Microfinance Loan Default in Sri Lanka: A Case Study of Matugama Division

E. A. G. Sumanasiri1* and D. T. Priyankara1

DOI: 10.9734/bpi/ieam/v1

ABSTRACT

The purpose of this research is to explore the factors that influence microfinance loan default in Matugama Division, Sri Lanka. Both a deductive and a quantitative approach were employed. A structured questionnaire was designed by 5 Likert-scale. Questionnaires were distributed among 133 microfinance loan borrowers in the region of the Matugama using convenient sampling. SPSS version 22 used to perform the exploratory factor analysis. The findings of this study confirmed three factors useful to explain microfinance loan default in Sri Lanka. These are the actions of the Microfinance Institute to control loan defaulting; the characteristics of the borrowers' family and loan group; and macro-economic issues. The findings of this study could be used by managers of Microfinance Institutes to manage their credit risk and customer portfolio. Certain policy implications such as possibility of granting access to Credit Information Bureau of Sri Lanka, accessing credit history of customers and government decisions directing Microfinance Institutes to request securities from its customers are few of them.

Keywords: Microfinance; Ioan default; Sri Lanka; Matugama Divisional Secretariat; developing country.

1. INTRODUCTION

The term "microfinance" refers to the provision of financial services to low income clients, including those who are self-employed [1]. Microfinance became a buzz word among many when Professor Mohammad Yunus popularized the concept through initiating Gramin Banks where they started offering small loans for Pakistan's rural poor [2]. Following this footstep many developing countries started to combine microfinance systems in to their financial system through installing "Micro Finance Institutions" (MFI) that offers micro loans to the poorest. MFIs were established with the purpose of providing micro loans, savings, business advice and training to Micro and Small Enterprises (MSEs) [3]. These facilities offered by MFIs enabled MSEs easy access to finance [4,5,6,7,8,9]. There is no standard definition of a micro finance institution (MFI). An MFI generally provides relatively small loans (in the Indian context, loans of less than Rs. 50,000) to low Income individuals [10].

In the Asian Pacific Region there are about 240 million households below the poverty line [11] and by 2016 there were 123 million microfinance customers worldwide with India as the leader in terms of microfinance [12]. This microfinance ranking shows a strong impetus in South Asia [13] while Latin America [14] and the Caribbean [14,15] are also highly active. Being a developing country Sri Lanka also has a huge demand for credit and a potential to succeed by using microfinance concept [16,17, 18]. Most Sri Lankans often face challenges while applying for a loan through licensed Financial Institutes such as a Commercial Bank [19]. There are many poor people who could not fulfill the requirements put forth by these commercial banks creating a large market share for microfinance. According to Daily Fit [20], there is a credit gap of \$2.5 to \$3.58 billion between licensed financial institutions and potential customer needs for obtaining credit in Sri Lanka.

¹Department of Commerce, Faculty of Management Studies and Commerce, University of Sri Jayewardenepura, Sri Lanka. *Corresponding author: E-mail: ayoma@sjp.ac.lk;

The ability to collect microfinance loans efficiently and effectively influences the sustainability of MFIs. When MFI fails to collect its due amounts from its borrowers there are many repercussions to be faced such as inability to disburse more loans in the future, reducing operating profits and undermining liquidity. Therefore, microcredit loan default has now become a common issue to many MFIs operated in Sri Lanka which slow down the growth of microfinance sector and has also become a serious challenge to the sustainability of MFIs. According to Ledgerwood [21], the term Microfinance refers to the provision of financial services to low-income clients, including the self-employed. Financial services according to Ledgerwood [21], generally include savings and credit, insurance and payment services [22].

When loan arrears persist in the financial sector, poverty-related problems can also increase rather than decrease. Recently, many social and economic challenges experiences occurred in Sri Lanka which confirmed and demonstrated the seriousness of the problem of loan default in the Sri Lankan MF sector [19]. At present microfinance has already been banned in the Northern Province of Sri Lanka as many suicide attempts were reported and domestic violence against female increased as a result of obtaining microfinance loans [23]. To minimize the opportunities for loan default it would be benefited to many stakeholders to identify reasons for defaulting a microfinance loan. Hence, there is an urgent need to explore the factors affecting microfinance loan defaults in the Sri Lankan context. To identify these factors a quantitative approach was identified as the most suitable as it allows to collect the perceptions from a larger sample. Therefore, this study aims to identify and analyze the factors influencing microfinance loan default in Sri Lanka.

Exploring factors influencing microfinance credit default have much significance to policy decision makers, lenders and borrowers. Sri Lanka being a developing country has to face many economic and socio-political problems such as eradicating poverty, gender equality, political instability, corruptions, high debt ratio and climate change [24,25]. To address these challenges MFIs have been identified as playing a significant role in poverty alleviation, rural development, gender equality (i.e. through women empowerment) [5] and quality education which are considered as key goals of achieving sustainable development. Therefore, a study that focuses on identifying factors hindering microfinance sector would give more benefits to the practitioners as well as policy makers. The findings of this study would help loan policy developers, potential investors, government authorities and other stakeholders in identifying and solving diverse issues surrounding microfinance loan default.

2. LITERATURE REVIEW

2.1 Microfinance

Microfinance is identified as a financial transaction service that offers financial assistance to unemployed or low-income individuals or groups. The two main aims of microfinance [26] are to alleviate poverty and empower women [5], which are also two major millennium development goals.

To achieve the first objective of alleviating poverty, Professor Yunus started his Grameen Banks with the aim of providing small loans to his country's rural destitute [2]. This process was begun as an experiment in the outskirts of Chittagong University in the village of Jobra, Bangladesh to grant loans to the rural poor without collateral at full-cost interest repayable in regular installments [27]. Offering microfinance loans at a fair interest rate reduces the cost of borrowing and creates less chances to default their credits obtained [28]. This method enabled microfinance to improve the living status of the poorest of the poor especially their household income and housing conditions [29]. In appreciation of this great work in 2006 the Nobel Peace Prize was awarded to both Professor Yunus and the Grameen Bank for their contribution in developing the concept of microfinance. Over the past, microfinance has turned into different business models which enabled the poor to earn an income while enjoying social benefits from its original self-financing model that provides social benefits to the rural poor.

2.2 Microfinance in Sri Lanka

Sri Lanka has a long history of microfinance. "Cheetu" in Sri Lanka has been in operation at least from the beginning of the 20th century. Microfinance is still considered as an informal but an effective way

of capital accumulation and saving. However, today microfinance function as a basic method of offering micro credit to the poor. The microfinance sector in Sri Lanka now covers a wide range of institutions and products that ensure a significant mode of financial inclusion and empowerment especially for the low income and poor sections of society [30]. According to microfinance industry practitioner's there are around 2.5 million borrowers in Sri Lanka [19].

