



480

# Determination of Important Physical Properties and Water Absorption Capacities of Three Traditional Paddy Varieties in Sri Lanka

G. C. Thilakarathna<sup>1</sup>, S. B. Navarathne<sup>2</sup>, I. Wickramasinghe<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1,2,3</sup>Department of Food Science and Technology, Faculty of Applied Sciences, University of Sri Jayawardenepura, Gangodawila, Nugegoda, Sri Lanka

(<sup>1</sup>gayanthilakarathna@gmail.com, <sup>2</sup>sbnava@sci.sjp.ac.lk, <sup>3</sup>indiraw@sjp.ac.lk)

**Abstract-** Three Sri Lankan traditional paddy varieties (*Kahawanu*, *Suwandall* and *Hangimuttan*) were subjected to an experiment to determine physical properties and water absorption capacities. Physical Properties such as dimensions and grain masses were measured using laboratory test methods whereas, other properties were calculated based on relationship equations. Major dimensions (Length, Width and Thickness), 1000 grain weight, geometrical mean diameter, surface area, volume, sphericity and aspect ratio were significantly different ( $p < 0.05$ ) among paddy varieties. While similar physical properties ( $p > 0.05$ ) were also observed. Water absorption capacities were investigated by steeping paddy samples in water, controlled at 70 °C. Respective samples, having different holding times, were drawn timely for the moisture analysis. As a result of steeping, moisture contents of paddy samples had been increased to various levels ( $p < 0.05$ ). Although rapid moisture increment was observed at the initial stage of steeping. Subsequently, rate of absorption was declined by reaching to the equilibrium where the hydration rate was insignificant ( $P > 0.05$ ). All paddy varieties reported their highest water holding capacities after 5 hours of steeping and were ranged from 29.01% to 30.48% (w.b.). While *Suwandall* recorded the highest water absorption, *Kahawanu* recorded the lowest. The varietal differences and steeping time were significantly influenced ( $P < 0.05$ ) on water absorption capacities in paddy.

**Keywords-** Traditional paddy varieties, Water absorption capacities, Equilibrium state, Hydration rate, Steeping

## I. INTRODUCTION

Since ancient eras, Sri Lankans has used to cultivate different indigenous traditional paddy varieties (*Oryza Sativa* L.), in order to fulfill their dietary requirement. Usually paddy is rich in macro nutrients such as carbohydrates, proteins, fats, and micronutrients, namely minerals and vitamins that vital for healthy living [1]. Sri Lankan traditional paddy types are more eminent owing to its unique medicinal, organoleptic and cultivating features in addition to their nutritional value. Therefore, at present, most paddy farmers in Sri Lanka moving

to cultivate traditional paddy varieties parallel to the demand built up within local and international market.

Morphological properties of any type of paddy variety are an important tool for designing equipment for the purpose of harvesting and post harvesting activities like dehulling, drying, handling as well as storing [2]. Improperly designed machineries and faulty operations could be effected on physical quality of paddy by creating cracks and breakage consequently reduce the marketing value [3]. Steeping of paddy is common practice and is a critical step in parboiling process because it is essential for completing gelatinization of starch and changing the composition and distribution of nutrients within grains simultaneously [4]-[7]. Quantitative data on hydrating treatments are useful in finding the effect of process variables, optimizing processes and designing processing equipment accordingly [8]-[11]. Aim of this study is to quantify water absorption capacities and physical properties such as thousand grains weight, axial dimensions, geometrical mean diameter (G.M.D.), surface area, volumes, sphericity and aspect ratio of three Sri Lankan traditional paddy varieties.

## II. MATERIALS AND METHODS

### A. Samples Preparation

Twenty five kilo grams of, commercially available three traditional paddy varieties, known as *Kahawanu*, *Suwandall* and *Hangimuttan* were purchased from paddy suppliers and impurities of these paddy such as dirt, husk, immature or broken seeds, stones and other particles were removed and shade dried. Dried paddy was packed in polypropylene bags and stored in-house conditions (28-30°C/R.H. 70-75%). A portion of paddy sample was drawn for the experiment from each paddy variety.

