

**Keynote Speech** 

&

**Extended Abstracts** 

Faculty of Management Studies and Commerce University of Sri Jayewardenepura Gandogawila Nugegoda Sri Lanka



University of Sri Jayewardenepura

# 11<sup>th</sup> Faculty of Management Studies and Commerce (FMSC) Research Symposium

19th August 2016

Keynote Speech &

**Extended** Abstracts

Faculty of Management Studies and Commerce University of Sri Jayewardenepura Gangodawila Nugegoda Sri Lanka

## ASSESSING THE GAP BETWEEN INTEGRATED REPORTING AND CURRENT INTEGRATED CORPORATE REPORTING PRACTICE: A PROPOSED CHECKLIST

## Herath, R<sup>a</sup> and Gunarathne, N<sup>o</sup>

Department of Accounting Faculty of Management Studies and Commerce University of Sri Jayewardenepura <sup>a</sup>rherath88@gmail.com <sup>b</sup>nuwan@sjp.ac.lk

#### Abstract

The purpose of this ongoing study, at this stage, is to present a checklist to assess the gap between present corporate reporting practices and the International Integrated Reporting Council's (IIRC) Guidelines on preparing an integrated report. Since integrated reporting is "principle driven" than "rule based" there is a need to assess the emerging integrated reporting practice against what IIRC has laid down in their guidelines. The check list we develop here attempts to overcome the inherent several weaknesses in the existing few check lists. Hence, this check list was developed by perusing the extant literature on integrated reporting. This check list, in addition to providing a tool for evaluating the corporate integrated reports against IIRC Guidelines, can also be used by corporate managers to assess the level of their integrated reporting. This assessment could also be a catalyst in creating the requisite changes to the corporate practice, in particular to the integrated thinking process.

Keywords: Corporate Reporting, Integrated Reporting, International Integrated Reporting Council's (IIRC) Guidelines, Sri Lanka

#### Introduction

Over the past decades, the corporate reporting has been criticized for its heavy reliance on the financial aspect of the business almost to the exclusion of the other forms of capitals needed in running the business. These criticisms reach a crescendo after the banking crisis which started in 2007 that led to the fall of Lehman Brothers and its immediate aftermath (Morgan et al., 2011). Despite the heavy focus of financial reporting on physical and financial assets, in recent decades the proportion of intangibles has increased compared to physical and financial assets (Brand Finance and Chartered Institute of Management Accountants [CIMA], 2015; South Africa Institute of Chartered Accountants [SAICA], 2015). As a consequence, businesses came into realization that more emphasis is needed on intangibles thus more information should be provided (Yongvanich and Guthrie, 2006). This obviously resulted in cooperate reports becoming lengthy. On the other hand, sustainability reporting, which is another dimension of corporate reporting, has developed with several reporting guidelines such as UN Global Compact and the Global Reporting Initiatives (GRI, 2016; Kolk, 2003; 2004). Finally, what resulted was additional data being provided to stakeholders but with greater difficulty in making sense of it.

Owing to these various reasons, globally, the demand for improved corporate reporting was getting momentum. In a bid to meet these demand/challenges, the Prince of Wales established the Prince's Accounting for Sustainability project in 2004 and this movement with the help of GRI finally led to the establishment of the International Integrated Reporting Council (IIRC) in 2010 (Eccles and Serafeim, 2011; SAICA, 2015).

In December 2013, the IIRC published the International Integrated Reporting Framework (IIRF) (IIRC, 2013). Since then the organizations who claim to prepare integrated reporting is on the rise globally (Eccles and Serafeim, 2011; Gunarathne and Senaratne, forthcoming; PWC, 2013). IIRF is a principle based document and does not set standards for integrated reporting or integrated thinking<sup>4</sup> (IIRC, 2013; Stent and Dowler, 2015). Since the principles are inherently more difficult to be measured objectively, there is a doubt as to whether these self-claimed reports are really "integrated reports" (Stent and Dowler, 2015; Gunarathne and Senaratne, forthcoming). Thus, we still do not know the level of the corporate integrated reporting compared to what is prescribed by the IIRC. Hence, the purpose of this ongoing study is to assess whether there is a gap between integrated reporting (as proposed in the IIRF) and current corporate reporting practices in relation to integrated reporting (as done by Setia et al., 2015; Stent and Dowler, 2015; Wild and Staden, 2013 using various methods). The first step of this journey is to develop a checklist in order to compare the current level of corporate integrated reporting and the IIRF. Yet, the few available check lists have various limitations which could inhibit a thorough analysis. This paper therefore presents a comprehensive checklist that covers all the features of an integrated report based on IIRF which will enable content analysis of the corporate reports as the next step in this study.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows: Section Two provides an overview of the literature that is relevant to the development of our checklist. Next section presents the method we followed in developing the checklist. Section Four provides our checklist with suitable justifications. The last section provides the conclusions and directions for future research.