Today there are many institutions offering microfinance services, particularly to the poorest households in the country [29,31]. These institutions include licensed commercial banks, licensed finance companies, co-operative rural banks, thrift and credit co-operatives societies, Divineguma Banks, other community-based organizations, microfinance companies and non-governmental organizations (NGOs) [30]. They are mostly monitored through the Central Bank of Sri Lanka, Department of Cooperative Development and the Department of Divineguma Development. There are almost 200 MFIs in the country, of which only 100 have an established country-wide network. Among the MFIs in the developing world there are some impostors pretending to be microfinance companies and charging high interest rates from its customers adding to the distress of borrowers [32]. It is reported that there are more than 11,000 microfinance service providers within Sri Lanka are mostly identified as non-registered under the Central Bank [19]. These MFIs give a negative impression of the Sri Lankan microfinance sector which adversely affects the reputation of the industry.

According to the literature, when MFIs are more interested in financial sustainability, their interest in poverty reduction diminishes and hence there is a tradeoff between the outreach to the poor (ability of MF institutes to reach poor remote people) and financial sustainability (ability to cover its operating costs) of the MFI [33]. In the period of COVID - 19 pandemic most central banks in developing countries such as Nigeria and Brazil have decided to delay the repayment of microfinance loans to reduce the default risk [34]. Therefore, to maintain a sustainable and balanced microfinance sector there is a need to regulate and supervise the MFIs in Sri Lanka [19]. With this intention the Sri Lankan Parliament enacted the Microfinance Act No. 6 of 2016 which came into effect on 15th July, 2016. This Act grants licenses while regulating microfinance businesses of Sri Lanka to allow the MFIs to become Licensed Micro Finance Company (LMFCs). Also, to protect the microfinance sector all nongovernmental microfinance organizations were required to be registered under Act No. 31 of 1980 (VSSO Act) by the Registrar of Voluntary Social Service Organizations. To control the microfinance sector in 2018, the Lanka Microfinance Practitioners Association has introduced a code of practice [35]. However, there is an urgent need for a professional level regulatory institution for controlling micro financing activities of MFI and a monitoring authority at the government level to confirm the smooth functioning of the industry [19].

2.3 Microfinance Loan Defaults

Loan default occurs when a borrower fails to repay a loan. A loan repayment delay refers to a late payment, partial payment or a skipped payment [36,37]. When repayment is delayed, a loan is treated as a delinquent loan which then turns into default with the chance of recovering the loan becoming minimal. Delinquency results in increased risk of loss and is a warning about operational problems so that measuring delinquency is a very important element of MFI management.

2.4 Factors Influencing Microfinance Loan Defaults

The reasons for microfinance loan default have been identified differently by different stakeholders (from borrowers to loan officers and MFIs). An inadequate financial analysis is a common cause of microfinance loan default [38]. Literature states that factors relating to borrowers are not the main reasons for loan default [39]. Apart from the risky borrowers, MFIs are also responsible for customers' loan default [37]. For example, loan officers' lack of expertise and knowledge to assess borrowers could end in a loan default [19]. Hence, loan officers need to be vigilant in order to minimize the risk of loan default by considering other aspects of loan default. On the other hand, high interest rates and delays in loan delivery could significantly increase transaction costs and also adversely affect repayment performance [28,32,40]. Loan shortages, disbursement lag, small farm size, high interest rate, age of farmers and poor supervision have been identified as the major reasons for loan default [41]. Borrowers with low income level, high indebtedness, greater loan diversion, experiencing high

cost of borrowing are prone to a greater probability of defaulting microfinance loans [42]. The borrower's income level, loan interest rates and loan period are factors leading to loan default [28,31, 43]. Further, borrowers with large family size and lacking of business experience are open to default risk than others [44]. For example, the income of farmers in the Kohansan-Razavi province of Iran has a positive effect on loan repayment while loan interest and the number of installments have a negative effect on loan repayment performance [45]. Researchers [43] using Chinese Microfinance data confirmed that long repayment period reduces the repayment risk whereas loan size and interest rates are increasing the default risk. Ajah et al. [44] stated when borrowers have a joint liability towards repaying the loan, it has a greater chance of leading to a non-defaulted loan than having an individual liability towards loan repayment in a family.

The literature also indicates that MFIs themselves are responsible for loan default. For example, in Kenya researchers [45] have identified the weaknesses associated with MFIs such as poor management decisions, weak strategies and failure to manage Self-Help Groups (SHGs) as some factors influencing microfinance loan default. Lack of support from a loan group is another common reason for loan default. For example, in a successful support group, members are motivated to repay the loans of group members even if their projects have yielded insufficient profit [47]. Also, lack of supervision of MFI has been identified as a critical factor for loan default [42]. The negative effect rises when the entire group defaults even when some members have repaid individual loans.

In addition, macro-economic factors also need to be considered. One study illustrates how macro-economic downturn reduces consumer purchasing power [46]. Therefore, macro-economic factors such as price levels and economic growth are some important factors when assessing the loan repayment capacity of borrowers. Demonetization decisions of the government can also lead to a dangerous situation where loan defaults could occur at collective level in a community [48]. Also, the decline in the real Gross Domestic Product (GDP) and depreciation of the foreign exchange rate of a country could directly affect the repayment ability of borrowers [49]. Furthermore, macroeconomic stability and economic growth are associated with diminishing loan default [50]. Accordingly, the goal of achieving minimum loan default to ensure a healthy loan portfolio will ultimately lead to the sustainability of MFIs.

Therefore to conduct this study three factors namely; 1) microfinance institutional factors, 2) borrower's family and loan group's influence and 3) macroeconomic factors have been identified as the three major causes of microfinance loan default.

3. STUDY DESIGN

Most studies of microfinance loan default are based on a qualitative approach. To extend the discussion based on the pre-examined qualitative work, this study used the quantitative approach to identify the factors affecting microfinance loan default in Sri Lanka with specific reference to the Matugama Divisional Secretariat (DS). The Matugama DS was selected because it is one of the districts recorded with the highest number of microfinance loans in Sri Lanka. Convenience sampling was used to select respondents from the population of microfinance loan borrowers in the geographical region of Matugama DS. Convenience sampling is one of the main and popular types of non-probability sampling methods and refers to the collection of information from members of the population who are conveniently available to the researcher. Convenience sampling was used in this study for several reasons. It enabled the researcher to easily access the respondents as they were available within the proximity of the researcher, to conduct the survey within a short period of time and with a least cost.

