AN ANALYSIS ON STIMULUS OF WORD-OF-MOUTH COMMUNICATION: AN EMPIRICAL EVIDENCE FROM A SERVICE MARKETPLACE IN SRI LANKA

BY

KALDEEN MOHAMED MUBARAK

Thesis submitted to the University of Sri Jayewardenepura for the award of the Degree of Master of Science in Management on 2008.

I certify that the above statement made by K.M. Mubarak, the candidate is true and that this thesis is suitable for submission to the University of Sri Jayewardenepura for the purpose of evaluation.

Supervisor

Dr. B. N. F. Warnakulasooriya

Approved by the examining committee

M. Sc (Management) Degree University of Sri Jayewardenepura

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | | | Page |
|----------------|------------------------------|---|------|
| LIST OF TABLES | | | i |
| LIST O | LIST OF FIGURES | | |
| LIST O | F ABB | REVIATIONS | ii |
| ACKN | OWLEI | DGEMENTS | iii |
| ABSTR | RACT | | iv |
| | | | |
| СНАР | TER 1 | INTRODUCTION | |
| 1.1 | Backgr | ound to the Study | 1 |
| 1.2 | Stateme | ent of the Research problem and Question | 4 |
| 1.3 | Researc | ch Objectives | 6 |
| 1.4 | Signific | cance of the Research | 6 |
| 1.5 | Benefit | s of the Research | 8 |
| 1.6 | Scope a | and delimitation of the Research | 9 |
| 1.7 | Methodology of the Research | | 10 |
| 1.8 | Organization of the Research | | |
| 1.9 | Summary | | |
| | | | |
| CHAPT | ΓER 2 | LITERATURE REVIEW | |
| 2.1 | Introdu | ction | 13 |
| 2.2 | An over | rview of word-of-mouth communications in the market place | 13 |
| 2 | 2.2.1 | Marketing communications mix for services | 14 |
| | 2.2.2 | Understanding the concept of word-of-mouth communications | 14 |
| 2 | 2.2.3 | Positive versus Negative WOMC | 16 |
| | 2.2.4 | Is positive or negative WOMC more pervasive? | 18 |
| 2 | 2.2.5 | Whether positive product information is more frequently | |
| | | communicated than negative information, or vice versa? | 19 |

| | 2.2.6 | Tendency to engage in positive WOMC | 20 |
|-----|--------|---|----|
| | 2.2.7 | Tendency to engage in negative WOM | 21 |
| | 2.2.8 | Is WOM powerful source of information, and controllable or not? | 22 |
| 2.3 | Prime | sources and movers, and delivery methods of the WOMC | 24 |
| | 2.3.1 | Sources and movers of WOMC | 24 |
| | 2.3.2 | Referral types of WOMC | 26 |
| | 2.3.3 | Methods of delivering WOM | 27 |
| | 2.3.4 | Network hubs of WOMC | 28 |
| | 2.3.5 | Social network that affect WOMC | 28 |
| | 2.3.6 | WOM transmission through traditional and online channel | 28 |
| 2.4 | Impac | t of WOMC on product, brand judgment and evaluation | 30 |
| 2.5 | Deterr | minants/factors of WOMC | 33 |
| | 2.5.1 | Customer-employee relationships | 33 |
| | 2.5.2 | Service Quality and Delivery | 36 |
| | 2.5.3 | Marketing promotional efforts | 38 |
| 2.6 | Overv | iew of Services Sector in Sri Lanka | 42 |
| | 2.6.1 | Banking Sector | 43 |
| | 2.6.2 | Commercial Banking | 44 |
| 2.7 | Theore | etical Framework | 45 |
| 2.8 | Summ | ary | 48 |
| | | | |
| CHA | PTER 3 | RESEARCH METHODOLOGY | |
| 3.1 | Introd | uction | 49 |
| 3.2 | Resear | Research Objectives | |
| 3.3 | Extrac | Extracts from Literature Review | |
| 3.4 | Formu | lation of Conceptual Model and Hypothesis Development | 51 |
| | 3.4.1 | Likelihood of generating positive word-of-mouth behaviour | 52 |
| | 342 | Customer-employee relationships and the Likelihood of | |