#### **Literature Review**

#### International Integrated Reporting Framework (IIRF)

Integrated reporting is to communicate concisely and clearly how an organization creates value over short, medium and long term (IIRC, 2013). The IIRF enables a

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>"integrated reporting" is the process and "an integrated report" is the product of this process (IIRC, 2013)

business to bring these elements together through the concept of 'connectivity of information', to best tell an organization's value creation story.

IR is an evolution of corporate reporting, with a focus on conciseness, strategic relevance and future orientation. As well as improving the quality of information contained in the final report, IR makes the reporting process itself more productive, resulting in tangible benefits. IR requires and brings about integrated thinking, enabling a better understanding of the factors that materially affect an organization's ability to create values over time. It can lead to behavioral changes and improvement in performance throughout an organization.

The purpose of the IIRF is to establish Guiding Principles and Content Elements that decide the overall content of an integrated report, and to explain the Fundamental Concepts that underpin them (IIRC, 2013) (refer Table 1).

	Table 1. IIIC Guidennes	
Guiding Principles	Content Elements	Fundamental Concepts
Strategic focus and future orientation	Organizational overview and external environment	Value creation for the organization and for others
Connectivity of information	Governance	The capitals
Stakeholder relationships	Business model	
Materiality	Risks and opportunities	
Conciseness	Strategy and resource allocation	
Reliability and completeness	Performance	
Consistency and comparability	Outlook	
	Basis of presentation	
G UD ( (0012)		

#### **Table 1: IIRC Guidelines**

Source: IIRC (2013)

#### Assessment of Integrated Reporting Practice

Integrated thinking and integrated reporting practice is an evolutional journey for any organization. Hence, organizations can be at different stages/levels of reporting (Gunarathne and Senaratne, forthcoming). If organizations can assess the level of reporting, they can improve their reporting position progress to higher levels of development. In order to assess the current reporting practice a yardstick is needed. Literally, a checklist which reflects all the Guiding Principles, Content Elements and Fundamental Concepts of the IIRF should measure the stage of the integrated reporting.

Since integrated reporting is an emerging practice, the literature relating to its various facets is still to appear (de Villiers, 2014; Stent and Dowler 2015). This general situation is applicable to integrated reporting checklists. Among the very few checklists available

(such as Setia et al., 2015; Stent and Dowler, 2015; Wild and Staden, 2013), we focus on the checklist developed by Stent and Dowler (2015) due to its comprehensiveness<sup>5</sup> and clarity (refer Table 2):

1

1

Checklist	Maximum Score
Organizational overview and business model	9
Mission and vision statements ( $0 = no$ statement; $1 = for$ mission or vision statement; $2 = mission$ and vision statements)	2
Value and culture (0= no mention; 1 = general comments on adherence to ethical values; 2 = code of conduct reference, list of values, etc.)	2
Ownership and operating structure (0=no mention; 1 =ownership and operating structure described)	1
Principal activities, markets, products, services (0= no specifics on principal activities; 1 = activities/markets/products services listed)	1
Reporting boundary (0= no boundary stated; 1 = boundary is determinable)	1
Key quantitative information (1= brief mention; 2 = elaborate)	2
Operating context	9
Legal, commercial, social, environmental, political (maximum of 5 points, 1 for each context)	5
Key risks and opportunities (maximum of 2 points, 1 for describing risks; 1 for describing opportunities)	2
Material issues/determination, impact on creating/preserving value ( $0$ = no discussion of material issues; 1 = description of some elements of material issues disclosure; 2 =	
determination of materiality described, impact on creating/preserving value considered)	2
Strategic objectives and strategies to achieve them:	8
Short, medium, long term objectives ( $0=$ no mention; $1=$ strategic objectives stated without relevant time frame; $2 =$ strategic objectives and their time frames are listed)	2
Implementation plans (in relation to business model) (0 =no specific description; 1= specific actions taken/planned are described)	1
Influence from/response to operating context (0= no reference to operating context in description of strategic objectives; 1= a clear linkage to the operating context)	1
Effect on key capitals/risk management arrangements (1 =mention; 2 = elaborate)	2
Stakeholder consultation in formulating strategies (0= no specific details; 1 = identification of stakeholders; 2 = stakeholders identified and engagement avenues described)	2
Governance	8
Leadership structure, diversity and skill set of those charged with governance $(1 = members of the BOD/ committees listed; 2 = their experience and skills are listed as well)$	2

Table 2: An existing	integrated re	eporting c	hecklist

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup>The checklist suggested by Stent and Dowler (2015) is based on some of the Content Elements while incorporating the Guiding Principles and the Fundamental Concepts of the IIRF. It is a detailed checklist that provides information on how the content analysis based evaluation is to be performed.

