

**DEVELOPMENT OF LANDSLIDE  
DISASTER RISK INDEX (DRI) BASED  
ON GEOSPATIAL ANALYSES: A  
CASE STUDY FROM KEGALLE  
DISTRICT, SRI LANKA**

**Elibichchiralalage Nimala Chinthake Perera**

**Ph.D.**

**2019**

DEVELOPMENT OF LANDSLIDE DISASTER RISK INDEX  
(DRI) BASED ON GEOSPATIAL ANALYSES:  
A CASE STUDY FROM KEGALLE DISTRICT, SRI LANKA

By

Elibichchiralalage Nimala Chinthake Perera

Thesis submitted to the University of Sri Jayewardenepura award  
of the Degree of Doctor of Philosophy on 2019

## **DECLARATION OF THE CANDIDATE**

I do hereby declare that the work reported in this thesis was exclusively carried out by me under the supervision of Dr DT Jayawardana, Department of Forestry and Environmental Science, University of Sri Jayewardenepura, Dr Pathmakumara Jayasingha, Senior Scientist Landslide Research and Risk Management Division, National Building Research Organization (NBRO) of Sri Lanka and Mr RMS Bandara, Director, Landslide Research and Risk Management Division, National Building Research Organization of Sri Lanka. It describes the results of my own independent research except where due reference has been made in the text. No part of this project thesis has been submitted earlier or concurrently for the same or any other degree.

ENC Perera  
Registration No: 1951MS2016003

.....

Date

.....

Signature

## DECLARATION OF THE SUPERVISORS

This is to certify that this thesis is based on the work carried by Mr Elibichchiralalage Nimala Chinthake Perera under our supervision. The thesis has been prepared according to the format stipulated and is of an acceptable standard.

Certified by

Supervisor

Dr DT Jayawardana  
Department of Forestry and Environmental Science  
University of Sri Jayawardhanepura

.....

Date

.....

Signature

Co-Supervisor

Dr Pathmakumara Jayasingha,  
Senior scientist  
Landslide Research and Risk Management Division  
National Building Research Organization (NBRO)  
Sri Lanka

.....

Date

.....

Signature

Co-Supervisor

Mr RMS Bandara  
Director  
Landslide Research and Risk Management Division  
National Building Research Organization (NBRO)  
Sri Lanka

.....

Date

.....

Signature

# TABLE OF CONTENT

	<b>Page</b>
List of tables.....	vi
List of figures .....	vii
List of abbreviations.....	ix
CHAPTER I.....	1
GENERAL INTRODUCTION .....	1
1.1. Introduction to Landslide .....	1
1.2. Landslide History in Sri Lanka .....	1
1.3. Recent trends in Landslides of Sri Lanka .....	3
1.4. Government and Public Intervention .....	4
1.5. The Knowledge Gap in Landslide Disasters .....	6
1.6. Spatial Variability of Landslides in Sri Lanka .....	6
1.7. Landslide-prone Areas in Sri Lanka .....	7
1.8. Living with Landslide Risk .....	10
1.9. Impact and Common Mitigation of Landslides .....	10
1.10. Research Problem Statement and Justification .....	11
1.11. Objectives of the Study .....	13
CHAPTER II.....	15
LITERATURE REVIEW.....	15
2.1. Landslides on the Earth System .....	15
2.2. Landslide Mechanism and Causative (conditioning) Factors .....	18
2.2.1 Mechanism of rainfall-triggered landslides .....	19
2.2.2 Influence of lithological conditions to landslides .....	20
2.2.3 Influence of anthropogenic activities to landslides .....	20
2.3. Characteristics of Landslides .....	21
2.4. Landslide Hazard, Vulnerability, Exposure and Risk .....	22
2.5. Possible Community at Risk for Landslides .....	25