At the later stage of data collection, sampling method has been expanded to the snowball sampling method because it enabled researcher to access the early respondents and then their references to identify and reach other possible respondents. Finally, a total of 133 respondents participated in this study. It was found that these respondents in the Matugama DS belong to seven (7) Grama Niladari Divisions (GNDs) under the Matugama DS.

3.1 Data Collection

Structured questionnaires consisting of close-ended questions were developed to achieve the objectives of the study. Confidentiality of the respondents was always ensured. Data were collected about respondent's family income, size of the family, educational qualifications and demographic factors were included as suggested in previous studies [38,44,51,52]. A five-point Likert scale was used to identify microfinance institutional factors, macro-economic factors and factors of microfinance group and family that could impact on microfinance loan default. The language and wording of the questionnaire were aimed to elicit the responses of microfinance loan borrowers. Generally, they have a low level of education level and are poor in English and its idioms. Thus the questionnaire was designed in Sinhala and for analysis all the data and information were translated into English.

3.2 Data Analysis

The data analysis was conducted using SPSS Version 22. In order to analyze and present the data, a descriptive analysis was first done. The internal consistency of the study instruments and instrument subscales was measured using Cronbach's alpha. The factor analysis was done using the principle axis factoring method to ensure construct validity.

4. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

4.1 Demographic Profile of the Sample

The data was collected from 133 microfinance loan borrowers. The 133 questionnaires did not have any missing value or invalid response. Age-wise, 38.3% of the respondents were more than 45 years old and were considered mature. The next highest age category of 31-40 years formed 24.8% of the total respondents while 18-30 years and 31-40 years categories were 19.5% and 17.3% respectively. The majority of microfinance borrowers who participated in the study had five family members including the respondent (30.1%) while the next number of members was four and six representing 22.6% and 21.8% respectively. Those with two family members represented 7.5% while those with eight family members represented 0.8% including the respondent. Education-wise the majority of respondents had completed Grade 9 and formed 51.9% of the total while 40.6% of respondents had qualifications up to GCE (O/L). Only one graduate respondent (0.08%) had obtained MF loans while 6.8% respondents had completed GCE (A/L). In respect of average monthly income as many as 51.5% of respondents belonged to the income range of Rs. 10, 000 - 30,000. The next highest income range was Rs. 30,000 - Rs 50,000 earned by a percentage of 32.3%. Those in the income range of less than Rs. 10, 000 and more than Rs 50, 000 were less than those in other income ranges of 4.5% and 12.0% respectively. The study also focused on borrowers who are members of the microfinance loan group. Most of the microfinance loan groups consisted of five members, that is, 54.5% of the total. Here we identified another two groups consisting of 3 and 4 members who represented 28.6% and 16.5% respectively of the total sample.

4.2 Purpose of Obtaining Microfinance Loans

The main focus of this factor was to identify the actual purpose of borrowing a loan other than the purpose stated in the loan application. Most respondents stated "To repair/build a house" as the popular reason for borrowing loan, accounting for 36.8%. while 21.8% borrowed "to expand their existing business". 11.3% of respondents had obtained loans "to start a new business". This confirms that the majority of the sample in the Matugama DS are using microfinance loans for improving housing and living conditions compared to entrepreneurial activities.

Next, the items in this factor focused on identifying the current status of microfinance group's loan repayments and defaults. The majority of respondents (87.2%) had "repaid their loans themselves" while 3% had "repaid their loans through group members" rather than by themselves. There were some cases (9.8%) where "both borrowers and group members repaid their microfinance loans". This

suggest that the borrowers are mostly applying microfinance loans individually compared to jointly sharing the liabilities.

4.3 Influence of Microfinance Institution (MFI) on Microfinance Loan Default

Seven statements in the survey instrument were intended to measure MFI's influence on the loan default of customers. The KMO value was 0.709 and p-value of the Bartlett's test of Sphericity (Chi-square=221.155, df= 21) was significant (P< 0.05). The analysis confirmed that the two-factor solution with a Cronbach's alpha of 0.741 confirmed that these statements were a reliable measure of the MFI construct. The first factor consisted of MF12, MFI4, MFI3, and MFI5. The second factor consisted of MFI6, MFI7 and MFI1 where MFI6 led with the highest contribution. In summary, 56.82% of the variance of the MFI construct was explained through these seven statements (Annexure 1).

Overall (Annexure 4), the majority of respondents disagreed with the statement that "the interest rate of the MF institute of their choice is more attractive than that of another institute" indicating customer dissatisfaction about interest rates offered by their lenders. However, most of the respondents who had defaulted group loans disagreed with this statement and also the majority of those who hadn't defaulted also disagreed with this statement. Most of the respondents agreed that "the MFI has approved adequate loans", according to the overall result. This findings indicates that most customers are happy about the size of the loan that they have received from MFI. But most of the respondents who had defaulted loans were either neutral or disagreed with this statement suggesting the inadequate loan size they have received from the MFI to satisfy their needs and the magnitude of financial difficulties that these borrowers are facing. The overall result was mostly dependent on the responses of those who hadn't defaulted since most of them had agreed or strongly agreed with the statement. Among the overall responses most of the participants agreed that "the loan was granted at the right time I expected" suggesting the efficiency of loan approval process conducted by most of the MFIs in the region. This was mostly agreed on by respondents who hadn't defaulted before. The majority of the respondents who had defaulted disagreed with the statement that the loan was not granted at the right time they expected. This suggest the impatience of those borrowers facing previous loan defaults. Most respondents highlighted that they were satisfied with "the procedure that MFI followed to approve loans". Interestingly, those who had already defaulted loans were not satisfied with the procedures followed by MFI in approving a loan. MFIs monitor these defaulted customer's carefully by screening their repayment capacity and thus this has created dissatisfaction with the defaulters with the MFI loan approval procedures Majority of the respondents in the sample agreed that "the MFI had estimated their total debt before approving the loan". This indicate that MFIs in Sri Lanka are mostly screening the credit history and repayment ability of their customers when granting a loan. Remarkably, the results denoted that those who had already defaulted loans were neutral on this statement, whereas the majority of the sample who were non defaulters agreed with this statement which showed most of the respondents to agree with this statement. Overall, the results indicated that the majority disagreed with the statement that "the MFI suggested a simple and easy installment method". Respondents who have defaulted loans before disagreed with this statement while those who hadn't defaulted agreed. Interestingly this confirms the MFIs lack of concern on improving the financial literacy of its customers and their inability to become a customer centered organization. As highlighted above in the literature review repayment period, interest rates and loan size have become worrying factors of loan defaulters. Therefore a close supervision and customization of loans to customer requirements could benefit to control loan defaults. Most of the respondents disagreed with the statement "the MFI had not arranged workshops and training programs after they had granted them a loan". Both borrowers who previously defaulted and nondefaulters disagreed with this statement. This indicate that most MFIs n Sri Lanka have organized workshops and training programs to aware the borrowers about managing the funds and to avoid defaulting the loans.