% of maximum	100
Totals	53
No assurance = 0; mandatory audit = 1; review = 2; audit =3	3
Assurance	3
Key assumptions, possible risks (0 = no consideration given; 1 = mention)	1
Potential implications (0= no consideration given; 1 = mention)	1
Real risks with extreme consequences (0 = no mention; 1 = consideration of risks with extreme consequences provided)	1
Uncertainties (0 = no description provided; 1 = consideration given)	1
Likely operating context (0 = no express consideration given; 1 = future context discernible from narrative)	1
Management's expectations (0 = no statement of expectations; 1 = expectations described)	1
Future outlook	6
Comparison against regional/industry benchmarks (0 = no benchmarks provided; 1 =benchmarking used)	1
Comparison of actual results vs target $(0 = no \text{ comparison provided}; 1 = comparison given)$	1
Significant external factors (1 =mention; 2 =elaborate)	2
State of key stakeholder relationships (1= mention; 2 = elaborate)	2
The organization's effect on the capitals (0=no consideration to the six capitals; 1 =consideration of two or more capitals; 2 = all six capitals considered)	2
KRIs ( $0 = no$ key risk indicators described; $1 = KRIs$ or equivalent)	1
KPIs (0 =no mixed performance measures; 1 =KPIs or equivalent)	1
Performance	10
responsible)	1
Compensation policies and plans (1 =standard minimum disclosure; 2 =elaborate) Oversight over the IR process (0 = no mention of IR process; 1 = list of people	Z
with key stakeholders (0 = no mention of cultural values/ethics in the given context; 1 = culture and values determinable from narrative; 2 = express statement regarding culture and values in relation to capitals/stakeholders)	2
Reflection of culture and ethical values in use of and effect on the capitals, relationship	
Actions taken to monitor strategic direction (0= no actions determinable from narrative; 1 =determinable actions)	1

Source: Stent and Dowler (2015)

۱

We noticed that in this checklist there are some limitations in the spheres of the coverage of items in the IIRF and mark allocation, which we try to overcome in the proposed checklist. These limitations can be mainly attributable to the fact that when their checklist was developed in 2011 no entity was expected to prepare full integrated reports. Thus, the checklists we propose in this paper differ from or is an improved version of what is used by Stent and Dowler (2015) in a number of ways (refer Proposed Checklist Section for more details).

The next section of this paper presents the method we followed in developing our checklist.

## Methods

ſ

Having identified the limitations in the existing checklist(s) used to analyze the integrated annual reports as discussed in the previous section, we developed an improved checklist aligning the Content Elements of the IIRC Guidelines to the checklist headings. Further the Fundamental Concepts and Guiding Principles of the IIRC Guidelines (IIRC, 2013) which were not adequately captured by the Content Elements were included in the detailed line items under these headings.

In developing this checklist, we were benefited by our experience in serving as panelists in evaluating the integrated reports for an integrated reporting awards competition organized by a professional accounting body of the country. This experience enabled us to familiarize with the current corporate integrated reporting practices, their strengths and weakness and options available/used for the assessment of integrated reporting in international contexts.

The next section presents our rationale of the improvements made to the existing checklist and the resultant checklist.

### The Proposed Checklist

Table 3 depicts the improvements we have identified under each of the checklist headings to the checklist of Stent and Dowler (2015).

Based on these changes we propose the following improved checklist (refer Table 4). It should be noted this checklist too is based on what can be assessed objectively in an integrated report based on the availability of information. However, some aspects such as the level of elaborations, interdependencies (and interrelatedness) among the various capitals, and quality of presentation could still be difficult to address in a checklist of this nature.