| | | generating Positive Word-of- Mouth Communication | 52 |
|------|---------------------------|--|----|
| | 3.4.3 | Service Quality and the Likelihood of generating Positive | |
| | | Word-of- Mouth Communication | 54 |
| | 3.4.4 | Service Delivery and the Likelihood of generating Positive | |
| | | Word-of- Mouth Communication | 55 |
| | 3.4.5 | Marketing Promotional Efforts and the Likelihood of generating | |
| | | Positive Word-of- Mouth Communication | 56 |
| 3.5 | Opera | ationalisation of Concepts and Variables | 57 |
| | 3.5.1 | Likelihood of generating Positive Word-of-Mouth | |
| | | Communication Behaviour | 58 |
| | 3.5.2 | Customer-Employee Relationships and the Likelihood of | |
| | | generating Positive Word-of- Mouth Communication | 60 |
| | 3.5.3 | Service Quality and the Likelihood of generating Positive | |
| | | Word-of- Mouth Communication | 61 |
| | 3.6.4 | Service Delivery and the Likelihood of generating Positive | |
| | | Word-of- Mouth Communication | 62 |
| | 3.7.5 | Marketing Promotional Efforts and the Likelihood of | |
| | | generating Positive Word-of- Mouth Communication | 63 |
| 3.6 | Study | Setting | 69 |
| 3.7 | Resea | Research Design | |
| | 3.7.1 | Population and Sample | 72 |
| | 3.7.2 | Data Collection Method | 75 |
| | 3.7.3 | Questionnaire | 75 |
| | 3.7.4 | Scales of Measurement | |
| 3.8 | Validation of measurement | | 79 |
| | 3.8.1 | Reliability | |
| | 3.8.2 | Validity | |
| 3.9 | Data A | Analysis | 85 |
| 3.10 | Summ | ary | 87 |
| | | | |

CHAPTER 4 DATA PRESENTATION AND ANALYSIS

| 4.1 | Introduction | 88 | |
|-----|--|-----|--|
| 4.2 | Overview of data analysis | 89 | |
| | 4.2.1 Sample Characteristics and response rate | 89 | |
| | 4.2.2 Statistical Methods Used in this Study | 89 | |
| 4.3 | Data Analysis | | |
| | 4.3.1 Averaging Items | 90 | |
| | 4.3.2 Measure of Central tendency | 92 | |
| | 4.3.3 Correlation Analysis | 93 | |
| | 4.3.4 Regression Analysis | 96 | |
| 4.4 | Hypotheses Testing | 101 | |
| | 4.4.1 Customer-Employee Relationships | 102 | |
| | 4.4.2 Service Quality | 103 | |
| | 4.4.3 Service Delivery | 104 | |
| | 4.4.4 Marketing Promotional Efforts | 104 | |
| 4.5 | Background variables analysis | 105 | |
| 4.6 | Summary | | |
| СНА | APTER 5 CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATION | N | |
| 5.1 | Introduction | 107 | |
| 5.2 | Research Objectives | | |
| 5.3 | Discussion | | |
| 5.4 | Conclusion | 112 | |
| 5.5 | Practical implications of the research | | |
| 5.6 | Academic contribution of the Research | | |
| 5.7 | Generalisability of the research | | |
| 5.8 | Limitations of the study | | |
| 5.9 | Avenues for future research 1 | | |

| 5.10 | Recor | nmendations and Strategies for positive | 115 |
|-----------------|---------|---|---------|
| | word- | of-mouth communication | |
| 5.11 | Summ | ary | 117 |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| REFERENCES 118- | | | 118-126 |
| BIBLIOGRAPHY | | | 127-130 |
| APPENDICES | | 131-157 | |
| | | Test of Reliability | |
| | | Nomological validity | |
| Appen | dix C: | Reliability between dimension and construct | |
| Appen | dix D: | Convergent validity test | |
| | | Discriminant validity test | |
| Appen | | Figure- Convergent and Discriminant | |
| | dix G: | Partial correlation | |
| | | Regression – stepwise | |
| Appen | dix I : | Correlation matrix between DV and Indicators of IVs | |
| Appen | dix J: | Questionnaire | |