4.4 Influence of Family (F) on Microfinance Loan Default

Annexure 2 shows the results of the factor analysis that includes items relating to the borrower's family and loan group. Four items were identified as measuring the factors of family and the MF loan group. The KMO value was 0.681 and p-value of Bartlett's test of Sphericity (Chi-square=79.987, df=

6) was significant (P< 0.05). Cronbach's alpha of 0.665 also confirmed that they were reliable measures of family influence. Among the four statements measuring this factor, F2 was identified as the most significant item leading to this influence of the family constructs. In summary, these four statements explained 50.31% of the variance of the construct.

According to the overall result (Annexure 4), most of the respondents agreed that "their total family income was sufficient to settle their remaining total debt". However, those who disagreed with this statement were previous loan defaulters while the majority of respondents who hadn't defaulted agreed with the said statement. This suggest the possible financial strain that the defaulters are facing at the moment. Most of the respondents agreed and some have even strongly agreed with the statement that "their family members help to settle their loans". Majority of the respondents who agreed were loan defaulters while there was not much difference in the responses of people who hadn't defaulted group loans. The results indicated that the majority of respondents were neutral on "the support of members of the loan group for them to manage credit". This suggest that most borrowers in Sri Lanka require support from their close groups to repay the debts on time. Majority of the respondents who had defaulted before disagreed with this statement whereas those who hadn't defaulted before were neutral. This affected the overall result. The results confirmed that the majority of respondents strongly agreed that their "group members encouraged them to pay their instalments on the due date". However, those who had defaulted loans before were neutral on this statement whereas those who hadn't defaulted strongly agreed. Again confirming the importance of loan group support to repay the loans. Those who haven't receive the group support seems to be struggling to repay their loans.

4.5 Influence of Macroeconomic Factors (ECON) on Microfinance Loan Default

This factor is covered in four statements that aim to measure the macroeconomic impact as a reason for loan default. The factor analysis confirmed a one-factor solution with a Cronbach's alpha of 0.580, which is approximately 0.6 (acceptable) and confirming that these statements were reliable measures of ECON. The KMO value was 0.557 and p-value of Bartlett's test of Sphericity (Chi-square=74.3995, df= 6) was significant (P< 0.05). In summary, these four statements explained 45.95% of the variance of ECON (Annexure 3).

Annexure 4 shows that most of the respondents agreed that "the inflation effect on our economy adversely affects ability to repay their loan". The majority of the respondents who had defaulted loans strongly agreed with the above statement while most of the respondents who hadn't defaulted loans also agreed or strongly agreed with it. This suggest increasing cost of production and living expenses have become a key concern of loan defaulters. Most of the respondents' attitude to "the effect of depreciation of foreign exchange rate on their ability to repay loans" was neutral. Most of the respondents who had defaulted loans agreed that depreciation of the foreign exchange rate adversely affected to their repayment ability whereas many non- defaulters were neutral on the statement. The neutral results might be due to their lack of knowledge and awareness about the exchange rates influencing on their loan repayment ability. Most respondents strongly agreed that "frequent weather changes and natural disasters adversely affect their ability to repay". Both previous loan defaulters and non-defaulters strongly agreed with this statement. This confirms the growing concern about the climate issues on the small and medium enterprises and the life of the poorest in the society. The results confirmed that the respondents were either neutral or agreed on "the political instability of the country and village level, adversely affecting their ability to repay". Among them, most loan defaulters agreed with this statement while the majority of non-defaulters were neutral. This suggest the important role of a stable government and the government intention to uplift the lives of the poorest in the society strongly influence on the repayment capacity of the borrowers.

5. DISCUSSION

The main objective of this study was to identify and analyze the factors influencing microfinance loan default in Sri Lanka using a sample of respondents living in the region of Matugama DS. The data analysis confirmed that there is a two-factor solution to the first construct of MFI. These two factors were named as "Loan granting procedure" and "Customer services offered by MFI".

The second factor aims to measure the influence of the borrower's family and loan group on borrowers default. All the items in this factor were loaded into a single factor solution. All the items in the third factor that aims to measure the impact of macroeconomic variables on borrowers' loan default were loaded into a single factor. In conducting the factor analysis none of the statements were removed from the whole data set as each statement in the three constructs was statistically supported to remain.

5.1 Role of Microfinance Institution (MFI) in Reducing Loan Default

Factor analysis confirmed that the 'MF Institute has approved adequate advances /loans' (MFI2) statement makes the highest contribution. This statement looks at the loan size approved by the MFI according to the borrower's perspective. Previous empirical studies [32,41] stated that loan shortfall or inadequate loan size could highly influence borrowers to default loan repayment. The results of this study are in line with the findings identified earlier [32,41] which confirmed that most of the respondents had defaulted their loans mainly due to their MFI's offering a loan which is inadequate in size. The results confirmed that loan size is not a concern for those non-defaulters compared to previous loan defaulters. This leads the loan defaulters to seek and obtain new loans irrespective of the amount of the loan. This customer behavior is considered risky as it adversely affects the repayment capacity of the borrowers.

The results indicate that those who have previously defaulted loans were not satisfied with MFI's procedures followed in applying for a loan. Establishing a proper loan approval procedure would help MFIs to mitigate the risk of any future loan defaults. The perceptions of the non-loan defaulters were positive about their MFIs as they help to manage their loan portfolios and the repayment basis.

Delays in loan delivery have a significant impact on the loan repayment ability of borrowers [41]. The study confirmed that MF loans were granted at the right time the borrowers expected. However, those who had defaulted before were dissatisfied with the speed of granting the loan through MFI. It seems that these risky borrowers were those who applied for a loan when they needed money urgently. Most of these borrowers are risky and have businesses which are not well-planned or cannot forecast income generation. On the other hand, MFIs need to spend sufficient time to screen the customers before granting a loan because of the possible negative impact on the profitability of MFIs. Similarly, too much delay in granting a loan could also cause borrowers to default repaying their existing loans as they look for other sources of costly financing methods especially from the non-registered or predatory MFIs.

The literature suggests that MFIs' concern for proper financial analysis of its customers can control the possibility of loan default by its customers [41,42]. The results of this study confirmed that both defaulting and non-defaulting borrowers agree on estimating their total debt to the MFI before granting a loan. Therefore, all the parties could benefit through a thorough analysis of the financial risk of the borrowers.