Content element		0
Stent and Dowler's approach	Our Approach	- Our response/reason
Organizational overview and business model	Organizational overview and external environments	
Mission and vision statements	Excluded   Mission and vision statements	IIRC Guidelines does not require explicit identification of this item.
Ignored S Competitive landscape, market positioning and positioning within the value chain	Included -> Competitive landscape, market positioning and positioning within the value chain	Stent and Dowler have ignored but IIRC Guidelines specifies this item.
Reporting boundary	Shifted to →Basis of presentation	As per IIRC Guidelines, Determination of Reporting boundary is a matter to be considered under the Basis of Presentation.
Key quantitative information	Revised → Key quantitative information	Included in the stent and Dowler's checklist but was not specific thus made them specific.
Legal, commercial, social, environmental, political	Shifted →Legal, commercial, social, environmental, political	Included in the Stent and Dowler's checklist under the "Operating Context" which is not a Content Element as per IIRF
Operating context		Operating context is not a Content Element as per IIRC Guidelines
Legal, commercial, social, environmental, political	Shifted to →Organizational overview and external environments	As per IIRC Guidelines, consideration of this item is a matter to be dealt under the Organizational Overview and External Environments
Key risks and opportunities	Shifted to →Risk and Opportunities	As per IIRC Guidelines, consideration of this item is a matter to be dealt under the Risk and Opportunities
Material issues/determination, impact on creating/preserving value	Shifted to -> Basis of Presentation	As per IIRC Guidelines, consideration of this item is a matter to be dealt under the Basis of Presentation
	T	This is the second Content Element of IIRF which was not explicitly identified
Ignored 🌶 Business Model	Included <b>→</b> Business Model	in Stent and Dowler's checklist. The Guiding Principles of "Connectivity of information", "Stakeholder relationships" and "Materiality" and the Fundamental Concepts of "Value creation" and "Capitals" of IIRF are assessed under this heading.
	Key elements of the business model	Stent and Dowler's have ignored but IIRC Guidelines specifies this item.
	Diagrammatic presentation	Some and Source a nave ignored but nice outdomes specifies this item.

