CHANGING TRENDS IN AGRICULTURAL LAND USE IN THE MONERAGALA DISTRICT OF SRI LANKA IMPLICATIONS FOR POVERTY

ALLEVIATION

BY WIJESINGHE JAYAWEERA MUDIYANSELAGE DAYARATNE

Ph. D. 2014

CHANGING TRENDS IN AGRICULTURAL LAND USE IN THE MONERAGALA DISTRICT OF SRI LANKA : IMPLICATIONS FOR POVERTY ALLEVIATION

BY WIJESINGHE JAYAWEERA MUDIYANSELAGE DAYARATNE

THESIS SUBMITTED TO THE UNIVERSITY OF

SRI JAYEWARDENEPURA FOR THE AWARD OF THE

DEGREE OF DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY IN GEOGRAPHY

ON

22-06-2015

DECLARATION BY THE CANDIDATE

The work described in this thesis was carried out by me under the supervision of Emeritus Professor M. M. Karunanayake and Associate Professor T.M.S.P.K. Thennakoon, and a report on this has not been submitted in whole or in part to any other institution for another Degree/Diploma.

W.J.M. Dayaratne

Date

DECLARATION BY THE SUPERVISORS

We	certify	that	the	Candidate	has	incorporated	all	corrections,	and	addisions
reco	recommended by the examiners.									
Em	eritus Pro	ofesso	r M.	M. Karunan	ayak	e,				
Uni	University of Sri Jayewardenepura					Date				
Dr.	T.M.S.P	.K. Tł	nenna	lkoon,						
Ass	Associate Professor,									
	Department of Geography,									
-				•						
Uni	versity o	f Sri J	ayew	ardenepura				Date		

Dedicated

to

My Wife Nilu

&

Son Kanchuka

TABLE OF CONTENTS

DEDICATION	I
TABLE OF CONTENTS	II
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	\mathbf{V}
ABSTRACT	VII
LIST OF TABLES	XI
LIST OF FIGURES	XIV
LIST OF MAPS	.XV
PHOTOGRAPS AND SATTELIE IMAGES	XVI
APPENDICES	XVII
ABBREVIATIONS & ACRONYMS	XVII
CHAPTER ONE: INTRODUCTION	1 – 39
1.1 Background	1
1.2 The Research Problem	9
1.3 Significance of the Study	12
1.4 Objectives of the Study	22
1.5Research Methodology	22
CHAPTER TWO: LAND USE AND POVERTY: CONCEPTS AND	
DEFINITIONS	40 – 115
2.1 Background	40
2.2 Definitions and Concepts of Land Use	41
2.3 Definitions and Concepts of Poverty	73

CHAPYER THREE: PHYSICAL AND SOCIO-ECONOMIC	
BACKGROUND OFTHE STUDY AREAS	116- 159
3.1 Physical Background	116
3.2 Socio-economic Background	150
CHAPTER FOUR: TRENDS IN AGRICULTURAL LAND USE IN	
MONERAGALA DISTRICT (1956-2012)	160-205
4.1 Background	160
4.2 The Major Agricultural Land Use Changes and Trends in the	
Study Area	162
4.3 Existing Farming Systems in the Study Area	175
4.4 Existing Agricultural Land Utilization Types in the	
Study area	176
4.5 Changing Agricultural Land Use and Cropping Pattern in the	
Study Area	181
CHAPTER FIVE: RELATIONS BETWEEN POVERTY AND	
EXISTING AGRICULTURAL LAND UTILIZATION TYPES IN	206 -249
THE STUDY AREA	200 249
5.1 Background	206
5.2 Poverty Situation in the Moneragala District	207
5.3 Influencing Factors of Agricultural Land Use Changes in the	
Study area	221

5.4 Relationship between Poverty and Existing Agricultural	231
LUTs in the Study Area	
5.5 Impact of Agricultural Land Use Changes on Poverty	239
Alleviation	
CHAPTER SIX: CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	247-254
6.1 Conclusions	247
6.2 Recommendations	252
REFERENCES	262-279
ADDENDICES	280 200

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I wish to record my great appreciation of the support extended to me by many individuals and organizations in the process of producing this dissertation.