The literature also observed that the number of loan installments or the duration of the loan has a negative impact on the repayment capacity of borrowers [45]. The statement used to measure this aspect in this study aimed at looking how borrowers perceive the instalment methods suggested by the MFI. Most respondents confirmed that they were not happy with the number of installment suggested by their MFI. In line with the findings of Kohansal [40], who also indicated that the number of instalments had a negative effect on repayment, the respondents in this study also think that their repayment schedules suggested by the MFI restrict their on-time repayment capacity. As a practice microfinance officers personally visit and collect weekly and bi-weekly instalments from its borrowers in order to minimize default risk. But it does seem to be an easy task for borrowers to repay their loans provided the MFI is ready to negotiate the best repayment plan taking customer preferences into consideration.

MFIs keen on supervising borrower's funds as it could minimize the risk of loan default in the future [40,42]. This study highlights the importance of developing sound financial literacy among MF borrowers [19]. This could be done through training sessions on fund management. Without providing

this financial knowledge, MFI cannot expect their borrowers to repay their loans on time [19]. Therefore, to minimize loan default risk it is advisable for MFIs to conduct training sessions, workshops, supervision and other supportive programmes from time to time to enable borrowers to effectively manage their loan funds.

Researchers [28,32,53,54] have noted that high interest rates charged by most MFIs were a major reason for loan default. Due to the urgency of obtaining funds most microfinance borrowers do not compare interest rates across MFIs. However, this study showed that most borrowers were dissatisfied with the interest rates and agreed that the interest rate charged by their MFI is not attractive. Borrowers feel that they are paying above market average interest rates. It is the responsibility of the MFIs to offer a fair interest rates on its customers to ensure loan repayment that would benefit the social and economic development of the country [28]. Ultimately, charging high interest rate negatively impacts on customer satisfaction as well while adversely affecting the loan repayment capacity of the borrowers. This may also lead to non-performing loans that severely affect the loan portfolio of MFIs.

5.2 Role of the Borrowers Family and Loan Group Members on Reducing Loan Default

The respondents in this study perceive their family support as helping them to settle loans on time. Without the support and help of family members, borrowers cannot repay their loans on time. Therefore, MFIs can avoid the problem of loan delinquency by taking action to enhance the borrower's family cohesiveness. The results of this study are an encouragement for MFIs to recognize family values and further develop family support in various ways.

Family income has a considerable impact on loan repayment performance. Kohansal [45] observed that the income of farmers has a positive effect on their loan repayment. This study also confirms that the total family income level of the borrower which is sufficient to settle outstanding loans has an impact on the loan default of borrowers. MFIs therefore consider total family income generation and capacity when granting loans to mitigate any risk of default.

By today almost all microfinance loans are disbursed among borrowers based on the group loaning mechanism as collateral. This aspect of a group's collectiveness to repay the instalments on the due date was included to measure this construct. The results indicated that members agree that group members encouraging each other to repay their loans would help them to settle the instalments on time. It seems that members' cohesiveness encourages on timely repayment of loan instalments [47].

The advice and guidance of other group members to manage funds is considered an important dimension that ensure on time repayment of loans [47]. As a practice most members interact with each other until they obtain loans whereas afterwards they do not discuss how to manage their loans. With the intention of understanding how group encourage loan repayment this item was included in this factor. The results confirmed that group member support is an important item to explain loan default of microfinance borrowers. It seems that strong support and guidance from other group members significantly affect loan repayment as well as repayment of dues on time. Therefore, healthy interaction among group members is vital to minimize the risk of default.

5.3 Role of Macroeconomic Factors on Reducing Loan Default

In today's globalized market the depreciation of the foreign exchange rate directly affects the loan repayment ability of borrowers [49]. In view of the impact of foreign exchange fluctuations on the repayment capacity of microfinance borrowers, this study included this macroeconomic item to explain loan default behaviour of borrowers. This statement looked at how the depreciation of exchange rate impacts on loan repayment. The neutral responses to this item showed that most borrowers were unaware of the foreign exchange rate and its impact on their loan repayment. However, among those who previously defaulted on their loans perceive adverse foreign exchange rate deprecation as an impact on their repayment capacity.

Inflation rates in Sri Lanka increase on a daily basis threatening the standard of living of the people, especially those who are most vulnerable in low and middle level income families. Rising inflation affect the poorest of the poor the most with their purchasing power declining. This affects heavily on their loan repayment capacity. This aspect was included in this factor in order to understand the impact of inflation on the repayment capacity of microfinance borrowers. A researcher [46] has explained, inflation reduces the purchasing power of borrowers with a negative impact on their loan repayment capacity.

When the macro-economic performance is strong in a country it minimizes the loan default opportunity of borrowers [50]. This aspect of the economic and political stability of a country as a factor to explain loan default of microfinance borrowers "Political instability of the country and village level, adversely affecting to the ability of my loan repayment" was included to the questionnaire under macro-economic construct. However, the results of this study confirmed that the political instability of the country and instabilities at the village level are useful items to explain loan default. However, based on the perceptions of the respondents this item has not much of an impact on loan default. It seems to be that the repayment capacity of the borrowers does not depend on the political instability surrounding the borrowers.

Frequent weather changes and natural disasters are common in Sri Lanka. Considering the importance of climate change and its impact, this study included it as an item to explain the loan default of borrowers. The results of this study confirmed that frequent weather changes and natural disasters are an important reason for loan default of microfinance borrowers. It seems that most of the respondents have some experience of weather changes and natural disasters that have hindered their loan repayments.

6. CONCLUSION

The purpose of this research is to explore the factors that influence microfinance loan default in Matugama Division, Sri Lanka. Both a deductive and a quantitative approach were employed. A structured questionnaire was designed by 5 Likert-scale. Questionnaires were distributed among 133 microfinance loan borrowers in the region of the Matugama using convenient sampling. SPSS version 22 used to perform the exploratory factor analysis. The findings of this study confirmed three factors useful to explain microfinance loan default in Sri Lanka. These are the actions of the Microfinance Institute to control loan defaulting; the characteristics of the borrowers' family and loan group; and macro-economic issues. The findings of this study could be used by managers of Microfinance Institutes to manage their credit risk and customer portfolio. Certain policy implications such as possibility of granting access to Credit Information Bureau of Sri Lanka, accessing credit history of customers and government decisions directing Microfinance Institutes to request securities from its customers are few of them.

