Bibliography

Adikaram, A. S. (2005). *Is sexual harassment a problem at Sri Lankan workplaces?* Colombo: Friedrich Ebert Stiftung.

Arvey, R. & Cavanaugh, M. (1995). "Using surveys to assess the prevalence of sexual harassment: some methodological problems". *Journal of Social Issues*, *51*, 39-52.

Baker, D.D., Terpstra, D.E., & Cutler, B.D. (1990). Perceptions of sexual harassment: A re-examination of gender differences. *The Journal of Psychology, 124*(4), 409-416.

Barak, A. (1997). Cross-cultural perspectives on sexual harassment. In W. O'Donohue (Ed.), *Sexual harassment: Theory, research and treatment* (pp. 263-300). Boston: Allyn and Bacon.

Barr, P.A. (1993). Perceptions of sexual harassment. *Sociological Inquiry, 63*(4), 460-470.

Berdahl, J.L., Magley, V. J., & Waldo, C.R. (1996). The sexual harassment of men: Exploring the concept with theory and data. *Psychology of Women Quarterly*, *20*, 527-547.

Bernstein, A. (1994). Law, culture, and sexual harassment. *University of Pennsylvania Law Review, 142*(4), 1227-1311.

Brewis, J. (2001) Foucault, politics and organizations: (Re)-constructing sexual harassment. *Gender, Work and Organization, 8*(1), 37-60.

Buchanan, N.T., Settles, I.H., & Woods, K.C. (2008). Comparing sexual harassment subtypes among black and white women by military rank: Double jeopardy, the jezebel and the cult of true womanhood. *Psychology of women Quarterly, 32*, 347-361.

Cahill, M.L. (2001). *The social construction of sexual harassment Law: the role of the national, organizational, and individual context*. Darmouth: Ashgate.

Chamallas, M. (1992). Feminist construction of objectivity: Multiple perspectives in sexual and racial harassment litigation. *Texas Journal of Women and the Law, 1*, 95-142.

Charmaz, K. (2001). Qualitative interviewing and grounded theory analysis. In J. Gubrium & J. Holstein (Eds.), *Handbook of interview research: Context and method* (pp. 675-694). Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.

Charmaz, K. (2003). Grounded theory: Objectivist and constructivist methods. In N.K. Denzin & Y. S. Lincoln (Eds.), *Strategies of Qualitative inquiry* (2nd ed., pp.249-291). Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.

Chamaz, K. (2005). Grounded theory in the 21st century: Applications for advancing social justice studies. In N. K. Denzin & Y. S. Lincoln (Eds.), *The sage book of qualitative research* (3rd ed., pp. 507-536). Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.

Christie, C. (1998). Rewriting rights: A relevance theoretical analysis of press constructions of sexual harassment and the responses of readers. *Language and Literature, 7*(3), 215-234.

Cleveland, J. N., & Kerst, M.E. (1993). Sexual harassment and perception of power: An under-articulated relationship, *Journal of Vocational Behavior, 42*, 49-67.

Creswell, J.W. (2003). *Research design: Qualitative, quantitative and mixed methods approaches* (2nd ed.). London: Sage Publications.

Crow, S.M., Hartman, S.J., Hammond, D., & Fok, L.Y. (1995). The impact of personality factors on sexual and non-sexual harassment sensitivity. *Women in Management Review, 10*(6), 9-19.

Dellinger, K., & Williams, C.L. (2002). The locker room and the dorm room: Workplace norms and the boundaries of sexual harassment in magazine editing. *Social Problems, 49*(2), 242-257.

Denissen, A.M. (2010). Crossing the line: how women in the building trade interpret and respond to sexual conduct at work. *Journal of Contemporary Ethnography, 39*(3), 297-327.

Dougherty, T.W., Turban, D.B., Olson, D.E., Dwyer, P.D., & Lapreze, M.W. (1996). Factors affecting perceptions of workplace sexual harassment. *Journal of Organizational Behavior, 17*(5), 489-501.

Equal Employment Opportunity Commission (EEOC). (l990). Policy guidance on current issues of sexual harassment, Retrieved on May 5, 2005 from http://www.eeoc.gov /policy/docs/currentissues.html

Fiedler, A.M., & Blanco, R.I. (n.d). The challenge of varying perceptions of sexual harassment: An international study. Downloaded on May 29, 2007 from www.ibam.com/pubs/jbam/articles/vol7/no3/JBAM_7_3_3_The_Challenge.pdf

Fitzgerald, L.F. (1993). Sexual harassment: Violence against women in the workplace. *American Psychologist*, *48*(10), 1070-1076.

Fitzgerald, L.F., Gelfand, M.J., & Drasgow, F. (1995). Measuring sexual harassment: Theoretical and psychometric advances. *Basic and Applied Social Psychology, 17*(4), 425-445.

Fitzgerald, L.F., Swan, S., & Magley, V.J. (1997). But was it really sexual harassment? Legal, behavioural and psychological definitions of the workplace victimization of women. In W. O'Donohue (Ed.), *Sexual Harassment: Theory, research and treatment* (pp. 5-28). Boston: Allyn and Bacon.