First, I would like to express my gratitude to Emeritus Professor M. M. Karunanayake, former Chair of Geography, University of Sri Jayewardenepura my external supervisor, whose guidance, and support encouraged me to produce this thesis. I am also deeply indebted to my internal supervisor Professor T.M.S.P.K. Thennakoon Professor of Geography, University of Sri Jayewardenepura necessary to include for her valuable advice; guidance and encouragement in the accomplishment of this task despite her other academic commitments.

I record with great appreciation the advice, suggestions and encouragement provided by Professor M.D.C. Abhayratna former Associate Professor of Geography University of Sri Jayewardenepura examiner of the research seminar and Professor C.K.M. Deheragoda and Professor G.M. Bandaranayake, Department of Geography University of Sri Jayewardenepura who were the members of the Higher Degree committee from whose, expertise greatly benefited.

I am grateful to the Director General, and Mr. Kirupa Moorthi, Assistant Director Land Use Planning Department for the administrative and other assistance given to me during the entire period of the study.

My special thanks go to Mr. J. Jayasinghe, former Director of LUPPD for his encouragement and invaluable guidance and also Mr. W.M. Danapala, Senior Lecturer, Department of Sociology, University of Sri Jayewardenepura, Mr. J.J. Pitagampala and

Mr. Sisira Hapuarchchi, Assistant Directors, Land Use Planning Officer Mrs. Sureka (Head Office) and Mr. W.H.M.W. Aiyarathna for the encouragement and the support given at the final phase of my dissertation writing.

I wish to acknowledge staff of Land Use Planning Department, Moneragala specially Mr. Ratnayeke, Samantha and Mrs. Shasika and Sampath for their assistance in organizing the field work. The people of project sites and their leaders Participated enthusiastically in the field research. I record my sincere gratitude to all of them for their support.

Finally, I wish to acknowledge with gratitude the deep understanding and encouragement of my Amma, Appachchi and in particular, my wife Nilu and my son Kavindu Kanchuka who have always wished me the best in my academic endeavors.

ABSTRACT

CHANGING TRENDS IN AGRICULTURAL LAND USE IN THE MONERAGALA DISTRICT OF SRI LANKA

: IMPLICATIONS FOR POVERTY ALLEVIATION

BY: WIJESINGHE JAYAWEERA MUDIYANSELAGE DAYARATNE

A recent study by the Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO) of the United Nations and World Soil Information (2012) revealed that approximately 1.5 billion people of the world depend on the land. Hence, improving agricultural land use practices is a key requirement for improving rural income and making a significant reduction in poverty levels. Over 70% of the world's poor are living in rural areas, with agricultural land use as a major source of income. Improvement of the productivity of land use systems is essential for increasing income and food security of the rural poor (Leslie and Cavatassi, 2003).

Sri Lanka is an agricultural country and likely to remain so at least for the next two to three decades. Agricultural sector is the third dominant earning sector (17%) of the economy in Sri Lanka despite recent contributions by manufactured goods and migrant labour (Central Bank, 2007). It also provides the main source of income for approximately 46% of the active labour force and utilizes nearly 45.0% of the total land area of the country.

However, nearly 85% of the poor families in the country still live in rural areas, where 80.1 % of the rural population is depending on agriculture for their livelihood (Sri Lanka Human Development Report, 2012). Poverty is particularly a rural phenomenon and correlates directly with agricultural land uses.

The impact of land use changes on poverty could be looked from two aspects. 1) Land use changes induced poverty (both positive and negative) 2) Poverty induced land use changes (both positive and negative). However the study mainly focused land use changes induced poverty (both positive and negative).

The poor farmers can only participate in economic growth if they have access to the means and ways of raising their economic productivity of their agricultural lands. Thereby improving their income and the command they have over those things that determine their standard of consumption and conditions of living. Hence, how to improve the productivity of lands of poor households are the most urgent issues for policy planner's responsible for poverty alleviation programmes implementing agricultural areas in the country. Therefore, understanding the linkages between agricultural land use changes and poverty is essential for designing policies which ultimately help to reduce rural poverty through the adaptation of sustainable land management practices in the country.

The study mainly focused on agricultural district of Moneragala, where 85% of the population is rural and 80% of the rural population is engaged in agriculture, to assess the impact of the agricultural land use changes on poverty alleviation during last fifty-years. During this period there had been significant changes in agricultural land use as well as in poverty alleviation.