2.6. Geospatial Approaches to Assess Hazard, Exposure, Vulnerability and Coping Capacity for Quantifying Risk .....	25
2.7. Landslide Hazard Assessment.....	26
2.8. Landslide Vulnerability Assessment .....	28
2.9. Exposure Assessment .....	29
2.10. Assessment of Coping Capacity.....	30
2.11. Risk Assessment.....	31
2.12. Role of Landslide Disaster Risk Index (DRI) .....	33
CHAPTER III.....	35
MATERIALS AND METHODS.....	35
3.1. General Introduction .....	35
3.2. Selection of Study Area .....	37
3.3. Physical Setting.....	38
3.4. The Relief and the Drainage .....	40
3.5. Ground Water.....	42
3.6. Climatic Variables of the District .....	42
3.6.1 Temperature .....	43
3.6.2 Rainfall.....	43
3.7. Geological Setting.....	44
3.8. The Main Soil Groups in Kegalle .....	44
3.9. Land Cover and Land-use .....	45
3.10. Anthropogenic Activities Causes Increase in Slope Instability .....	46
3.11. Demographic Profile and Socioeconomic Background .....	46
3.12. Socio-economic Background of the Kegalle District.....	47
3.13. Landslide Distribution in Kegalle District .....	47
3.14. Fieldwork: Planning, Executing, Monitoring and Completing.....	48
3.14.1 Fieldwork planning through expert opinions and preliminary field excursion.....	49
3.14.2 Reconnaissance/exploratory survey .....	52
3.14.3 In-depth survey: Questionnaire survey for vulnerability assessment .....	54
3.14.4 Questionnaire design for vulnerability assessment .....	55
3.14.5 Population, sample, and determination of sample size .....	56
3.14.6 Recording positional values (Location) of surveyed elements (units).....	57
3.14.7 Prepared to collect primary data .....	57
3.14.8 Implement the questionnaire survey .....	58

3.14.9 Questionnaire data analysis.....	58
3.14.10 Phase III: In-depth survey – Accuracy assessment and validation .....	59
3.15.Land cover/use Change Impacts on Landslides.....	59
3.15.1 Data preparation.....	61
3.15.2 Remote sensing image preprocessing .....	62
3.15.3 Land cover/use classification.....	64
3.15.4 Accuracy assessment of Land cover/ use classification.....	67
3.15.5 Land cover/ use change detection .....	68
3.16.The Amount of Rainfall Needed to Trigger Landslides.....	68
3.16.1 Introduction to rainfall Intensity-Duration (I-D) threshold analysis.....	70
3.16.2 Collection of landslide and rainfall records .....	71
3.16.3 Landslide database .....	71
3.16.4 Estimate the causative amount of rainfall .....	76
3.16.5 Threshold Analyses.....	79
3.17.Landslide Hazard Assessment and Hazard Zonation Mapping .....	80
3.17.1 Identification and Development of Spatial Database for Landslide Causative Factors.....	81
3.17.2 Model the level of the relative influence of causative factors .....	100
3.17.3 Computation of weights for landslide causative factors .....	103
3.17.4 Computation of Landslide hazard potential .....	106
3.17.5 Landslide Hazard Zonation, LHZ mapping .....	107
3.18.Vulnerability Assessment.....	107
3.18.1 Vulnerability Indicator Selection.....	109
3.18.2 Combine social and physical vulnerability to landslides .....	110
3.18.3 Primary and secondary data for vulnerability assessment .....	112
3.18.4 Preprocessing the collected data .....	112
3.18.5 Preprocessing the collected data .....	115
3.18.6 Formulation of a vulnerability model using Entropy Method .....	117
3.18.7 Spatial distribution of vulnerability from survey data .....	120
3.19.Population Exposure for Landslide.....	122
3.19.1 Development of Geodatabase for input criteria maps.....	127
3.19.2 Evaluate the level of contribution (Weights) of each factor .....	128
3.19.3 Spatial distribution of exposure .....	128
3.20.Landslide Risk Assessment.....	129
3.20.1 Development of Landslide Disaster Risk Index (DRI) .....	129
3.20.2 Spatial Distribution of Risk.....	131