### Table 3: Improvements to the checklist of Stent and Dowler

46

Included → Risk and opportunities       in Stent and Dowler's Checklist         Assessment of the likelihood & impact       Shifted from Operating context         Assessment of the likelihood & impact       Stent and Dowler's have ignored but IIRC Guidelines specifies this ite         Strategic objectives and strategies to achieve them:       Strategy and resource allocation       The heading Strategic objectives and strategies to achieve them replace Strategy and resource allocation         Influence from/response to operating context       Excluded → Influence from/response to operating context       Addressed under the heading of Business Model as per IIRF         Strategies       Excluded → Effect on key capitals/risk management arrangements       Stent and Dowler's have ignored but IIRC Guidelines specifies this ite included → Resource allocation in formulating strategies         Strategies       Included → Resource allocation plan       Stent and Dowler's have ignored but IIRC Guidelines specifies this ite included → Measurement of achievements and outcomes         Governance       Governance       Governance         Actions taken to monitor strategic direction       Excluded → Actions taken to monitor strategic direction         Included → Governance       Included → Governance       Stent and Dowler's have ignored but IIRF specifies this item.         Performance       Performance       The Guiding Principles of "Consistency and comparability" and the		Narrative flow based on the business model	
Ignored → Risk and opportunities       Included → Risk and opportunities       This is the third Content Element of IIRF which was not explicitly iden in Stent and Dowler's Checklist         Assessment of the likelihood & impact       Shifted from Operating context         Assessment of the likelihood & impact       Stent and Dowler's have ignored but IIRC Guidelines specifies this ite         Strategic objectives and strategies to achieve them:       Strategy and resource allocation       The heading Strategic objectives and strategies to achieve them replace Strategic objectives and strategies to achieve them replace Strategic objectives and strategies to achieve them replace Strategies and resource allocation       The heading Strategic objectives and strategies to achieve them replace Strategy and resource allocation         Influence from/response to operating context       Excluded → Influence from/response to operating context       Addressed under the heading of Business Model as per IIRF         Startegies       Excluded → Effect on key capitals/risk management arrangements       Stent and Dowler's have ignored but IIRC Guidelines specifies this ite Included → Resource allocation plan         Stent and Dowler's have ignored but IIRC Guidelines specifies this ite Included → Measurement of achievements and outcomes       Stent and Dowler's have ignored but IIRC Guidelines specifies this item.         Governance       Governance       Governance       IIRC Guidelines does not require explicit identification of this item.         Oversight over the IR process       Included → Governance exceeds legal requirements       S		Critical stakeholders identification and other dependencies	
opportunities       Included → Risk and opportunities       in Stent and Dowler's Checklist         Assessment of the likelihood & impact       Shifted from Operating context         Assessment of the likelihood & impact       Stent and Dowler's have ignored but IIRC Guidelines specifies this ite         Strategic objectives and strategies to achieve them:       Strategy and resource allocation       The heading Strategic objectives and strategies to achieve them replace Strategic objectives and strategies to achieve them replace Strategic focus and future orientation" is as under this heading         Influence from/response to operating context       Excluded → Influence from/response to operating context         Effect on key capitals/risk management arrangements       Excluded → Effect on key capitals/risk management arrangements         Stategies       Included → Resource allocation in formulating strategies         Included → Resource allocation plan       Stent and Dowler's have ignored but IIRC Guidelines specifies this ite Included → Measurement of achievements and outcomes         Governance       Governance         Actions taken to monitor strategic direction       Excluded → Actions taken to monitor strategic direction         Included → Governance       Included → Governance exceeds legal requirements         Included → Governance       Stent and Dowler's have ignored but IIRF specifies this item.		Connection to information covered	<u> </u>
Assessment of the likelihood & impact       Stent and Dowler's have ignored but IIRC Guidelines specifies this ite         Strategic objectives and strategies to achieve them:       The heading Strategic objectives and strategies to achieve them replace Strategy and resource allocation         Influence from/response to operating context       Excluded → Influence from/response to operating context         Effect on key capitals/risk management arrangements       Excluded → Effect on key capitals/risk management arrangements         Strategies       Included → Stakeholder consultation in formulating strategies         Included → Resource allocation plan       Stent and Dowler's have ignored but IIRC Guidelines specifies this ite         Covernance       Governance         Actions taken to monitor strategic direction       Excluded → Oversight over the IR process         Included → Governance exceeds legal requirements       Stent and Dowler's have ignored but IIRF specifies this item.         Performance       Performance       The Guiding Principle of "Consistency and comparability" and the	8	Included -> Risk and opportunities	This is the third Content Element of IIRF which was not explicitly identified in Stent and Dowler's Checklist
Steps to mitigate/manage risk or opportunity       Stent and Dowler's have ignored but IRC Guidelines specifies this ite         Strategic objectives and strategies to achieve them:       Strategy and resource allocation       The heading Strategic objectives and strategies to achieve them replace Strategy and resource allocation         Influence from/response to operating context       Excluded → Influence from/response to operating context       The heading Of Business Model as per IIRF         Effect on key capitals/risk management arrangements       arrangements       Addressed under the heading of Business Model as per IIRF         Stategies       Included → Stakeholder consultation in formulating strategies       Stent and Dowler's have ignored but IIRC Guidelines specifies this ite         Included → Resource allocation plan       Included → Resource allocation plan       Stent and Dowler's have ignored but IIRC Guidelines specifies this item.         Governance       Governance       Governance       IIRC Guidelines does not require explicit identification of this item.         Oversight over the IR process       Include → Governance exceeds legal requirements       Stent and Dowler's have ignored but IIRF specifies this item.         Performance       Performance       The Guiding Principles of "Consistency and comparability" and the		Key risks and opportunities	Shifted from Operating context
Steps to mitigate/manage risk or opportunity         Strategic objectives and strategies         Strategic objectives and strategies         Strategy and resource allocation         Influence from/response to operating context       Excluded → Influence from/response to operating context         Effect on key capitals/risk management arrangements       Excluded → Effect on key capitals/risk management arrangements       Addressed under the heading of Business Model as per IIRF         Stakeholder consultation in formulating strategies       Excluded → Resource allocation plan       Stent and Dowler's have ignored but IIRC Guidelines specifies this ite Included → Measurement of achievements and outcomes         Governance       Governance       Governance       Governance         Actions taken to monitor strategic direction       Exclude → Oresight over the IR process       IIRC Guidelines does not require explicit identification of this item.         Performance       Performance       The Guiding Principles of "Consistency and comparability" and the		Assessment of the likelihood & impact	Start and Dandar's have ignored but UBC Guidalines specifies this item
Strategic objectives and strategies       Strategy and resource allocation       Strategy and resource allocation         Influence from/response to operating context       Excluded → Influence from/response to operating context       Addressed under this heading         Effect on key capitals/risk management arrangements       arrangements       Addressed under the heading of Business Model as per IIRF         Stategies       Included → Stakeholder consultation in formulating       Excluded → Stakeholder consultation plan       Stent and Dowler's have ignored but IIRC Guidelines specifies this ite         Included → Measurement of achievements and outcomes       IIRC Guidelines does not require explicit identification of this item.         Oversight over the IR process       Excluded → Oversight over the IR process       Included → Governance exceeds legal requirements         Performance       Performance       The Guiding Principles of "Consistency and comparability" and the		Steps to mitigate/manage risk or opportunity	Stent and Dowler's have ignored but IRC Guidelines specifies this term
context       Addressed under the heading of Business Model as per IIRF         Effect on key capitals/risk management       arrangements         arrangements       arrangements         Stakeholder consultation in formulating       Excluded → Stakeholder consultation in formulating         strategies       strategies         Included → Resource allocation plan       Stent and Dowler's have ignored but IIRC Guidelines specifies this ite         Governance       Governance         Actions taken to monitor strategic direction       Excluded → Actions taken to monitor strategic direction         Oversight over the IR process       Excluded → Oversight over the IR process         Included → Governance exceeds legal requirements       Stent and Dowler's have ignored but IIRF specifies this item.	Strategic objectives and strategies to achieve them:	Strategy and resource allocation	The Guiding Principle of "Strategic focus and future orientation" is assessed
Excluded → Effect on key capitals/risk management arrangements Stakeholder consultation in formulating strategies Included → Resource allocation plan Included → Resource allocation plan Included → Measurement of achievements and outcomes Governance Actions taken to monitor strategic direction Excluded → Actions taken to monitor strategic direction Oversight over the IR process Included → Oversight over the IR process Included → Governance exceeds legal requirements Stent and Dowler's have ignored but IIRC Guidelines specifies this item. Performance Perfor		Excluded >Influence from/response to operating context	
strategies       strategies         Included → Resource allocation plan       Stent and Dowler's have ignored but IIRC Guidelines specifies this ite         Included → Measurement of achievements and outcomes       Stent and Dowler's have ignored but IIRC Guidelines specifies this ite         Governance       Governance         Actions taken to monitor strategic direction       Excluded → Actions taken to monitor strategic direction         Oversight over the IR process       Excluded → Oversight over the IR process         Included → Governance exceeds legal requirements       Stent and Dowler's have ignored but IIRF specifies this item.         Performance       Performance			Addressed under the heading of Business Model as per IIRP
Included → Measurement of achievements and outcomes         Governance       Governance         Actions taken to monitor strategic direction       Excluded → Actions taken to monitor strategic direction         Oversight over the IR process       Excluded → Oversight over the IR process         Included → Governance exceeds legal requirements       Stent and Dowler's have ignored but IIRF specifies this item.         Performance       Performance	e e		
Governance       Governance         Actions taken to monitor strategic direction       Excluded → Actions taken to monitor strategic direction       IIRC Guidelines does not require explicit identification of this item.         Oversight over the IR process       Excluded → Oversight over the IR process       Included → Governance exceeds legal requirements         Stent and Dowler's have ignored but IIRF specifies this item.       Performance       The Guiding Principles of "Consistency and comparability" and the		Included  Resource allocation plan	Stent and Dowler's have ignored but IIRC Guidelines specifies this item.
Actions taken to monitor strategic direction       Excluded → Actions taken to monitor strategic direction       IIRC Guidelines does not require explicit identification of this item.         Oversight over the IR process       Excluded → Oversight over the IR process       IIRC Guidelines does not require explicit identification of this item.         Included → Governance exceeds legal requirements       Stent and Dowler's have ignored but IIRF specifies this item.         Performance       Performance       The Guiding Principles of "Consistency and comparability" and the	······································	Included -> Measurement of achievements and outcomes	
Oversight over the IR process       Excluded → Oversight over the IR process         Included → Governance exceeds legal requirements       Stent and Dowler's have ignored but IIRF specifies this item.         Performance       Performance       The Guiding Principles of "Consistency and comparability" and the	Governance	Governance	
Performance     Performance     The Guiding Principles of "Consistency and comparability" and the	Actions taken to monitor strategic direction	Excluded - Actions taken to monitor strategic direction	IIRC Guidelines does not require explicit identification of this item.
Performance Performance The Guiding Principles of "Consistency and comparability" and the	Oversight over the IR process	Excluded → Oversight over the IR process	
		Included →Governance exceeds legal requirements	Stent and Dowler's have ignored but IIRF specifies this item.
	Performance	Performance	The Guiding Principles of "Consistency and comparability" and the Fundamental Concept of "Capitals" of IIRF are assessed under this heading