FolgerØ, I. S., & Fjeldstad, I.H. (1995). On duty- off duty: Cultural norms and sexual harassment in service organizations. *Organization Studies, 16*(2), 299 -313.

Foulis, D., & McCabe, P. (1997). Sexual harassment: Factors affecting attitudes and perceptions. *Sex Roles: A Journal of Research, 37*(9/10), 773-798.

Frazier, P.A., Cochran, C.C., & Olson, A.M. (1995). Social science research on lay definitions of sexual harassment. *Journal of Social issues, 5l*(1), 21-38.

Gee, M.V., & Norton, S.M. (1999). The confluence of gender and culture: Sexual harassment in the international arena. *Management Decision, 37*(5), 417-423.

Gruber, J.E. (1997). An Epidemiology of sexual harassment: Evidence from North America and Europe. In W. O'Donohue (Ed.), *Sexual harassment: Theory, research and treatment* (pp. 84- 95). Boston: Allyn and Bacon.

Gutek, B.A. (1985). Sex and the workplace. San Fransisco, CA: Jossey Bass.

Gutek, B.A. (1995). How subjective is sexual harassment? An examination of rater effects. *Basic and Applied Social Psychology, 17*(4), 447-467.

Gutek, B.A., & O'Connor, M. (1995). The empirical basis for the reasonable woman standard. *Journal of Social Issues, 51*(1), 151-166.

Hardman, W., & Heidelberg, J. (1996). When sexual harassment is a foreign affair. *Personnel Journal*. 91- 97.

Haspels, N., Kasim, Z.M., Thomas, C., & McCann, D. (2001). *Action against sexual harassment at work in Asia and the Pacific*. Bangkok: International Labor Office.

Hood, J.C. (2007). Orthodoxy vs. power: The defining traits of grounded theory. In A. Bryant & K. Charmaz (Eds.), *The sage handbook of grounded theory* (pp. 151- 164). Los Angels: SAGE.

Jayaweera, S. (2002). Fifty years since political independence: An overview. In S. Jayaweera (Ed.), *Women in post independence Sri Lanka* (pp. 15-40). New Delhi: Sage.

Jaschik-Herman, M.L., & Fisk, A. (1995). Women's perceptions and labelling of sexual harassment in academia before and after the Hill-Thomas hearing. *Sex Roles: A Journal of Research, 33*(5-6), 439-446.

Johnson, J.D., Benson, C., Teasdale, A., Simmons, S., & Reed, W. (1997). Perceptual ambiguity, gender, and target intoxication: Assessing the effects of factors that moderate perceptions of sexual harassment. *Journal of Applied Social Psychology, 27*(14), 1209-1221.

Judicibus, M.D., & McCabe, M.P. (2001). Blaming the target of sexual harassment: Impact of gender roles, sexist attitudes, and work roles, *Sex Roles: A Journal of Research*, Retrieved August 13, 2002 from http://www.findarticles.com/cf_0/m2294/2001_April/79856432/print.jhtml

Keyton, J., & Rhodes, S.C. (1997). Sexual harassment: A matter of individual ethics, legal definitions, or organizational policy? *Journal of Business Ethics, 16*, 129-146.

Limpaphayom, W., Williams, R.J., & Fadil, P.A. (2006). Perceived differences in sexual harassment between business school students in the US and Thailand. *Cross Cultural Management: An International Journal, 13*(1), 32-42.

Maeder, E.M., Wiener, R.L., & Winter, R. (2007). Does a truck driver see what a nurse sees? The effects of occupation types on perceptions of sexual harassment. *Sex Roles: A Journal of Research, 56*, 801-810.

Marshall, A. (2003). Injustice frames, legality, and everyday construction of sexual harassment. *Law and Social Inquiry*, 659-689.

Marshall, A. (2005). Idle rights: Employees' right consciousness and the construction of sexual harassment policies. *Law and Society Review, 39*(1), 83-123.

McCabe, M.P., & Hardman, L. (2005). Attitudes and perceptions of workers to sexual harassment. *Journal of Social Psychology, 145* (6), 719-740.

Morse, J.M. (2007). Sampling in grounded theory. In A. Bryant & K. Charmaz (Eds.), *The sage handbook of grounded theory* (pp. 229-244). Los Angels: SAGE.

Ng, C., & Othman, J. (2002). Unwanted and unwelcome: Sexual harassment in the Malaysian workplaces. *Gender Technology & Development, 6*(3), 389-407.

Nielsen, W.R. (1996). Perceptions of sexual harassment: A female office professional's perspective. Employee Counseling Today, 8(4), 6-14.

O'Leary-Kelly, A.M., Bowes-Sperry, L., Bates, C.A., & Lean, E.R. (2009). Sexual harassment at work: A decade (plus) of progress. *Journal of Management, 35*(3), 503-536.

Osman, S.L. (2004). Victim resistance: Theory and data on understanding perceptions of sexual harassment. *Sex Roles: A Journal of Research, 50*(3/4), 267-274.