Agricultural land use changes in last 50 years were assessed using Geographical Information System (GIS), based on land use maps / satellite images from 1956 to 2012. Household and Plot level data were collected through field investigations to track the

land utilization types in the area with particular attention on the implications for poverty alleviation.

Overall results show strong linkages between poverty alleviation and agricultural land use changes in the study area. Some changes show a negative association with poverty alleviation while some changes showed positive effects for poverty alleviation. Hence, the study has identified and recommended specific indicators which could also be applied for measuring poverty in impoverished agricultural regions in the country and recommended a few Land Utilization Types (LUTs) suitablefor poverty alleviation and sustainable development in the study area.

The study revealed that selected LUTs such as Rubber smallholder with intercrop, private owned family labour, Export agricultural crops (pepper, cinnamon), private owned, smallholder family labour, in the IM zone and Banana, smallholder, private owned, single crop semi mechanized with family labour and Vegetable mixed crops, private owned, smallholder, family labour in the DL zone have generated better income for farm households and these lands have been transformed from marginal LUTs to sustainable, environmental friendly and profitable land utilization types. A change into a land use type which facilitates agro-based industries would undoubtedly generate higher income and create more employment opportunities in the Moneragala district. The study also observed that some land use types such as Paddy rain-fed (only maha season), private owned, uplands, oxen+family labour, Chena mixed crops, encroached, family labour, Seasonal crops, family labour (slopping lands) and Chena mono-crops (pumpkin, maize), encroached, semi mechanized that are not physically, socially, economically and environmentally not sustainable, should be eliminated or changed for a suitable LUT.

Based on the findings the study, recommended new outlook in local, economic and social development practices to formulate policies, strategies and mechanisms in the farmer participatory development process for poverty alleviation through relevant institutions which are directly and indirectly involve with land management practices for poverty alleviation. Throughaholistic approach which involves all these institutions, proper agricultural land use types for farmers for poverty alleviation in the study area could be introduced.

The study also recommends that indicators for measuring poverty especially in agricultural areas in the country should be reclassified with the area specific indicators. i.e. main LUT practiced by the settlers, agricultural potential in the area, landlessness or near landlessness, water for agriculture, and basic needs such as safe drinking water, electricity, and housing conditions, health nutrition.

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1.1	Research Sites and Total Number of Selected Samples	26
Table 1.2	Data Collection and Analytical Methodology	39
Table 2.1	Agricultural Land Use Categories at Level I, II and III	47
Table 2.2	Land Use Classification of FAO	49
Table 2.3	Agricultural Land use Classification of LUPPD, Sri Lanka	50
Table 2.4	Major Determinants of LUTs	52
Table 2.5	District and Main Crops Cultivated by Agro-climatic Zones	62
Table 2.6	Propotion of Land Area Contributed by Each Land Use	
	Category in Sri Lanka	63
Table 2.7	Land Ownership in Sri Lanka	66
Table 2.8	State Lands Alleianation programme (1935 – 2006)	67
Table 2.9	Indicators for the Measurement of Poverty	85
Table 2.10	Official Povrty Line in Global Level (1990 – 2008)	89
Table 2.11	Official Poverty line in Sri Lanka (1990 – 2010)	91
Table 2.12	Share of GDP by Province (1995 – 2011)	98
Table 2.13	Poverty in Sri Lanka by Sector (1985 – 2010)	100
Table 2.14	Gross Domestic Production in the Country $1970-2010~(\%)$.	111
Table 2.15	Selected Poverty Alleviation Programmes in the	
	Public Sector in Sri Lanka from 1948	112
Table 3.1	Agro-ecological Regions of Moneragala District	
	and the Area Coverage	123