CHAPTER IV .....	133
RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS .....	133
4.1. Introduction: Summary of Findings .....	133
4.2. Reconnaissance/exploratory survey: Overview of Aranayake Samasara Kanda Landslide.....	135
4.2.1 Impact of a landslide on rural socio-economy .....	141
4.3. In-depth Survey: General Information on the Sample .....	142
4.3.1 Descriptive statistics of vulnerability indicators and variables.....	143
4.4. Analysis of Land cover/ use Change.....	148
4.4.1 Plantation.....	150
4.4.2 Urbanization.....	151
4.4.3 Association between land cover/ use changes and the occurrence of landslides .....	151
4.5. Model the Rainfall Intensity-Duration (I-D) Threshold for landslides.....	155
4.5.1 Comparing I–D threshold of Kegalle with Badulla District I-D threshold .....	159
4.5.2 Comparing I–D threshold of Kegalle District with another part of the world.....	161
4.5.3 Future possibility of rainfall triggered landslides .....	162
4.6. Landslide Hazard Zonation Model Derived from AHP Approaches.....	163
4.6.1 Validation of LHZ Map using past landslides .....	164
4.6.2 Spatial distribution of the hazardous landslide level.....	164
4.7. Landslide Vulnerability Modeling Through Entropy Method .....	172
4.7.1 Application of entropy method to weight assign .....	172
4.7.2 Spatial distribution of vulnerability .....	173
4.7.3 Vulnerability gradation analyses.....	177
4.8. Exposure to Landslides .....	181
4.9. Landslide Disaster Risk Index .....	183
4.9.1 Correlation Analysis.....	183
4.9.2 Regression model.....	184
4.9.3 Spatial Distribution of Risk.....	187
CHAPTER V.....	192
CONCLUSIONS.....	192
5.1. Conclusion.....	192
5.2. Recommendations.....	196



5.3. Recommended to Estimate landslide Disaster Risk Index (DRI) .....	197
REFERENCES.....	198
APPENDIXS.....	229
Appendix 1: Basic Landslide Information Recording Form	
Appendix 2: Vulnerability to Landslide Survey Questionnaire	
Appendix 3: AHP Matrix Template for Pairwise Comparison on Landslide Causative Factors	
Appendix 4: Summery of Vulnerability to Landslide Survey Questionnaire	
Appendix 5: Publications	

## LIST OF TABLES

<b>Table</b>	<b>Page</b>
Table 2.1: Summary of landslide classification based on Varnes (1978) .....	21
Table 3.1: Monthly Average Temperature and Precipitation.....	43
Table 3.2: Metadata on remote sensing data .....	62
Table 3.3: The Error matrix for accuracy assessment of the satellite image classification.....	68
Table 3.4: Date of occurrences of selected landslides, their locations and corresponding rainfall duration in hours .....	72
Table 3.5: List of data sources used for Landslide Hazard Zonation.....	82
Table 3.6: The Landslide causative factors, their sub-factors (Classes) and relative ranks .....	98
Table 3.7: the 9-point intensity of relative importance scale proposed by T.L. Saaty, 2008 101	
Table 3.8: Pairwise comparison matrix.....	102
Table 3.9: Normalized pairwise comparison matrix.....	104
Table 3.10: Weighting of the given criteria .....	104
Table 3.11: Random inconsistency indices (ri) for n = 10.....	106
Table 3.12: Level of measurements of the selected variables.....	111
Table 3.13: Description of the indicators, variables were chosen to create vulnerability and The Classification of variables with the level of influence comprising the Geodatabase .....	114
Table 3.14: Factors contribute quantify the level of exposure to landslides.....	127
Table 3.15: Pairwise comparison matrix.....	128
Table 3.16 Assessed weights for factors .....	128
Table 4.1: Descriptive statistics of the vulnerability indicators/variables .....	143
Table 4.2: Summary of the relationship of landslide effects on elements/units to Socio-economic indicators .....	147
Table 4.3: Conversion of Thick vegetation to Skinny vegetation.....	148
Table 4.4: Spatiotemporal variability in Skinny vegetation in DS Divisions in Kegalle District .....	154