7. LIMITATIONS AND FUTURE RESEARCH

There are certain limitations that need to be noted in this study. A paper-based questionnaire fails to gather qualitative information such as inner feelings and perceptions of respondents about the hidden reasons behind loan default with MFIs in Sri Lanka. Therefore, future researchers could use qualitative methods to confirm the findings of this study. Also, future researchers could use longitudinal research to understand the changes in borrowers' perceptions and behaviours and MFIs' perceptions over time.

Data was collected from microfinance borrowers only in the Matugama region. Responses from other stakeholders such as MFI managers, executives, family members of loan borrowers and group members can help to understand the true picture of microfinance loan defaults. Hence, future researchers could consider collecting data from a diverse pool of respondents for better results and for developing a model that explains factors influencing microfinance loan default in Sri Lanka.

At present, microfinance is regarded as a mature field and loan default as an emerging issue for discussion. The field of microfinance and many issues surrounding have been well explored through

qualitative research methods. The quantitative model developed in this study could be used to further explore this issue in future, especially by using a much larger sample. This study has tested and proposed three variables that influence loan defaults especially in the context of a developing country. Hence, the findings of this study could be further examined to understand the microfinance loan default problem in other emerging economies.

8. RECOMMENDATIONS

It would be important for managers of MFIs to understand the causes of microfinance loan default. Hence, examining borrower's perceptions give more insights for the MFI management in their decision making. According to the findings and results of the study, there are many borrowers who have already defaulted loans but managed to obtain new loans from different institutes outside existing MFIs. For this reason it is recommended that MFIs and their loan officers follow a proper customer appraisal procedure before granting a loan. MFIs should design comprehensive and effective lending policies and procedures which are regularly reviewed by their management [19].

The results of this study point to the importance of searching for new customer bases rather than offering loans to existing customers because it helps MFIs to control their credit risk. Most experienced microfinance borrowers are not uncomfortable or serious about defaulting loans as they are sure that their group members may repay on their behalf in a situation of arrears.

Self-motivated and educated groups help to control the loan default risk among borrowers. Therefore, MFIs should take necessary actions to guide, supervise and review borrowers as well as their groups through training and workshops to enhance their financial literacy [19]. Ignorance forces borrowers to obtain more loans to refinance their defaulted loans thus trapping them in a vicious circle [55]. The results of this study confirmed that most of the microfinance borrowers obtain loans for other purpose such as housing repairs, settling other loans and daily consumption purposes which means their repayment capacity is already at risk. Few are applying for loan for entrepreneurial activities. Therefore, MFIs should ensure that loans are granted to those engaged in income generating activities. Careful screening procedures should be implemented before granting the loan.

There are many policy implications of this study. It pinpoints several key issues for the government sector to consider in its policies. Governing bodies and policy makers should give urgent attention in minimizing the risk of loan default in the microfinance sector. MFIs operating in Sri Lanka face challenges while on the other hand borrowers face mental health issues through facing the stress of repaying loans on the due dates. Many stakeholders still blame the Sri Lankan government for not giving proper attention to this issue. The government should therefore give urgent attention and endeavor to ensure the sustainability of the microfinance sector through formulating strong policies that create a favourable environment for MFIs to operate.

Regulatory authorities such as the Central Bank of Sri Lanka should take immediate actions to control all the MFIs in order to create a favorable business environment within the country. Especially a mechanism to determine a favourable and fair interest rate should be designed and workshops must be conducted for MFIs on credit management. Also, policy makers and regulatory officials should prepare standards and minimum requirements for granting microfinance loans for a second time borrower. At present, there are no such rules or a system in place to control this behaviour either among MFIs or customers.

The intention of many microfinance borrowers who have already defaulted on repayments is to obtain loans at any time at any cost from anywhere. Lack of coordination between MFIs through accessing information about customers has led to this kind of customer behavior. Hence, this study suggests that the government and other regulatory bodies such as the Central Bank permit the MFIs to consult the Credit Information Bureau of Sri Lanka to understand the credit history of the borrowers. Access to this system would enable these MFIs to reject the loan applications of high risk customers.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

- 1. Ledgerwood J. Microfinance handbook: Sustainable banking with the poor. Institutional and Financial Perspectives; 1999.
- Yunus M. Banker to the Poor. Penguin Books India: 1998.
- 3. Mungure ME. The causes and impacts of loan default to microfinance Institutions (MFIS) activities: A case of pride Tanzania ltd. Pamba branch-Mwanza (Doctoral dissertation, Mzumbe University); 2015.
- Fraizer HK, Kazi V. Assessing the relative poverty of clients and non-clients of non-bank microfinance institutions in Tanzania: The case of the Dar es Salaam and coast regions, REPOA; 2004.
- 5. Bansal S, Singh AK. Examining the social and entrepreneurial development of women through Microfinance in Indian context. Journal of Management Development; 2019.
- 6. Aladejebi O. The Impact of Microfinance Banks on the Growth of Small and Medium Enterprises in Lagos Metropolis. European Journal of Sustainable Development. 2019;8(3):261.
- 7. Garba A. Effect of microfinance banks on the growth of selected small and medium scale enterprises in Makurdi metropolis, Benue state. Journal of Advance Research in Business Management and Accounting (ISSN: 2456-3544). 2019;5(7):01-23.
- 8. Mohamed A, Komguoglu N. The Impact of Microfinance Institution Services on the Growth of the Small and Medium Enterprises (SMEs) İn Mogadishu Somalia. Üyesi, The Impact of Microfinance Institution Services on the Growth of the Small and Medium Enterprises (SMEs) İn Mogadishu Somalia; 2019.
- Rathnayake KM, Fernando PI, Fernando AG. Impact of Microfinance Services on Growth of Micro Small and Medium Scale Enterprises (With Special Reference to Micro Small Medium Scale Enterprises in Kalutara District).
- Badugu, D., & Tripathi, V. (2016). Micro-Finance Research Structure Studies: The Microfinance Structures, Microfinance Systems, and Microfinance Institutions, Such as the Social, Economic Empowerment of the Poor. International Journal of Business and Applied Social Science. 2(2).
- 11. Asian Development Outlook 2019, Strengthening Disaster Resilience, Asian Development Bank; 2019.
 - ISBN 978-92-9261-560-4
- 12. Microfinance. Key microfinance statistics; 2011.
 - Accessed 15 August 2018
 - Available:http://www.microfinance.lk
- Laha A. Impact of microfinance on poverty in the context of global financial crisis: A cross country analysis in South Asia. In Socio-Economic Development: Concepts, Methodologies, Tools, and Applications, IGI Global. 2019;1317-333.
- 14. Churchill SA, Appau S. Microfinance in Latin America and the Caribbean: the curse and blessing of ethnic diversity. Applied Economics. 2020;52(16):1816-30.
- 15. Awaworyi Churchill S, Appau S. Microfinance in Latin America and the Caribbean: the curse and blessing of ethnic diversity. Applied Economics. 2019;1-5.
- 16. Alawattage C, Graham C, Wickramasinghe D. Microaccountability and biopolitics: Microfinance in a Sri Lankan village. Accounting, Organizations and Society. 2019;72:38-60.
- 17. Priyankara DT, Sumanasiri EA. Determinants of Microfinance Loan Default: An Empirical Investigation in Sri Lanka. South Asian Journal of Social Studies and Economics. 2019;1-3.
- 18. Kumari JP, Azam SF, Khalidah S. The effect of microfinance services on poverty reduction: Analysis of empirical evidence in Sri Lankan perspectives. European Journal of Economic and Financial Research; 2019.
- Bernard K. Can Microfinance bail out the poor from the COVID 19. Financial Times; 2020. Accessed 2 July 2020
 Available:http://www.ft.lk/columns/Can-microfinance-bail-out-the-poor-from-COVID-19-devastation/4-702200
- 20. Daily Ft. Lanka Microfinance Practitioners' Association calls for proper regulator; 2004.