Table 3.2	Length of Rainy Seasons (No of Rainy Days) (in Pentades) for	129
	selected Stations in the Study Area for the period of 23 years	
Table 3.3	Land Form and Slopes Category in the Study Area	131
Table 3.4	Main Soil Groups in the Study Area and the Area Coverage	132
Table 3.5	Land Use Types of Moneragala District 2012	140
Table 3.6	Population by D.S Divisions of Moneragala District	
	1981 – 2012	152
Table 3.7	Housing Conditions of the Study Area 2011	153
Table 3.8	Roads network in the Moneragala District	154
Table 3.9	Hierarchy of Town Centers in the Moneragala District	155
Table 4.1	Different Categories of Lands in the Moneragala Disrict 2012	160
Table 4.2	Land Use Types in the Moneragala District	
	1956, 1984, 2007, 2012	164
Table 4.3	Loss and Gain Analysis Showing the Major Land Use	
	Changes in Moneragala district (1956 – 2012)	167
Table 4.4	Area under Agricultural Holdings	168
Table 4.5	Agricultural Lands Acoording to Census of Agriculture	169
Table 4.6	Major Agricultural Land Use changes in the D. S. divisions of	
	MoneragalaDistrict During Recent Five Years	170
Table 4.7	Comparision of Three Types of Land use systems and	
	Their Characters in the Moneragala District	173
Table 4.8	Existing Farming Systems in the Study Area	174
Table 4.9	The Factors Affecting the Existing Farming Systems	
	in the Study Area	175

Table 4.10	Attributes of Existing Agricultural Land Utilizations	177
	in the Study Area	
Table 4.11	Exixting main LUTs in the Moneragala district 2012	179
Table 4.12	Forest Buffer Zone Enrich with Rubber in theMoneragala	
	District 2009 -2012	191
Table 4.13	Extent of lands under sugarcane converted into export	
	agricultural crops inlast five years in the study area	192
Table 4.14	Suitability Assessment of Selected LUTs mainly for Poverty	
	Alleviation	203
Table 5.1	Change in Land/Man Ratio in the Moneragala District	
	1963 – 2012	206
Table 5.2	Landless Families and the Poverty level of selected D.S.D	
	in the Moneragala district	207
Table 5.3	Samurdhi Recipient in Moneragala Distrcit 2012	209
Table 5.4	Divisional Poverty in Moneragala District	
	2005 – 2006/07, 2010	213
Table 5.5	Ranking Level of Poverty and the Number of GNDs in	
	MoneragalaDistrict 2008	214
Table 5.6	Selected MDG Poverty Indicators in D.S.D. in the	
	Moneragala district	217
Table 5.7	Relationship between Agro-climatic Zones and Poverty	219
Table 5.8	State Lands Allienation Programme in the Moneragala district	
	(1035 2012)	222

Table 5.9	Distribution of Sample Sites by Population, Total Families,	234
	Age structure, Family size and Dependancy Ratio (2010)	
Table 5.10	Availability of Basic Needs of the Sample Sites (2010)	235
Table 5.11	Agricultural Potential and Poverty Status of the Sample Sites	236
LIST OF F	IGURES	
Figure 1.1	Poverty Induced Land Use Changes	10
Figure 1.2	Land Use Changes Induced Poverty	11
Figure 1.3	Research Steps	23
Figure 1.4	Key Informants in the Selected Sites	30
Figure 1.5	Steps of Land Use Updating Programme	31
Figure 2.1	Decision in making in Agricultural Land Use Systems in	
	Different Levels.	53
Figure 2.2	Population and Land /Man Ratio in Sri Lanka 1830 -2008	102
Figure 3.1	Spirogrammes showing Wet and Dry Pentades for the period	
	of 1972 1987	130
Figure 3.2	Land Use Types of Moneragala District 2012	141
Figure 3.3	Formation of Townships of the Study Area	156-159
Figure 4.1	Conceptual Diagrams of Agricultural Land Use Changes and	
	Trendsin LUTs in the Moneragala District	172
Figure 4.2	Crop cultivation patterns in the Low Country Dry Zone area	186
Figure 5.1	Relationship between Landlessness and Poverty	208
Figure 5.2	Relationship between Poverty and Agricultural LUTs	238

LIST OF MAPS

Map 1.1	Location Map of the Study Area	24
Map 1.2	Research Sites	27
Map 3.1	Inset Map of the Study Area	117
Map 3.2	Topographical Map of the Study Area	118
Map 3.3	Agro-ecological Zones of the Moneragala District	125
Map 3.4	Soil Map of the Study Area	134
Map 3.5	Google Land Cover Map of Study Area 2012	139
Map 3.6	Land Use Types of Moneragala District 2012	142
Map 4.1	Protected Areas Demarcated in the Moneragala District 2012	161
Map 4.2	Land Use maps of Moneragala District 1956, 1981-84, 2007,	
	and 2012	165
Map 4.3	Agricultural Land Use Changes in the Moneragala District, 1956 – 2012.	166
Map 4.4	Areas of major Agricultural Land Use Changes occurred in the Study Area	183
Map 5.1	Poverty Ranking by GN Divisions in the Moneragala District 2008	215
Map 5.2	Poverty Ranking By DS Divisions in the Moneragala District,	
	2005, 2006/2007 and 2010	218
Map 5.3	Relationship of Agro-climatic Zones and the Poverty in the	
	Moneragala District	220