Table 4.5: Date of occurrences and rainfall characteristics of 96 rainfalls that trigger the landslides in Kegalle District .....	155
Table 4.6: Comparison of information obtained from the LHZ for different divisions	167
Table 4.7: The Estimated weight of the vulnerability index .....	172
Table 4.8: Comparison of information obtained from the spatial distribution of vulnerability at DS division level.....	177
Table 4.9: Correlation Matrix for Landslide Risk Model .....	184
Table 4.10: Model Summary.....	185
Table 4.11: Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) for multiple regression model .....	185
Table 4.12: Coefficients of the multiple regression model .....	186
Table 4.13: DRI value range, its description and area under different risk level .....	189

## LIST OF FIGURES

<b>Figure</b>	<b>Page</b>
Figure 1.1: Distribution of Landslide prone areas in Sri Lanka, Published by NBRO, 2016 .....	9
Figure 2.1: Conceptual Model of Risk (Clague and Roberts, 2012).....	24
Figure 3.1: Physical setting of the Kegalle District .....	39
Figure 3.2: Relief, drainage and spatial distribution of landslide within Kegalle District .....	41
Figure 3.3: Figure showing land-use of Landslide affected GN divisions; Debathgama, Pallegama, and Elangapitiya. Land-use map is based on 1:50000 maps (Perera et al., 2018b) .....	51
Figure 3.4: land cover/use classified map for 2018 derived from Sentinel-1 data .....	66
Figure 3.5: Rain gauge Station and Standard threshold limits for rainfall, specified by NBRO Sri Lanka .....	69
Figure 3.6: Distribution of selected landslide and rain gauge stations .....	77
Figure 3.7: Spatial distribution of Landslide causative factor: Slope .....	85
Figure 3.8: Spatial distribution of Landslide causal factor: Aspect.....	87
Figure 3.9: Spatial distribution of Landslide causal factor: Soil.....	88
Figure 3.10: Spatial distribution of Landslide causal factor: Lithology .....	90
Figure 3.11: Spatial distribution of Landslide causal factor: Rainfall .....	91
Figure 3.12: Spatial distribution of Landslide causal factor: Land-use .....	93
Figure 3.13: Spatial distribution of Landslide causal factor: Road Network and buffers .....	94
Figure 3.14: Spatial distribution of Landslide causal factor: Stream Network.....	96
Figure 3.15: Spatial distribution of Landslide causal factor: Land use/cover change from 1988 to 2017.....	97
Figure 3.16: Conceptual framework used for vulnerability model .....	110
Figure 3.17: Gramaseva Niladari (GN) Division wise population Density .....	124
Figure 3.18: Building Density.....	125
Figure 3.19: Distance from landslide locations.....	126