- Accessed 7 August 2018 Available: http://www.ft.lk.
- 21. Ledgerwood Joanna. Microfinance Handbook; Sustainable Banking with the poor: An institutional and financial Perspective; World Bank, Washington D.C; 1999.
- 22. Addae-Korankye A. Microfinance: A tool for poverty reduction in developing countries. Journal of Business and Retail Management Research. 2012;7(1):138-149.
- 23. Cadtm.org. Microfinance has been a nightmare for the global south Sri Lanka; 2019. Accessed: 2 July 2020
 - Available:https://www.cadtm.org/Microfinance-has-been-a-nightmare-for-the-global-south-Sri-Lanka-shows-that
- 24. World Bank. At a Glance; 2019.
 - Accessed 1 August 2019
 - Available:https://www.worldbank.org/en/country/srilanka/overview.
- United Nations. Voluntary national review on the status of implementing the sustainable development goals, Government of the Democratic Social Republic of Sri Lanka. Accessed June 2018
 - https://sustainabledevelopment.un.org/content/documents/19677FINAL_SriLankaVNR_Report_30Jun2018.pdf
- Kaoma MK, Nkombo MG. Towards best practices for micro finance institutional engagement in African rural areas: Selected cases and agenda for action. International Journal of Social Economics. 2004;31(1/2):143-58.
- 27. Khan AM, Rahaman AM. Impact of Micro finance on living standards, empowerment and Poverty Alleviation of poor People: A Study on Microfinance in the Chittagong District of Bangladesh. India. Matovu D. Micro finance and poverty alleviation in Uganda; 2007.
- 28. Jarrow R, Protter P. Fair microfinance loan rates. International Review of Finance. 2019; 19(4):909-18.
- 29. Chandradasa AJ. Microfinance and shelter: An impact assessment of microfinance on improving housing conditions of rural Sri Lanka. Management. 2019;7(6):552-61.
- 30. Galloardo JS. Ranshawa BK, Sacay OJ. A commercial bank's microfinance programe: The case of Hattton National Bank in Sri Lanka. World Bank Discussion paper no. 369: 1997.
- 31. Herath HMWA, Guneratne LHP, Sanderatne N. Impact of microfinance on women's empowerment: a case study on two microfinance institutions in Sri Lanka. Sri Lanka Journal of Social Sciences. 2015;38(1):51-61.
- 32. Adu CA, Owualah IS, Babajide AA. Microfinance bank lending rate and repayment capability of borrowers in some selected microfinance banks in Oyo State, Nigeria. Transylvanian Review. 2020;1(10).
- Zerai B, Rani L. Is there a tradeoff between outreach and sustainability of micro finance institutions? Evidence from Indian microfinance institutions (MFIs). European Journal of Business and Management. 2012;4(2):90-98.
- 34. Meagher P. Microfinance in the COVID-19 crisis: A framework for regulatory responses; 2020.
- 35. Lanka Microfinance Practitioners. Launch of Code of Conduct for Microfinance Practitioners; 2018.
 - Accessed 3 July 2020
 - Available:http://www.microfinance.lk/events13.php
- 36. Mensah C, Raphael G, Dorcas O, Kwadwo BY. The relationship between loan default and repayment schedule in microfinance institutions in Ghana: A case study of Sinapi Aba Trust. Research Journal of Finance and Accounting. 2013;4(19):165-75.
- 37. Gatimu E. Assessing institutional factors contributing to loan defaulting in microfinance institutions in Kenya (Doctoral dissertation, Egerton University); 2015.
- 38. Sheila AL. Lending Methodologies and loan losses and default in a Microfinance deposit-taking institutions in Uganda. A case study of Finca Uganda Kabala Branch (MDI). Research report presented to Makerere University, Uganda; 2011.
- 39. Addae-Korankye A. Causes and control of loan default/delinquency in microfinance institutions in Ghana. American International Journal of Contemporary Research. 2014;4(12):36-45.
- 40. Balogun ED, Alimi A. Loan delinquency among small farmers in developing countries: A case study of the small-farmer credit programme in Lagos State of Nigeria. CBN Economic and financial Review. 1988;26(3):67-72.

- 41. Olomola A. The Nature and Determinants of Rural Loan Repayment Performance in Nigeria: The Case of FADU's Micro-credit Programme (No. 3). Nigerian Institute of Social and Economic Research (NISER); 2001.
- 42. Sangwan S, Nayak NC, Samanta D. Loan repayment behavior among the clients of Indian microfinance institutions: A household-level investigation. Journal of Human Behavior in the Social Environment. 2020;30(4):474-97.
- 43. Xu Y, Cheng W, Zhang L. Switching from group lending to individual lending: The experience at China's largest microfinance institution. Emerging Markets Finance and Trade. 2019;1-8.
- 44. Ajah EA, Ofem UI, Bassey AE. Mitigating loan default in Nigeria through joint liability approach: The case of beneficiaries of microfinance bank agricultural loan in Calabar metropolis, Cross River State, Nigeria. African Journal of Agricultural Research. 2020;16(5):686-90.
- 45. Kohansal MR, Mansoori H. Factors affecting on loan repayment performance of farmers in Khorasan-Razavi province of Iran. In Conference on International Research on Food Security, Natural Resource Management and Rural Development, University of Hamburg. 2009;1-4.
- 46. Waweru N, Kalani V. Commercial banking crises in Kenya: Causes and remedies; 2009.
- 47. Besley T, Coate S. Group lending, repayment incentives and social collateral. Journal of Development Economics. 1995;46(1):1-18.
- 48. Adbi A, Lee M, Singh J. Community-mediated exchange and collective loan defaults in microfinance: Evidence from Indian demonetization; 2020.
- 49. Kwakwa PO. Causes of nonperforming loans at Bosomtwe Rural Bank Limited in Ghana (Doctoral dissertation); 2009.
- Fofack HL. Nonperforming loans in Sub-Saharan Africa: causal analysis and macroeconomic implications. The World Bank; 2005.
- 51. Afolabi JA. Analysis of loan repayment among small scale farmers in Oyo State, Nigeria. Journal of Social Sciences. 2010;22(2):115-19.
- 52. Wongnaa CA, Awunyo-Vitor D. Factors affecting loan repayment performance among yam farmers in the Sene District, Ghana; 2013.
- 53. Okpugie G. High Microfinance Interest Rates Cause Loan Defaults in Nigeria. The Guardian, Nigeria; 2009.
- 54. Vandell KD. Handing over the keys: a perspective on mortgage default research. Real Estate Economics. 1993;21(3):211-46.
- 55. Hassan SM, Islam MM. The socio-economic impact of microfinance on the poor family: A study from Bangladesh. Journal of Asian and African Studies. 2019;54(1):3-19.