Significant external factors	Excluded   Significant external factors	Addressed under the heading of Risk and opportunities as per IIRF.
Comparison against regional/industry benchmarks	Excluded  Comparison against regional/industry benchmarks	IIRF does not require explicit identification of this item.
	Included→ Explanation of KPIs and KRIs of significance, implications, methods and assumptions used in compiling them	Stent and Dowler have ignored but IIRF specifies this item
······································	Included Key stakeholder responses	
Future outlook	Future outlook	
Management's expectation	Included  Management's expectation	Expanded by emphasizing timeframe
······································	Included  Organizational readiness	Stent and Dowler's have ignored but IIRF specifies this item.
Likely operating context	Excluded   Likely operating context	Broadly covered under the management's expectations.
Uncertainties	Excluded    Uncertainties	Broadly covered under the management's expectations.
Real risks with extreme consequences	Excluded → Real risks with extreme consequences	
Key assumptions, possible risks	Excluded  Key assumptions, possible risks	
Ignored -> Basis of Presentation	Basis of Presentation	This is the final Content Element of IIRF which was not explicitly identified in Stent and Dowler's checklist. The Guiding Principles of "Reliability and completeness" and the
	ChiQuad Notical improved a standard in the standard	"Conciseness" of IIRF are assessed under this heading

	Concisences of first are assessed under this heading
Shifted → Material issues/determination, impact on creating/preserving value	Shifted from operating context
 Shifted Reporting boundary	Shifted from Organisational overview and Business model
 Included → Significant frameworks and methods used to quantify or evaluate material matters	Stent and Dowler's have ignored but IIRF specifies this item.
 Shifted - Assurance	Shifted from Assurance. Expanded by emphasizing non-financial reporting
 Included -> Conciseness	Stent and Dowler's have ignored but IIRF specifies this item.