Figure 4.1: Escarpment of the landslide, evidence for human intervention in the escarpment and above it and complex translational path of the debris flow .....	139
Figure 4.2: Landslide boundary superimposed onto land use of the region, distribution of different land use classes in affected area.....	140
Figure 4.3: Descriptive statistics of vulnerability variables used in the model .....	145
Figure 4.4: Conversion of Thick vegetation to Skinny vegetation from year 1988 to 2017 .....	149
Figure 4.5: Association between of land cover/ use changes and the occurrence of landslides .....	153
Figure 4.6: Discrimination plot of critical rainfall intensity-duration for Kegalle District .....	158
Figure 4.7: Discrimination plot of critical rainfall intensity-duration for Badulla District .....	160
Figure 4.8: Three-day extreme value rainfall distribution (GEV) .....	162
Figure 4.9: Landslide Hazard Zonation map of Kegalle District derived from AHP model .....	166
Figure 4.10: Hazard level summarized into DS Divisions.....	169
Figure 4.11: Spatial distribution of vulnerability within Kegalle District .....	174
Figure 4.12: Comparison of land use, urban/rural divide and spatial distribution of vulnerability, Land use map obtained from the Department of the survey (updated in 2016).....	176
Figure 4.13: Spatial distribution of Landslide vulnerability grades.....	179
Figure 4.14: Distribution of vulnerability grades in different DS Divisions .....	180
Figure 4.15: Spatial distribution of exposure to landslides.....	182
Figure 4.16: Spatial distribution of landslide risk.....	188
Figure 4.17: Spatial distribution of landslide risk classes.....	190

## **LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS**

NBRO	National Building Research Organization
GSMB	Geological Survey and Mines Bureau
DMC	Disaster Management Center
LHZ	Landslide Hazard Zonation
DRM	Disaster Risk Management
UNDP	United Nations Development Program
GSSL	Geological Society of Sri Lanka
JICA	Japan International Cooperation Agency
HC	Highland Complex
VC	Vijayan Complex
WC	Wanni Complex
DSD	Divisional Secretariat Division
DRI	Disaster Risk Index
GIS	Geographical information system
GDP	Gross Domestic Product
HPI	Human Poverty Index
AHP	Analytic Hierarchy Process
PCA	Principal Component Analysis
FA	Factor Analysis
RS	Remote Sensing
DRR	Disaster Risk Reduction
KHG	Kandyan Home Garden
GND	Grama Niladari Division
GPS	Global Positioning System

GLONASS	Global Navigation Satellite System
SPSS	Statistical Package for Social Sciences
SAR	Synthetic Aperture Radar
ID	Intensity-Duration
SMEM	Multi-Criteria Evaluation Model
AHP	Analytic Hierarchy Process
CR	Consistency Ratio
CI	Consistency Index
IDW	Inverse Distance Weighting

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This dissertation would not have been a real fulfillment without the backing and corporation from various individuals by various means. It is a pleasure to convey my gratitude to all of them in my humble acknowledgment.

In the first place, I owe my eternal gratefulness to my supervisors, Dr DT Jayawardana, Dr Pathmakumara Jayasingha and, Mr RMS Bandara for their keen supervision, valuable advice and guidance from the beginning of my doctoral degree and giving me extraordinary experiences not only in research but also in the academic life throughout the work. Thanks for their advice and their willingness to share their bright thoughts with me, which were very fruitful for shaping up my ideas and research. I am indebted to them more than they know.

Besides my supervisors, I would like to thank my progress reviewers Prof RMK Rathnayake Department of Geography - University of Sri Jayewardenepura and Prof Y K Weerakoon Banda, Faculty of Management Studies & Commerce - University of Sri Jayewardenepura for their insightful comments and encouragement, but also for the hard question which incited me to widen my research from various perspectives.

I specially thanks to Central Forestry and Environmental Science, Faculty of Applied Science University of Sri Jayewardenepura (USJP), I extend my gratitude to the staff of Department of Forestry and Environmental Sciences and Research Council of USJP for valuable support given.

I gratefully acknowledge Dean of Faculty of Graduate Studies, the University of Sri Jayawardanapura and the Chairperson of Multidisciplinary Board, Faculty of Graduate



tudies, the University of Sri Jayawardanapura for their persistent and help to carry out my research work. I appreciate the Director General of the National Building Research Organization (NBRO) for great support by providing data and information for my studies.

My sincere thanks also go to Dr MM Ranagalage and Prof Prof Yuji Murayama, Division of Spatial Information Science, Faculty of Life and Environmental Sciences, University of Tsukuba, Japan who provided me an opportunity to join Japan Geoscience Union Meeting -2018 and who gave access to the laboratory and research facilities.