Annexure 01. Explanatory factor analysis for MFI

Item	Statement	Factor loading Component		-	% variance
label					
		1	2	-	
MFI2	MF Institute has approved adequate	0.851			
	advances /loans.			0.741	56.82
MFI4	I am satisfied with the procedures that	0.767			
	MF institute followed to approve the loan.			=	
MFI3	The loan was granted at the right time	0.761			
	I expected.			_	
MFI5	When I applied for the loan, MF institute	0.436			
	estimated my total debt.				
MFI6	MF institute suggested to me a simple		0.820	=	
	and easy instalment payment method.				
MFI7	MF institute arranged workshops, technical		0.697	=	
	instructions and financial knowledge, after				
	they granted me a loan.				
MFI1	The interest rate of MF Institute of my choice		0.648	-	
	is more attractive than that of other institutes.				

Annexure 02. Explanatory factor analysis for influence of family

Item label	Statement	Factor loading	Cronbach's alpha	% variance
F2	My family members help me to settle the loans.	0.808	0.665	50.31
F1	I think, my total family income is sufficient to settle future total debt.	0.751		
F4	My group members encourage me to pay the loan installments on the due date.	0.668		
F3	Members of the loan group support me to manage the credit.	0.590		

Annexure 03. Explanatory factor analysis for ECON

ltem label	Statement	Factor loading	Cronbach's alpha	% variance
ECON2	Depreciation of the rupee against the USD,	0.871	0.580	45.95
	have adversely affected the ability to repay my loan.		<u></u>	
ECON1	Inflation adversely affected my ability to repay my	0.753		
	loan.			
ECON4	Political instability of the country and village level,	0.668	_	
	adversely affected my ability to repay my loan.			
ECON3	Frequent weather changes and natural disasters	0.419		
	adversely affected my ability to repay my loan.			

Annexure 04. Summary of mean and SD for each of the statement in the questionnaire

Item label	Micro finance institutional factors	Mean	Std. Deviation
MFI1	The Interest rate of the MF institute of my choice is attractive than the other institute	2.92	1.271
MFI2	MF Institute has approved adequate advance/ loan	3.51	1.132
MFI3	The loan was granted at the right time I was expecting	3.55	1.055
MFI4	I am satisfied with the procedures that MF institute followed to approve the loan	3.23	1.193
MFI5	When I was applying the loan, MF institute estimated my total debt	3.57	.932
MFI6	MF institute suggested to me a simple and easy installment payment method	3.19	1.194
MFI7	MF institute arranged workshops, technical instructions and financial knowledge, after they granted me a loan	1.89	.987
	Average	3.12	1.109
F1	I think, my total family income is sufficient to settle future total debt.	3.55	1.177
F2	My family members help me to settle the loans.	3.70	1.161
F3	Members of the loan group support me to manage the credit.	2.92	1.122
F4	My group members encourage me to pay the loan installments on the due date.	4.05	.960
	Average	3.56	1.105
ECON1	Inflation is adversely affecting the ability to repay my loan.	3.96	1.157
ECON2	Depreciation of the rupee against the USD, have adversely affected to the ability of my loan repayment	3.11	.994
ECON3	Frequent weather changes and natural disasters adversely affect my ability to repay my loan.	4.02	.961
ECON4	Political instability of the country and village level, adversely affecting to the ability of my loan repayment	2.88	1.181
	Average	3.49	1.073

Biography of author(s)



Dr (Mrs) E. A. G. SumanasiriDepartment of Commerce, Faculty of Management Studies and Commerce, University of Sri Jayewardenepura, Sri Lanka.

She is a Senior Lecturer at the Department of Commerce, Faculty of Management Studies and Commerce, University of Sri Jayewardenepura, Sri Lanka. Her research focuses on corporate sustainability, value based leadership, international business and diverse issues surrounding sustainable economic development. She received her Ph.D. from the Swinburne University of Technology, Melbourne, Australia in 2016. Her PhD thesis title was 'An examination of business leadership in Sri Lanka: Cultural modelling of sustainability leadership". Her master's dissertation aims to explore international business challenges in the South Asian regional market. She has published her research work in both peer-reviewed international journals and conference proceedings. She has over 15 years of teaching experience both at local and international universities teaching development economics, international investment, entrepreneurship, organisational behaviour, managerial economics and project management. Her education and credentials are as fllows MBA, University of Colombo, Sri Lanka, 2009. B.Com (Special – International Trade), Department of Commerce, University of Sri Jayewardenepura, Sri Lanka, 2004. CIMA Passed Finalist 2009.



Mr. D. T. Priyankara

Department of Commerce, Faculty of Management Studies and Commerce, University of Sri Jayewardenepura, Sri Lanka.

He completed his B.Com (Special) Degree with a Second Class Honours from University of Sri Jayewardenepura, Faculty of Management Studies and Commerce, Sri Lanka in 2018. At present he is working as a research assistant at a leading research and consultancy firm. His research interest is on examining diverse issues challenging economic development of developing countries. His researches are published at international peer reviewed journals.

© Copyright (2020): Author(s). The licensee is the publisher (Book Publisher International).

This chapter is an extended version of the article published by the same author(s) in the following journal. South Asian Journal of Social Studies and Economics, 4(3): 1-13, 2019.

Reviewers' Information

- (1) H. L. Garbharran, South Africa.
 (2) George Owusu-Antwi, Central State University, USA.
- (3) R. Shenbagavalli, India.