Source: Author Constructed

48

I able 4: Proposed checklist	Maximun
Content Element	Score
Organizational overview and external environment	14
Value, ethics and culture (0= no mention; 1 = general comments on adherence to ethical values; 2	
= code of conduct reference, list of values, etc.)	2
Ownership and operating structure (0=no mention; 1 =ownership and operating structure described)	1
Principal activities, markets, products, services (0= no specifics on principal activities; 1 = activities/markets/products services listed)	1
Competitive landscape, market positioning and positioning within the value chain (1 mark for each)	3
Key quantitative information [employees, revenues, locations, & changes] $(1 = 1 - 2 \text{ elements}; 2 = 3 - 4 \text{ elements})$	2
Legal, commercial, social, environmental, political (maximum of 5 points, 1 for each context)	5
Business model	15
Key elements of the business model (1 each for input, process, output and outcome)	4
Diagrammatic presentation (1 = diagram, 4= explanation of each element to the organization)	5
Narrative flow based on the business model (0-no flow, 1= moderate level, 2= good flow)	2
Critical stakeholders identification and other dependencies (0= No stakeholder engagement, 1=explicit stakeholder engagement)	1
Connection to information covered [strategy (V & M), risk, opportunities, performance) (0=no connection, $1=1-2$ aspects, $2=3-4$ aspects, $3=$ more than 4 aspects)	3
Risk and opportunities	8
Key risks and opportunities (maximum of 2 points, 1 for describing risks; 1 for describing opportunities)	2
Assessment of the likelihood and impact (1 each =explanation of the risk &opportunity likelihood; magnitude of impacts 1 each for risk and opportunity))	4
Steps to mitigate/manage risk or opportunity (1 each for risk and opportunity)	2
Strategy and resource allocation	6
Short, medium, long term objectives ( $0$ = no mention; 1= strategic objectives stated without relevant time frame; 2 = strategic objectives and their time frames are listed)	2
Implementation plans (in relation to business model) (0 =no specific description; 1= specific	1
actions taken/planned are described)	
Resource allocation plan (0=no plan, 1= plan)	1
Measurement of achievements and outcomes ( $0$ = no mention; $1$ = strategic objectives stated without relevant time frame; $2$ = strategic objectives and their time frames are listed)	2
Governance	8
Leadership structure, diversity and skill set of those charged with governance (1 = members of the BoD/committees listed; 2 = their experience and skills are listed as well)	2
Actions taken to monitor strategic direction (0= no actions determinable from narrative; 1 =determinable actions)	1
Reflection of culture and ethical values in use of and effect on the capitals, relationship with key	2

ł 

1

Į

ī.

## **Table 4: Proposed checklist**

frameworks or method used, 1= frameworks and methods used) Assurance (0=No assurance, 1= mandatory audit, 2= independent external assurance on non- financial reporting) Conciseness (0= no conciseness, 1= balance between conciseness and completeness and comparability) <b>Totals</b>	2 1 3 1 76
Assurance (0=No assurance, 1= mandatory audit, 2= independent external assurance on non- financial reporting) Conciseness (0= no conciseness, 1= balance between conciseness and completeness and	1
Assurance (0=No assurance, 1= mandatory audit, 2= independent external assurance on non- financial reporting)	1
Assurance (0=No assurance, 1= mandatory audit, 2= independent external assurance on non-	1
significant frameworks and methods used to quantify or evaluate material matters (0= no	2
and the process explained)	
Reporting boundary (0= no boundary, 1 = boundary is determinable, 2=boundary determinable	
materiality described, impact on creating/preserving value considered)	2
issues; 1 = description of some elements of material issues disclosure; 2 = determination of	
Material issues/determination, impact on creating/preserving value (0= no discussion of material	1
Basis of presentation	9
Organizational readiness (0 = no description provided; 1 = readiness explained)	1
Potential implications (0= no consideration given; 1 = mention)	
expectation described with time frame =2)	1
Management's expectations ( $0 = no$ statement; $1 = no$ time frame only expectation described;	1
Future outlook	3
Comparison of actual results vs target ( $0 = no$ comparison provided; $1 = comparison$ given)	1
Key stakeholder responses (1= mention; 2 = elaborate)	2
State of key stakeholder relationships (1= mention; 2 = elaborate)	2
The organization's effect on the capitals (0=no consideration to the six capitals; 1 =consideration of two capitals; 2 = all material capitals considered)	2
Explanation of KPIs and KRIs of significance, implications, methods and assumptions used in compiling them (1 each)	4
KRIs (0 = no key risk indicators described; 1 = KRIs or equivalent)	1
KPIs (0 =no mixed performance measures; 1 =KPIs or equivalent)	1
	15
Performance	13
Compensation policies and plans (1 =standard minimum disclosure; 2 =elaborate)	2
governance exceeds legal requirements (0=no, 1= yes)	1
capitals/stakeholders)	
stakeholders ( $0 =$ no mention of cultural values/ethics in the given context; $1 =$ culture and values determinable from narrative; $2 =$ express statement regarding culture and values in relation to	