### B. Determination of Physical Properties

The major dimensions of paddy were determined by measuring three major axial dimensions, length (L), width (W) and thickness (T) of randomly selected 100 grains of each type using dial type thickness indicator having the resolution of 0.01 mm. According to the methods stated in Sadeghi et

al[12], thousand-grain mass of paddy varieties were determined by selecting 100 grains randomly and weighing by using digital electronic balance (OHAUS-PA214) with the accuracy of 0.0001 g. These measurements were repeated ten times for each variety and final readings were multiply by 10 to give the mass of 1000 grains [13]. The important physical properties were calculated using measured dimensions according to the given equations: Geometric Mean Diameter ( $D_e$ )-“(1)” and the Sphericity ( $S_p$ )-“(2)” as describe in Mohsenin[14] ; The Surface area (S)-“(3)” as stated in McCabe et al[15] ; Grain volume (V)-“(4)”, according to Jain and Bal [16] and The Aspect ratio ( $R_a$ )-“(5)” as given in Varnamkhasti et al[17].

$$D_e = (LWT)^{\frac{1}{3}} \quad (1)$$

$$S_p = (LWT)^{\frac{1}{3}}/L \quad (2)$$

$$S = \pi D_e^2 \quad (3)$$

$$V = 0.25[(\pi/6)L(W + T)^2] \quad (4)$$

$$R_a = W/L \quad (5)$$

Where, L is the length (mm), W as the width (mm) of the paddy and T represents the paddy thickness (mm).

### C. Determination of Moisture Absorption Capacity

Experiment was designed based on previous studies of Kashaninejad et al[18]; Mir and Bosco [6]; Resio et al[19]; Palipane and Vellanki[20], with few modifications. About 10g of paddy from each variety were placed separately in dry clean boiling tubes containing equal volumes of distilled water (volume ratio 3 :1/Water : Paddy) which were already preset to the desired temperature (700C±0.5) in the thermostatic water bath (Gallenkamp-BKS350). Triplicate measurements were taken from each paddy variety. Paddy grains were periodically removed, during hot steeping, initially starting from 30min and thereafter 1hr time intervals up to five hours. Then wet grains were allowed to drain out excess water and sample was quickly blotted three-four times with filter paper until the superficial water was removed. Then the grains were transferred to a clean dry metal container with a lid and reweighing was done using the same balance (0.0001 g). At the same time, moisture contents of paddy varieties were determined based on AOAC[21] using triplicates from each variety.

## III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

### A. Physical properties

*Kahawanu*, *Suwandall* and *Hangimuttan* (Ma vee) are popular as white rice strains with superior flavor profiles. The major axial dimensions and thousand grains weight of these paddy varieties are given in Table I.

Table values are the mean ± SD of replicates. Values in the same column, shear same superscript letters, are not significantly different ( $P > 0.05$ ).

TABLE I. DIMENSIONS AND THOUSAND GRAIN MASS OF THREE SRI LANKAN TRADITIONAL PADDY VARIETIES (*KAHAWANU*, *HANGIMUTTAN*, AND *SUWANDALL*)

Traditional Paddy Variety	Length (mm)	Width (mm)	Thickness (mm)	1000 grain mass(g)
<i>Kahawanu</i>	6.54 ± 0.37 <sup>a</sup>	3.30 ± 0.24 <sup>b</sup>	2.25 ± 0.12 <sup>c</sup>	20.58 ± 0.58 <sup>d</sup>
<i>Hangimuttan</i>	6.23 ± 0.32 <sup>b</sup>	2.61 ± 0.14 <sup>a</sup>	1.82 ± 0.09 <sup>c</sup>	14.43 ± 0.48 <sup>b</sup>
<i>Suwandall</i>	5.86 ± 0.39 <sup>c</sup>	2.53 ± 0.18 <sup>c</sup>	1.89 ± 0.14 <sup>b</sup>	12.92 ± 0.30 <sup>c</sup>

According to the data given in table I, highest values for length, width and thickness were reported by *Kahawanu*. Lowest grain length and width given by *Suwandall* and *Hangimuttan* recorded the lowest thickness. Generally, major three dimensions were significantly different ( $p < 0.05$ ) among these three paddy varieties. Highest value for thousand grain mass was given by *Kahawanu* and lowest grain mass was reported by *Suwandall*. The thousand grain weights of all paddy varieties were also significantly different ( $p < 0.05$ ) to each other. Major axial dimensions of paddy grains are useful in selecting meshes for sieve separators and in calculating power requirement during the rice milling process. Thousand grain mass is used for calculating the head rice yield and in designing of paddy/rice cleaners, using aerodynamic forces [3],[22].

Calculated physical properties such as geometrical mean diameter (G.M.D.), surface area, volumes, sphericity and aspect ratio are tabulated in Table II.

