packaging is the largest user of plastic, accounting for more than one-fourth of all plastic produced. In recent year, plastic has been used in many food-packaging applications which were once dominated by the more traditional packaging materials – glass, metals, and paper. The term plastic is used rather loosely to describe a family of extra ordinary varied and complex polymers. The raw material for synthetic plastic are petroleum, natural gas, and coal. ¹They are formed by polymerization process – creating chemical linkage between many small repeated molecular units to form very large molecules or macro molecules.

Many foods need a packaging material that will act as a barrier for the passage of gases, moisture and flavour. Plastic materials have many properties that have made them extremely useful in the field of food packaging. These include strength, flexibility, heat sealability and transparency. ¹But plastics have one limitation compared with glass or metal which has militated against their even wider use in food packaging. They are not 100 percent barrier to moisture vapour and gases and so there have been limitations on the shelf life of products susceptible to deterioration by oxygen or flavour pick up or by flavour loss. ¹Over the years there has been a great deal of development in the area of improving barrier properties and in making the best use of improving barrier properties and in making the best use of available material by combining two or more polymers.

1