LIST OF TABLES

| Table 3.1 | Theoretical Framework of generating PWOM | Page 64 |
|------------|--|------------|
| Table 3.2 | Summary of sampling design | 74 |
| Table 3.3 | Test-retest: paired sample statistics | 80 |
| Table 3.4 | Test-retest: paired sample correlations | 80 |
| Table 3.5 | Test-retest: paired differences | 80 |
| Table 3.6 | Nomological validity test | 83 |
| Table 3.7 | Paired sample correlations | 84 |
| Table 3.8 | Paired Sample Correlations | 84 |
| Table 4.1 | Independent and Dependent Variables | 88 |
| Table 4.2 | Transactions with bank | 91 |
| Table 4.3 | Association with the bank | 91 |
| Table 4.4 | Age Distribution | 91 |
| Table 4.5 | Working Sector | 91 |
| Table 4.6 | Ownership of working sector | 92 |
| Table 4.7 | Summary of Descriptive Measures | 92 |
| Table 4.8 | Correlation Matrix between IVs and DV | 93 |
| Table 4.9 | Correlations: PWOMC and Customer-Employee Relationship | 93 |
| Table 4.10 | Correlations: PWOMC and Service Quality | 94 |
| Table 4.11 | Correlations: PWOMC and Service Delivery | 94 |
| Table 4.12 | Correlations: PWOMC and Marketing Promotional Effort | 95 |
| Table 4.13 | Partial correlations | 95 |
| Table 4.14 | Model Summary | 98 |
| Table 4.15 | Analysis of Variance | 98 |
| Table 4.16 | Coefficients between variables | 99 |
| Table 4.17 | Multiple regression results | 100 |
| Table 4.18 | Hypothesis Testing | 102 |
| Table 4.19 | Two-sample t for LGPWOM and Gender | 105 |
| Table 4.20 | Two-sample t for LGPWOM and Bank | 106 |

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure: 3.1 Schematic Diagram of the Conceptual Framework

51

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

CER - Customer-Employee Relationship

LGPWOM - Likelihood of Generating Positive Word of Mouth

LGPWOMC – Likelihood of Generating Positive Word of Mouth

Communication

NWOMC - Negotive Word of Mouth Communication

MPE - Marketing Promotional Effort

PWOM - Positive Word of Mouth

PWOMC - Positive Word of Mouth Communication

SD - Service Delivery

SQ - Service Quality

WOM - Word of Mouth

WOMC - Word of Mouth Communication

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I pray and thank God almighty for giving more corporal life and strength to continue my endeavours and I thank my parents for their love and never ending support.

Let me acknowledge the help and encouragement of all who supported me in various forms during this research work.

I would like to express my gratitude to my supervisor, Dr. B. N. F. Warnakulasooriya, thank you for listening to me, guiding me and providing moral support. You were mentor, role model, and advisor. Words cannot express how much I truly appreciate all your supports given for me. Prof. Kumudu Wijewardena, The Dean Faculty of Graduate Studies and Dr. P. D. Nimal, Coordinator M.Sc.(Management) program, thanks a lot. Without your assistance, guidance and direction, no one would ever make it through the program.

I thank my colleagues AMM. Mustafa, MIM. Hilal and MBM. Irshad, and Dean of the faculty FHA. Hansiya Rauff (SEUSL). Each has contributed to my development by motivating, providing guidance, direction and insight. Without your help this would have never materialized. Further, I thank A. Jauwfer, Snr. Lecturer for helping me in statistical analysis.

I am very grateful to all the respondents and others who helped in the data collection process.

Finally, I would like to thank my family. Wife Haseena and my kids who often lost the love and affection, thank you for putting up with the, tribulations and inconveniences of the last few years.

K.M. Mubarak

February 2008

AN ANALYSIS ON STIMULUS OF WORD-OF-MOUTH

COMMUNICATION: EMPIRICAL EVIDENCE FROM SERVICE

MARKETPLACE IN SRI LANKA

KALDEEN MOHAMED MUBARAK

ABSTRACT

Word-of-mouth communication (WOMC) is a dominant force. However, the available

body of research provides little insight in to the nature of it. Although the positive

WOMC is extremely important and highly effective, however, the organizational ability

to identify the effective motivators and encourage or influence WOMC decides its

powerfulness. Researchers have investigated few motivators, but, the collection of it and

its significance has been largely overlooked. Indeed, there is a need to investigate the

WOM stimulus and assess its effectiveness in influencing positive WOM in services

industry.

Hence, the purpose of this research is to identify the factors that motivate consumers'

likelihood of generating positive WOM in the service marketplace in Sri Lanka. The

constructs and dimensions of this model were developed from the literature review, and

pre developed and tested scale was used to measure the dimensions. This model is an

attempt to investigate and assess four different constructs which suppose to influence

PWOMC. Four hypotheses were developed and tested based on the data collected using

structured questionnaire from 338 randomly selected end user customers of commercial

iv

banks who work in Colombo Metropolitan. The data were subject to statistical analysis with SPSS 13.0 version, and regression and correlation analysis were done. The results revealed that customer-employee relationship was the most influencing factor to the likelihood of generating PWOMC among other factors such as service quality, service delivery and marketing promotional efforts. However service quality and marketing promotional effort too substantially contributed towards PWOMC. The research model was significant (0.806). Eventually one hypothesis (service delivery) was rejected among four.