Table values are the mean ± SD of replicates. Values in the same column, shear same superscript letters, are not significantly different ( $P > 0.05$ ).

TABLE II. PHYSICAL PARAMETERS OF GEOMETRICAL MEAN DIAMETER (G.M.D.), SURFACE AREA, SPHERICITY, VOLUME, ASPECT RATIOS FOR *KAHAWANU*, *HANGIMUTTAN*, AND *SUWANDALL*.

Paddy Variety	G.M.D (mm)	Surface area(mm <sup>2</sup> )	Sphericity	Volume (mm <sup>3</sup> )	Aspect ratio
<i>Kahawanu</i>	3.65 ± 0.21 <sup>a</sup>	41.89 ± 4.63 <sup>a</sup>	0.56 ± 0.02 <sup>a</sup>	26.59 ± 4.23 <sup>a</sup>	0.50 ± 0.03 <sup>a</sup>
<i>Suwandall</i>	3.04 ± 0.20 <sup>b</sup>	29.17 ± 3.80 <sup>b</sup>	0.52 ± 0.01 <sup>b</sup>	15.23 ± 2.91 <sup>b</sup>	0.43 ± 0.02 <sup>b</sup>
<i>Hangimuttan</i>	3.09 ± 0.15 <sup>b</sup>	30.07 ± 2.78 <sup>b</sup>	0.50 ± 0.01 <sup>c</sup>	16.06 ± 2.20 <sup>b</sup>	0.42 ± 0.02 <sup>c</sup>

According to the table II, highest values for all above physical parameters were reported by *Kahawanu*. Lowest values for geometrical mean diameter (G.M.D.), surface area and volumes were given by both *Hangimuttan* and *Suwandall*. As well as the values reported for G.M.D., surface area and volume were not significantly different ( $p < 0.05$ ) between *Hangimuttan* and *Suwandall*. The lowest sphericity and aspect ratio were recorded by *Hangimuttan*.

The surface area is a tool for determining the shape of the seeds and it is an indication of the behavior of kernels on oscillating surfaces during processing [3],[23]. The G.M.D. is useful in estimating projected area of a grain. Projected area is supportive to recognize the grains behaving pattern in a

flowing fluid (air) as well as the conveniences of separating extraneous materials from the grains during cleaning by pneumatic means [24]. Aspect ratio and sphericity indicates a tendency to either roll or slide in which necessary for designing hoppers for the milling process. Lower the values of aspect ratio and sphericity generally indicate a likely difficulty in getting the kernels to roll than that of spheroid grains [3]. However, all physical properties, measured of a grain, can be altered with the variations in moisture content [2].

**B. Moisture absorption pattern of different paddy varieties**

As explained by Lee and Rogers [25]; Luh and Miekus [26]; Palipane and Vellanki [20], steeping was carried out below the temperature range of gelatinization, because this temperature would facilitate to minimize irreversible swelling, solid leaching due to grains splitting and enhancing the rapidity of water uptake [27], [28]. The amount of water absorbed by the grains during steeping is influenced by several factors. For instance, initial moisture content, varietal differences (physical and chemical composition), steeping time, pH and temperature of the water [1].

Initial moisture contents of *Kahawamu*, *Suwandall* and *Hangimuttan* were found as  $11.02 \pm 0.12\%$ ,  $11.98 \pm 0.22\%$  and  $11.05 \pm 0.10\%$  (wet basis) respectively. Afterwards, the moisture contents of above paddy varieties had been increased to different levels ( $p < 0.05$ ) at different steeping time periods. As well as, despite of initial moisture contents, paddy varieties were obtained significantly different ( $p < 0.05$ ) moisture contents at the end of steeping process. For further clarifications, graphs were plotted for moisture absorption pattern versus steeping times for three of traditional paddy varieties as illustrated in Figure 1.




Figure 1. Moisture absorption pattern of *Suwandall*, *Kahawamu* and *Hangimuttan* at 70 °C

According to the Fig. 1, a common pattern could be observed for moisture absorbing in all three paddy varieties. The graphs (Fig.1) clearly indicate, at first 30 minutes in steeping treatment, all paddy varieties displayed rapid moisture increment. In other words, the highest rate of hydration were reported at the first half an hour of the steeping. In this point, *Suwandall* indicated a highest hydration rate than other two varieties. Thereafter, from 30min to 240 min of steeping, the rapidness of moisture gaining was declined progressively. Even

if the moisture levels were increased significantly ( $p < 0.05$ ). Studies of Palipane and Vellanki [20] have revealed, that the rate of water diffusion into the grain is driven by the vapour pressure gradient between outer and inner periphery of the grain. Water enters the grain matrix via natural capillaries in the outermost layers of the grain [29]. Slight swelling of grains by reason of hot soaking, are also created some paths for penetrating water into the grain [20]. As hydration proceed water content increases steadily and with the weakening of driving force, absorption rate decreases [19].