Osman, S.L. (2007a). The continuation of perpetrator behaviors that influence perceptions of sexual harassment. *Sex Roles: A Journal of Research, 56*, 63-69.

Osman, S.L. (2007b). Predicting perceptions of sexual harassment based on type of resistance and belief in token resistance, *Sex Roles: A Journal of Research, 44*(4), 340-346.

Pickerill, J.M., Jackson, R.A., & Newman, M.A. (2006). Changing perceptions of sexual harassment in the federal workforce, 1987-1994. *Law and Policy, 28*(3), 368-394.

Pierce, C.A., & Agunis, H. (2001). A framework for investigating the link between workplace romance and sexual harassment. *Group and Organization Management, 6*(2), 206-229.

Pierce, C.A., Broberg, B.J., McClure, J.R., & Aguinis, H. (2004). Responding to sexual harassment complaints: Effects of a dissolved workplace romance on decision-making standards. *Organizational Behaviour and Human Decision Processes, 95*, 66-82.

Priem, R.L., Walters, B.A., & Li, S. (2011). Decisions, decisions! How judgment policy studies can integrate macro and micro domains in management research. *Journal of Management, 37*(2), 553-580.

Pryor, J.B., Desouza, E.R., Fitness, J., Hutz, C., Kumpf, M., Lubbert, K., et al. (1997). Gender difference in the interpretation of social-sexual behaviour: A cross cultural perspective on sexual harassment. *Journal of Cross-Cultural Psychology, 28*(5), 509-535.

Reese, L.A., & Lindenberge, K.E. (2005). Gender, age, and sexual harassment. *Review of Public Personnel Administration, 25*(4), 325-352.

Rotundo, M., Nguyen, D.H., & Sackett, P.R. (2001). A meta-analytic review of gender differences in perceptions of sexual harassment. *Journal of Applied Psychology, 86*, 913-922.

Ryan, J., & Kenig, S. (1991). Risk and ideology in sexual harassment. *Sociological Inquiry, 61*(2), 231-241.

Schultz, V. (1998). Reconceptualizing sexual harassment. *The Yale Law Journal, 107*(6), 1683-1805.

Sheets, V.L., & Braver, S.L. (1999). Organizational status and perceived sexual harassment: Detecting the mediators of a null effect. *Personality and Social Psychology Bulletin, 25,* 1159-1171.

Solomon, D.H., & Williams, M.L.M. (1997). Perceptions of socio-sexual communication at work as sexually harassing. *Management of Communication Quarterly, 11*(2), 147-184.

Stockdale, M.S., Vaux, A., & Cashin, J. (1995). Acknowledging Sexual Harassment: A test of alternative models. *Basic and Applied Social Psychology, 17*(4), 469-496.

Strauss, A., & Corbin, J. (1990). *Basics of qualitative research: Grounded theory procedures and techniques.* Newbury Park, CA: SAGE Publications.

Terpstra, D.E., & Baker, D. (1986). Psychological and demographic correlates of perception of sexual harassment, genetic. *Social and General Psychology Monographs, 112*, 459-478.

Timmerman, G., & Bajema, C. (1999). Sexual harassment in Northwest Europe: A cross-cultural comparison. *European Journal of Women's Studies, 6*, 419-439.

Timmerman, G., & Bajema, C. (2000). The impact of organizational culture on perceptions and experiences of sexual harassment. *Journal of Vocational Behavior, 57*, 188-205.

US Merit System Protection Board. (l994) Sexual harassment in the federal workplaces: Trends, progress, continuing challenges. Retrieved July 11, 2004 from http://www.mspb.gov/studies/sexhar.pdf

Welsh, S., Carr, J., MacQuarrie, B., & Huntley, A. (2006). I am not thinking of it as sexual harassment: Understanding harassment across race and citizenship. *Gender and Society, 2*(1), 87-107.

Wiener, R.L., & Hurt, L.E. (2000). How do people evaluate social sexual conduct at work? A psychological model. *Journal of Applied Psychology, 85*(1), 75-85.

York, K.M. (1989). Defining sexual harassment in workplaces: A policy capturing approach. *Academy of Management Journal, 32*(4), 830-850.

Wiener, R.L., Voss, A.M., Winter, R.J., & Arnot, L. (2005). The more you see it, the more you know it: Memory accessibility and sexual harassment judgments. *Sex Roles: A Journal of Research, 53*(11/12), 807-819.

Williams, C.L., Giuffre, P.A., & Dellinger, K. (1999). Sexuality in the workplace: organizational control, sexual harassment, and the pursuit of pleasure. *Annual Review of Sociology, 25*, 73-93.

Wilson, F. (2000a). The subjective experiences of sexual harassment: Cases of students. *Human Relations, 53*(8), 1081-1097.

Wilson, F. (2000b). The social construction of sexual harassment and assault of university students. *Journal of Gender Studies, 9*(2), 171-187.

York, K.M. (1989). Defining sexual harassment in workplaces: A policy capturing approach. *Academy of Management Journal, 32*(4), 830-850.