Source: Author Constructed

## **Conclusion and Directions for Future Research**

In the first part of this ongoing study, it is expected to assess as to what extent the current corporate integrated reporting practices follow what is mentioned in the IIRF issued by IIRC (2013). The developed checklist is the tool that is used to evaluate the corporate integrated reports against IIRF. The developed checklist will be useful for corporate managers to assess to understand as to what extent their corporate reporting covers the

contents of IIRF. Then, this assessment could also be a catalyst in creating the requisite changes to the corporate practice, in particular to the integrated thinking process.

Since this is an ongoing study, we have a list of milestones to be achieved. Firstly, the aforementioned checklist will be applied to a specifically selected sample of integrated reports as a pilot study. Once this initial pilot study is done, secondly we plan to evaluate the integrated reports of all the adopters to identify the level of quality of the Sri Lankan corporate reporting practice. Hence, we will be able to identify the disparities in integrated reporting practices among different industry sectors. Thirdly, we plan to apply the checklist to evaluate the integrated annual reports of overseas companies where this exercise would enable us to assess the suitability of the checklist under different geographic, social and regulatory environments.

### References

Brand Finance and CIMA 2015, Global intangible financial tracker- an annual review of the world's intangible value, viewed 5 July 2016, http://www.cimaglobal.com/Documents/Thought\_leadership\_docs/reporting/Brand-Finance-GIFT-Report-2015.pdf.

de Villiers, C, Rinaldi, L & Unerman, J 2014, 'Integrated reporting: insights, gaps and an agenda for future research', *Accounting, Auditing & Accountability Journal*, vol. 27, no. 7, pp. 1042-1067.

Eccles, RG & Serafeim, G 2011, 'Accelerating the adoption of integrated reporting' in. DL Francesco & V Matthias (eds), *CSR INDEX*, InnoVatio Publishing, Beirut.

Global Reporting Initiative (GRI) 2016, About sustainability reporting, viewed 4 July 2016, https://www.globalreporting.org/information/sustainability-reporting/Pages/ default.aspx

Gunarathne, N & Senaratne, S forthcoming, 'Diffusion of integrated reporting in an emerging South Asian (SAARC) nation', *Managerial Auditing Journal*.

The International Integrated Reporting Council (IIRC) 2013, *The international IR framework*, viewed 05 July 2016, <u>www.theiirc.org/international-ir-framework/</u>

Kolk, A 2003, 'Trends in sustainability reporting by the Fortune Global 250', Business Strategy and the Environment, vol. 12, no. 5, pp.279–291.

Kolk, A 2004, 'A decade of sustainability reporting: developments and significance', *International Journal of Environment and Sustainable Development*, vol. 3, no. 1, pp. 51-64.

Morgan, G, Froud, J & Quack, S 2011, 'Capitalism in crisis: organizational perspectives', *Organization*, vol. 18, no. 2, pp. 147–152.

Setia, NA, Abhayawansa, S, Joshi, M & Huynh, AV 2015, 'Integrated reporting in South Africa: some initial evidence', *Sustainability Accounting, Management and Policy Journal*, vol. 6, no. 3, pp. 397 – 424.

South Africa Institute of Chartered Accountants (SAICA) 2015, Integrated thinking: an exploratory survey, SAICA, South Africa.

Stent, W & Dowler, T 2015, 'Early assessments of the gap between integrated reporting and current corporate reporting', *Meditari Accountancy Research*, vol. 23, no. 1, pp. 92-117.

Wild, S & van Staden, C 2013, 'Integrated Reporting: an initial analysis of early reporters', Paper presented at the 3<sup>rd</sup> Sustainability Accounting Research Symposium, University of Canterbury, 30 August 2013.

Yongvanich, K & Guthrie, J 2006, 'An extended performance reporting framework for social and environmental accounting', *Business Strategy and the Environment*, vol. 15, pp. 309–321.