However, at the final stages (240min. to 300min.), moisture contents of most paddy varieties were remained constant ( $p > 0.05$ ) by attaining equilibrium state with the water medium. Achieving of an equilibrium state was resulted the neutralization of net water movement through the grain structure. Saturation of starch granules with water in a given temperature, and/or inhibitions of capillaries on seed coat are some few reasons for this equilibrium [29],[20]. Varieties like *Suwandall* and *Hangimuttan* showed this saturation earlier than the other varieties.

The peak moisture contents of tested three paddy varieties had exhibited at the 300min. of steeping period. Under the peak moisture levels, *Suwandall*, *Hangimuttan* and *Kahawamu* were found with  $30.48 \pm 0.01\%$ ,  $29.79 \pm 0.98\%$  and  $29.01 \pm 0.37\%$  (w.b.) of moisture absorption capacities respectively. Therefore, as an aromatic paddy variety, *Suwandall* recorded the highest moisture content while, the lowest moisture content was given by *Kahawamu*. Further evaluation of all of these data revealed, time of steeping and varietal difference significantly influence ( $p < 0.05$ ) for the moisture absorption capacities and the rates of hydration in test paddy varieties.

**IV. CONCLUSION**

All paddy varieties have common hydrating pattern during entire steeping process at 70 °C. At the beginning of steeping, it makes rapid water absorption, followed by the slow rate of absorption until grains reached to the equilibrium state. After 5 hour of steeping time, traditional paddy varieties displayed about 29–30% of peak moisture increment. Time of steeping and varietal difference is influenced on the moisture absorption capacity. The water absorption capacity was highest in *Suwandall* and the lowest was *Kahawamu*.

*Kahawamu* was the traditional paddy type having highest length, width, thickness, thousand grains, geometric mean diameter (G.M.D.), surface area, volume, sphericity and aspect ratio. *Hangimuttan* and *Suwandall* were the varieties with lowest geometrical mean diameter (G.M.D.), surface area and volumes and these physical properties were almost similar between these two varieties. While lowest sphericity and aspect ratio were recorded by *Hangimuttan*.

**V. ACKNOWLEDGMENT**

Authors specially thank for the guidance and support provided by the Institute of Post-Harvest Technology (Research and Development Center), Anuradhapura, Sri Lanka.

REFERENCE

[1] Ejebe, C.K. (2013). Parboiling characteristics of selected rice varieties from Nigeria. Masters these. University of McGill. Viewed 17 Feb 2016, <http://digitool.library.mcgill.ca/thesisfile123298>.

[2] Bashir, Z.U., Wayayok, A. and Mohd, A.M.S. (2014). Determination of some physical properties of common Malaysian rice MR119 seeds. *AJCS*, 8(3), pp. 332-337.

[3] Ghadge, P.N. and Prasad, K. (2012). Some Physical Properties of Rice Kernels: Variety PR-106. *J Food Process Technol*, 3:8

[4] Otegbayo, B. O., Osamuel, F., Fashakin, J. B. (2001). Effect of parboiling on physico-chemical qualities of two local rice varieties in Nigeria. *J Food Technol Afr*, 6(4), pp. 130-132

[5] Satepuang K., Siriamompin S., Wiset L., Meeso N. (2008). Effect of soaking temperature on physical, chemical and cooking properties of parboiled fragrant rice. *World J Agric Sci*, 4(4), pp. 409-415

[6] Mir S A, Bosco S J D. (2013). Effect of soaking temperature on physical and functional properties of parboiled rice cultivars grown in temperate region of India. *Food Nutr Sci*, 4, pp. 282-288

[7] Kale, S.J., Jha, S.K., Jha, G.A., Sinha, J.P. and Lal, S.B. (2015). Soaking Induced Changes in Chemical Composition, Glycemic Index and Starch Characteristics of Basmati Rice. *Rice Science*, 22(5), pp. 277-236

[8] Taiwo, K.A., Akanbi, C.T., Ajibola, O.O. (1998). Regression relationships for the soaking and cooking properties of 10w rice varieties. *Journal of Food Engineering*, 37, pp. 331-344

[9] Abu-Ghannam, N. and McKenna, B. (1997). Hydration kinetics of red kidney beans (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L.). *Journal of Food Science*, 62, pp. 520-523.