I am very grateful to Dr CM Edirisinghe Department of Physics, the University of Colombo for the continuous support of my PhD study and related research, for his patience, motivation, and immense knowledge. His guidance helped me in all the time of research and writing of this thesis.

Last but not the least, I would like to thank my family; My parents, my wife and to my loving son for supporting me spiritually throughout writing this thesis and my life in general.

ENC Perera

# **DEVELOPMENT OF LANDSLIDE DISASTER RISK INDEX (DRI)**

## **BASED ON GEOSPATIAL ANALYSES:**

### **A CASE STUDY FROM KEGALLE DISTRICT, SRI LANKA**

**ELIBICHCHIRALALAGE NIMALA CHINTHAKE PERERA**

#### **ABSTRACT**

Landslides are the most far-flung natural hazards, causing loss of thousands of lives and billions of dollars annually worldwide. In Sri Lanka, during the last few decades' landslides occurred with increasing frequency. Approximately 20,000 Km<sup>2</sup> (30.7%) land area from 13 districts of the country susceptible to landslides. This study mainly focused to develop a methodology for the generation of a landslide disaster risk index (DRI) by quantifying associated uncertainties; hazard, vulnerability, and exposure using the geospatial model for Kegalle District, Sri Lanka. In Sri Lanka, previous studies have not focused on establishing a relationship between intensity and duration of rainfall. Therefore, in the initial phase of the experimental design, a rainfall intensity-duration (I-D) models were developed for Badulla and Kegalle Districts to establish rainfall thresholds for landslides. Also, the study extended to assess the impact of land cover/use change on the frequency of the occurrence of the landslide by using multi-temporal optical (Landsat-8) and synthetic aperture radar (SAR, Sentinel-1) images 1988, 1997, and 2017 for hazard assessment. Then the landslide hazard assessment was conducted based on conditioning factors. These causative factors were weighted and modeled to define hazardous zones by the geographical information system (GIS) based spatial multi-criteria evaluation (SMCE). Then questionnaire survey was conducted with 420 sample to obtained social conditions for landslide vulnerability. Landslide

vulnerability was assessed combining physical and social indicators and Entropy method was used to determine the weights for indicators. Next spatial distribution of exposure to landslides were assessed and mapped. Finally, landslide Disaster Risk Index (DRI) was developed as a function Hazard, Vulnerability and Exposure. Developed DRI was used to map the spatial distribution of landslide risk with 100×100m spatial resolution. The finding of this study confirms the increase in the frequency, severity, and spatial distribution of landslides in Kegalle. Rainfall I-D threshold for trigger a landslide in Kegalle District modeled as;  $I = 58.35D^{-0.114}$  ( $5 \leq D \leq 14 h$ ). The developed landslide hazard map shows a 90% level prediction accuracy compared to previous landslides. According to landslide hazard zonation map, 13% (214 Km<sup>2</sup>) of the entire area is found to be of high landslide susceptibility zone. According to landslide vulnerability model, 14.6 % (247 Km<sup>2</sup>) of the entire area is found to be the highest vulnerable zone for a landslide. Correlation analysis revealed that vulnerability and hazard could significantly ( $0.00 < 0.05$ ) contribute to risk but contribution of exposure was insignificant. Records of previous landslides confirmed the validity of developed landslide DRI. According to the spatial distribution of landslide risk, the study area demonstrates notable regional specifications. Besides, the spatial distribution of risk has shown a close relationship with rural and urban settlements. According to the record of the impact of a historic landslide that occurred in the study area, the developed DRI can sufficiently reflect the level and distribution of landslide risk. The developed method should be useful to decision makers spatial planning and civil protection. The DRI can be significantly improved, and its reliability can be increased by adding more parameters with high resolution and accuracy.

Keywords: Landslides, Hazard, Vulnerability, Risk index, Entropy Method