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background of the Study

The concept of the word-of-mouth communication (WOMC) dates back to the beginnings of human communications, WOMC has become a significant part of many major marketing communication campaigns over the past years, due in part to the power inherent in such a social phenomenon. Hence, the WOMC is an important marketplace phenomenon too, by which consumers receive information relating to organizations and their offerings (Laczniak, DeCarlo, and Ramaswami, 2001:57).

Although there are plentiful marketing literatures available regarding WOMC, which has a solid influence as purchase decision of consumer, to date, little research has been conducted to determine the effects of WOMC on the purchase behaviour of those who receive them.

In 1955, Katz and Lazarsfeld found WOMC more effective than the other modes of communications (Harrison-Walker 2001:60; Ennew, Banerjee and Li, 2000:76), and one of the first empirical studies of WOMC influence in marketing was conducted by Arndt (1967) (as in Charlett, Garland and Marr, 1995:42), later integrated into the diffusion literature by Rogers (1976) and Mahajan and Muller (1979) (as in Swanson and Kelley, 2001:194), and others, relies on the social system through which WOMC flows. According to this view WOMC is a dynamic social process with four key characteristics that distinguish it from other forms of marketing communication: non-marketing source, face to face exchange, free exchange of information and two-way flow.

Indeed, early studies of word-of-mouth (WOM) centred on communications about new, tangible goods (Arndt 1967; Sheth 1971 as in Harrison-Walker, 2001:62). More recent studies found WOMC to be influential in consumer decision making for a variety of services (Crane 1989; File, Judd, and Prince 1992; Frieden and Goldsmith 1988; Murray 1991 as in Harrison-Walker, 2001:62).

Arndt (1967) was able to monitor the adoption of a new food product in test market conditions and assess the impact of WOMC on short-term purchase behaviour. He found that exposure to favourable WOMC increased actual buying levels while exposure to un-favourable comments decreased these levels (Ennew, Banerjee and Li, 2000:77). In particular, satisfied consumers would generate positive WOM (Bitner, 1990:70), while dissatisfied consumers would generate negative WOM (Richins, 1983:69).

The role WOMC plays in a business depends on four factors: the nature of the product, the people a business trying to reach, customer connectivity and the strategies used in the industry (Rosen, 2000:25-8). Since WOMC doesn't affect all business in the same way and these factors can change over time, and the importance of WOMC to business and industry can fluctuate. Meanwhile, some researchers regard WOMC as a driving force behind actions while others consider it to be an outcome of past experiences (Godes and Mayzlin, 2004:52). However, WOMC has been found to play a pivotal role in shaping attitudes and behaviors, especially with regard to the diffusion of innovations (Brown and Reingen, 1987; Childers, 1986; Herr, Kardes, and Kim, 1991, as in Sun *et al.*, 2006:1107).

Further, Silverman (2001:22-3) said WOMC is the most powerful force in the market place and it's thousands of times as powerful as conventional marketing but it's also the most neglected, while Murray (1991:12) said WOMC has been found to be especially effective in decision making regarding services and there are many businesses that have used WOMC as their primary, sometimes only, way to grow their business.

WOMC is generally seen as more important for services than goods. Brown and Reingen (1987:351) believed that most of the service providers, obtain their clients through WOM referrals. Each of these services is highly customized, and in each case, the service provider exercises a high degree of judgment in meeting individual customer needs.

Services are natural candidates for WOMC among consumers because they are generally difficult to evaluate prior to purchase and therefore are perceived as high-risk (Murray 1991:16). Rogers (1983) argued that the consumers often engage in WOMC for high-risk products in general (Harrison-Walker, 2001:62), and for services in particular to gain information that will reduce their risk, help them make comparisons between or among service alternatives, or help them understand the service prior to delivery and consumption (Bristor 1990:546). 'Reingen and Kernan (1986) noted that many marketers of services rely on these informal information channels' (Harrison-Walker, 2001:62). Thus, WOM is particularly valuable for services, which are high in experience and credence qualities (Anderson 1998:7).

This research aims to study the Positive WOMC (PWOMC) compare to Negative WOMC (NWOMC), and the stimulus that influence consumers' likelihood of