[10] Verma, R.C., Prasad, S., (1999). Kinetics of absorption of water by maize grains. *Journal of Food Engineering*, 39, pp. 395-400

[11] Bhattacharya, S., (1995). Kinetics of hydration of raw and roasted corn semolina. *Journal of Food Engineering*, 25, pp. 21-30

[12] Sadeghi, M., Araghi, H.A. and Hemmat, A. (2010). Physico-mechanical Properties Rough Rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) Grain as Affected by Variety and Moisture Content. *Agric Enginr. CIGR Journal*, 12(3), pp. 129-136.

[13] Nalladurai, K., Alagusundaram, K., and Gayathri, P. (2002). Air flow resistance of paddy and its byproducts. *Bio-systems Engg.*, 83, pp. 67-75.

[14] Mohsenin, N.N. (1986). Physical properties of plant and animal materials. Vol1 Physical characteristics and mechanical properties. Gordon and Breach Science Publishers, New York

[15] McCabe, W.L., Smith, J. C. and Harriott, P. (2005). Unit operations of chemical engineering. New York: McGraw-Hill Book Company.

[16] Jain, R.K. and Bal, S. (1997). Properties of pearl millet. *J. Agric. Eng., Res.* 66, pp.85-91

[17] Varamkhastli, M. G., Mobli, H., Jafari, A., Keyhani, A. R., Soltanabadi, M. H., Rafiee, S. and Kheiralipour, K. (2008). Some physical properties of rough rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) grain. *Journal of Cereal Science* 47 (3), pp. 496-501

[18] Kashiamejad, M., Maghsoudlou, V., Rafiee, S. and Khomeiri, M. (2007). Study of hydration kinetics and density changes of rice (TaromMahali) during hydrothermal processing. *Journal of Food Engineering*, 79(4), pp. 1383-1390

[19] Resio, A.N.C., Aguerre, R.J. and Suarez, C. (2005). Analysis of simultaneous water absorption and water starch reaction during soaking of amaranth grain. *Journal of Food Engineering*, 68, pp. 265-270

[20] Pathpan, K.B. and Vellanki, R.R. (1977). *Hydration Characteristics of local varieties of paddy*. Anuradhapura, Sri Lanka. Rice process development center.

[21] AOAC (2000). Official methods of analysis. Association of official analysis chemists, Washington D.C., New York.

[22] Zareiforoush, H., Komarizadeh, M.H. and Alizadeh, M.R. (2009). Effect of Moisture Content on Some Physical Properties of Paddy Grains. *Research Journal of Applied Sciences, Engineering and Technology*, 1(3), pp. 132-139.

[23] Abang, A. F. and Adigun, Y.J. (1999). Some physical and aerodynamic properties of sorghum as relates to cleaning. In proc. 21st annual Conference of the Nigerian Society of Agricultural Engineers (NSAE) at Federal Polytechnic, Bauchi, Nigeria

[24] Omobuwajo, T.O., E.A. Akanda and L.A. Sanni. (1999). Selected physical, mechanical and aerodynamic properties of African breadfruit (*Trecalla Africana*) seeds. *J. Food Engg.*, 40, pp.241-4

[25] Lee K.J and P.L. Rogers (1983). The fermentation kinetics of ethanol production by *Zymomonas mobilis*. *Journal of Chemical Engineering*, 27, pp.31-38

[26] Luth, B.S. and Mickus, R. R. (1980). Parboiled rice. In Rice: production and utilization, West Port, CT, USA: AVI Publishing Co., Inc.

[27] Danbaba, N., Nkama, I. Badau, M. H., Ukwungwu, M.N., Maji, A.T., Abo, M. E., Hauwawu, H., Fati, K.I. and Ojo, A. O. (2014). Optimization of Rice Parboiling Process for Optimum Head Rice Yield: A Response Surface Methodology (RSM) Approach. *International Journal of Agriculture and Forestry*, 4(3), pp.154-165.

[28] Ahromit, A., Ledward, D.A. and Niranjan, K. (2006). High pressure induced water uptake characteristics of Thai glutinous rice. *Journal of Food Engineering*, 72(3), pp.225-233.

[29] Becker, H. A. (1960). On the absorption of liquid water by the wheat kernel. *Cereal Chemistry*, 37, pp. 309-323.