PHOTOGRAPS AND SATTELITE IMAGES

Photograph 4.1	Paddy lands converted into Banana cultivation in	
	Sevanagala	185
Photograph 4.2	Well established Rubber lands in the Badalkumbura	
	area	187
Photograph 4.3	Newly Established Rubber Cultivation in the	
	Homegarden	189
Photograph 4.4	Conversion of Estate managed marginal Tea lands	
	into RubberBadalkumbura	190
Photograph 4.5	Cultivation of Rubber in the Forest Buffer Zone areas	190
Photograph 4.6	Existing Sugarcane Cultivation in Siyambalanduwa	195
Photograph 4.7	Land Preparation for Chena Cultivation in	
	Siyambalanduwa	198
Photograph 4.8	Chena Cultivation with Mono-crop in Kotiyagala	
	Area	198
Photograph 4.9	Maize Cultivation in Siyambalanduwa	200
Photograph- 4.10		202
i notograpii ii io	Vegetable Cultivation in Kirindi Oya Rever Bank in	202
	WellawayaDS area	
Sattelite Image 4.1	Conversion of Paddy Lands into Banana Cultivation	187
	in Kiriibbanara area in Sevanagala	

Satellite Image 4.2	Conversion of Sugarcane into Rubber Plantation	191
	in Karandagama	
Satellite Image 4.3	Newly established chena lands in Kotiyagala area in	
	the Siyambalanduwa D.S division	199
APPENDICES		
Appendix 1.1 Pove	erty Head Count Index by District 1990 – 2015	281
Appendix 1.2 Incid	dence of Poverty by District 1990 – 2015	282
Appendix 1.3 Que	stionnare Used in the Sample Field Survey	283
Appendix 2.1 Reco	ent Land Use and Land Cover Classifications	
	In the World	287
Appendix 4.1 Land	d Use Requirements for Selected Crops	288
Appendix 4.2 Eco	nomic Analysis of Selected LUTs	293
Appendix 6.1 Suit	able Crops for ASC Areasin the Moneragala District	307
Appendix AA Scie	ntific Names of Selected Crops	309
ABBREVIATION	& ACRONYMS	
ABGEP	Area Based Growth Equity Programme	
APZs	Agricultural Promotion Zones	
CAP	Change Agent Programme	

Cost of Basic Needs

Community Based Organizations

CBN

CBOs

CDD Community Driven Development

CMR Colombo Metropolitan Region

DCS Department of Census and Statistics

DLSPP Dry Zone Livelihood Support Project

DSD Divisional Secretary's Divisions

FAO Food and Agriculture Organization

FORLUMP Forest and Land Use Mapping Project

FOs Farmer Organizations

GND GramaNiladari Division

HDI Human Development Index

HPI Human Poverty Index

ILO International Labour Organization

IPS Institute of Policy Studies

IRDP Integrated Rural Development Programme

LDO Land Development Ordinance

LGPRO Local Governance Programme

LUPPD Land Use Policy Planning Department

LUT Land Utilization Type

MDG Millennium Development Goals

MFP Ministry of Finance and Planning

MICDP Moneragala Irrigation and Community Development Project

MONDEP Moneragala Development Project

MPPI Ministry of Policy Planning and Implementation

NGOs Non Governmental Organizations

NPPD National Physical Planning Department

OPL Official Poverty Line

OSF Other State Forest

PAMP Poverty Alleviation and Micro Finance Project

PIDA Participatory Institute for Development Administration

RDD Regional Development Division

REAP Regional Economic Advancement Project

SCDLIP Second Community Development Livelihood Improvement Project

SDA Southern Area Development Authority

UBNI Unsatisfied Basic Need Index

USGS United State Geological Survey