

## References

- Ahmad, R., & Bujang, S. (2013). Issues and Challenges in the Practice of Performance Appraisal Activities in the 21st Century. *International Journal of Education and Research*, 1(04), 1-7.
- Azzone, G., & Palermo, T. (2011). Adopting performance appraisal and reward systems: A qualitative analysis of public sector organisational change. *Journal of Organizational Change Management*, 24(1), 90-111.
- Boice, D. F., & Kleiner, B. H. (1997). Designing effective performance appraisal systems. *Work Study*, 46(6), 197-201.
- Bowen, G. A. (2009). Document analysis as a qualitative research method. *Qualitative Research Journal*, 9(2), 27-40.
- Braun, V., & Clarke, V. (2006). Using thematic analysis in psychology. *Qualitative Research in Psychology*, 3(2), 77-101.
- Bretz, R. D., & Milkovich, G. T. (1989). *Performance appraisal in large organizations: Practice and research implications*. Center for Advanced Human Resource Studies, School of Industrial and Labour Relations, Cornell University.
- Burns, T. R., & Machado, N. (2013). Social Rule System Theory: Universal Interaction Grammars.
- Cawley, B. D., Keeping, L. M., & Levy, P. E. (1998). Participation in the performance appraisal process and employee reactions: A meta-analytic review of field investigations. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 83(4), 615-633.
- Cleveland, J. N., Murphy, K. R., & Williams, R. E. (1989). Multiple uses of performance appraisal: Prevalence and correlates. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 74(1), 130-135.
- Decotiis, T., & Petit, A. (1978). The performance appraisal process: A model and some testable propositions. *Academy of Management Review*, 3(3), 635-646.

- DeNisi, A. S., Cafferty, T. P., & Meglino, B. M. (1984). A cognitive view of the performance appraisal process: A model and research propositions. *Organizational Behaviour and Human Performance*, 33(3), 360-396.
- Fereday, J., & Muir-Cochrane, E. (2008). Demonstrating rigor using thematic analysis: A hybrid approach of inductive and deductive coding and theme development. *International Journal of Qualitative Methods*, 5(1), 80-92.
- Fischer, R., & Smith, P. B. (2003). Reward Allocation and Culture A Meta-Analysis. *Journal of Cross-Cultural Psychology*, 34(3), 251-268.
- Folger, R., Konovsky, M. A., & Cropanzano, R. (1992). A due process metaphor for performance appraisal. *Research in Organizational Behaviour*, 14, 129-129.
- Huber, V. L. (1983). An analysis of performance appraisal practices in the public sector: a review and recommendations. *Public Personnel Management*, 12(3), 258-267.
- Ingraham, P. W. (1993). Of pigs in pokes and policy diffusion: Another look at pay-for-performance. *Public Administration Review*, 348-356.
- Jenkins, R. (2005). Globalization, corporate social responsibility and poverty. *International Affairs*, 81(3), 525-540.
- Kim, P. S. (2011). *Performance Management and Performance Appraisal in the Public Sector*. CEPA meeting, New York.
- Lee, C. H., & Bruvold, N. T. (2003). Creating value for employees: investment in employee development. *The International Journal of Human Resource Management*, 14(6), 981-1000.
- Longenecker, O., Fink, C. S., & Caldwell, S. (2014). Current US trends in formal performance appraisal: practices and opportunities—Part One. *Industrial and Commercial Training*, 46(6), 321-326.
- Longenecker, C. O., Sims Jr., H. P., & Gioia, D. A. (1987). Behind the mask: The politics of employee appraisal. *The Academy of Management Executive*, 183-193.
- Modell, S. (2004). Performance measurement myths in the public sector: a research note. *Financial Accountability & Management*, 20(1), 39-55.
- Murphy, K. R., & Cleveland, J. N. (1991). *Performance appraisal: An organizational perspective*. USA: Allen & Bacon.
- Orlikowski, W. J. (1992). The duality of technology: Rethinking the concept of technology in organizations. *Organization Science*, 3(3), 398-427.
- Palaiologos, A., Papazekos, P., & Panayotopoulou, L. (2011). Organizational justice and employee satisfaction in performance appraisal. *Journal of European Industrial Training*, 35(8), 826-840.
- Coyne, I. T. (1997). Sampling in qualitative research. Purposeful and theoretical sampling; merging or clear boundaries?. *Journal of Advanced Nursing*, 26(3), 623-630.
- Pichler, S. (2012). The social context of performance appraisal and appraisal reactions: A meta-analysis. *Human Resource Management*, 51(5), 709-732.

- Propper, C., & Wilson, D. (2003). The use and usefulness of performance measures in the public sector. *Oxford Review of Economic Policy*, 19(2), 250-267.
- Sole, F., & Schiuma, G. (2010). Using performance measures in public organisations: challenges of Italian public administrations. *Measuring Business Excellence*, 14(3), 70-84.
- Stemler, S. (2001). An overview of content analysis. *Practical Assessment, Research & Evaluation*, 7(17), 137-146.
- Taylor, M. S., Tracy, K. B., Renard, M. K., Harrison, J. K., & Carroll, S. J. (1995). Due process in performance appraisal: A quasi-experiment in procedural justice. *Administrative Science Quarterly*, 495-523.
- Thurston Jr, P. W., & McNall, L. (2010). Justice perceptions of performance appraisal practices. *Journal of Managerial Psychology*, 25(3), 201-228.
- Vanhala, S., & Stavrou, E. (2013). Human resource management practices and the HRM-performance link in public and private sector organizations in three Western societal clusters. *Baltic Journal of Management*, 8(4), 416-437.
- Williams, K. J., DeNisi, A. S., Blencoe, A. G., & Cafferty, T. P. (1985). The role of appraisal purpose: Effects of purpose on information acquisition and utilization. *Organizational Behavior and Human Decision Processes*, 35(3), 314-339.
- Yin, R. K. (2009). *Case study research: Design and methods*. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage Publication.